

INFORMATION

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date
01/28/2022
Agency number

JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-11

Police Case number
2018-019908

Agency name
Westport Police Department

Title, Allegation and Counts

State of Connecticut vs. (Name of accused)
LAWRENCE, JAMES, J

Residence (Town) of accused
WESTPORT

Docket number
019147994

Address
LKA: 3 Lookout Trail, Westport, CT 06880

Date of birth
12/15/1965

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that:

To be held at (Town)
NORWALK

Geographical area number
20

Court date

Count One — Did commit the offense of:	Continued to	Purpose	Reason
Harassment in the Second Degree			
At (Town) Westport			
On or about (Date) 09/18/2018			
In violation of General Statute number 53a-183			
Count Two — Did commit the offense of:			
At (Town)			
On or about (Date)			
In violation of General Statute number			
Count Three — Did commit the offense of:			
At (Town)			
On or about (Date)			
In violation of General Statute number			

See other sheet for additional counts

Date **11-15-18** Signed (Prosecuting Authority) *[Signature]*

Court Action

Defendant advised of rights before plea

(Judge) (Date)

Attorney Public defender Guardian

Bond Surety

10 % Election (Date)

Cash CT JY

Bond change

Seized property inventory number

Count	Plea date	Plea	Plea withdrawn		Verdict finding	Fine	Remit	Additional disposition
			Date	New plea				
1	8/5/19	NG			G Found guilty after jury verdict 10.6.21 plead to Part B information	\$ 500.00	\$	15 months e/s/a - 1 day F/c/I 6 months; 1 year Probation.
2						\$ 10	\$ 6.21	
3						\$	\$	

or 454 days

Date	Other Court Action	Judge
2/7/19 2/5/19	PO ISSUED A files in court motion for discovery, motion for speedy trial, and Frank's motion.	Dennis

Receipt number

Cost IMP NCI

Bond information Bond forfeited Forfeiture vacated Forfeiture vacated and bond reinstated

Application fee - receipt number if paid

Circle one **W I Q**

Program fee - receipt number if paid

Circle one **W I Q**

Probation fee - receipt number if paid

Circle one **W I Q**

Prosecutor on original disposition
Tamaccio

Reporter/monitor on original disposition
Lopez

Signed (Clerk) *[Signature]*

Signed (Judge) *[Signature]*

INFORMATION

JD-CR-71 Rev. 3-11

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Disposition date

Police Case number
2018-019908

Agency name
Westport Police Department

Agency number

Arrest Warrant

Geographical area number **20** **State of Connecticut vs. LAWRENCE, JAMES, J**

To: Any Proper Officer of the State of Connecticut

By Authority of the State of Connecticut, you are hereby commanded to arrest the body of the within-named accused. ("X" all that apply)

- A. Accused is ordered to be brought before a clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Court.
- B. Accused is not entitled to bail.

If A, B or both are checked above, you shall without undue delay bring the arrested person before the clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Court for the geographical area where the offense is alleged to have been committed, or if the clerk's office is not open, to a community correctional center within said geographical area, or the nearest community correctional center if no such center exists in the geographical area, or to the Correctional Institution, as the case may be.

- C. Bail set at \$25,000.00
- D. Non-financial conditions of release:

Extradition boundaries established by prosecutor

- E. Conditions of release not determined by court.

By the Court	Signed (Judge of the Superior Court) <i>Dennis</i>	Date <i>11/16/18</i>	Name of Judge (Print or type) DENNIS
---------------------	---	-------------------------	--

Return On Arrest Warrant

Geographical area number 20	Town of Norwalk	Date 2/6/19	State of Connecticut
------------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

Then and there, by virtue of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, I arrested the body of the within-named accused and read the same in the hearing of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination.

Attest (Officer's signature and Department)

[Signature] Westport

Date	Other Court action	Judge
<i>3.14.19</i>	<i>motion to compel for discovery - granted - state will have more discovery by 3.21.19 motion to speedy trial premature - to be reclaim in a future - Frank hearing motion to be heard on date schedule by the judge - case flow to communicate via email with A since A will be in Germany.</i>	<i>Dennis J</i>
<i>3.27.19</i>	<i>A's e-mail address: Sancorgoman@gmail.com state on record handed it discovery to A to A on the All discovery to do with A to be given on 4.11.19 2pm.</i>	<i>Dennis</i>



NORWALK SUPERIOR COURT
17 BELDEN AVENUE
NORWALK, CT 06850
Clerk's Office (203) 846-3237
Fax: (203) 847-8710

ADDITIONAL CASE ENTRY:

- 4/11/19 motion to mod. prot order filed.
- 4/11/19 motion for Discovery Granted Dennis
- on the record stated handed 15 pages
of police report to A
- consent form - ^{outstanding} redacted the DOB of
victim + cell phone # on the victim's complainant statement
- one audio DVD + 2 video cam
DVD
- 4/12/19 A files motion to dismiss
- 4/18/19 motion for further discovery - Dennis
Denied w/out prejudice
Court files an unredacted document
of victim's statement for the
records for purpose dated
9-25-18 - to be place on a
envelope w/ today date and
purpose of today motion.
- 4/18/19 motion dismiss filed
motion to modify protective
order.
- 4/25/19 motion to modify protective order granted Dennis
modified p.o. issued
motion to dismiss based on failure to
disclose not ruled on
- 5/7/19 state ordered to contact police
department and provide all
copies to A partially granted
from motion to compel omitted basic
audio and video discovery. Dennis



NORWALK SUPERIOR COURT
 17 BELDEN AVENUE
 NORWALK, CT 06850
 Clerk's Office (203) 846-3237
 Fax: (203) 847-8710

ADDITIONAL CASE ENTRY:

5.14.19	Court opens @ 10:06 AM Frank's hearing	McLaughlin
5.14.19	Δ's exhibit A = Full exhibit @ 10:09 AM (Narrative of arrest warrant)	McLaughlin
5.14.19	Δ's exhibit B = Full exhibit @ 10:31 AM (affidavit)	McLaughlin
5.14.19	Recess until 11:15 AM @ 10:51 AM	McLaughlin
5.14.19	Court re-convenes @ 11:15 AM	McLaughlin
5.14.19	Δ's exhibit C = Full exhibit @ 11:21 AM (text messages)	McLaughlin
5.14.19	State argues against Frank's motion @ 11:53 AM	McLaughlin
5.14.19	case continued to 8.5.19 @ Δ's request 12:00 PM	McLaughlin
5.14.19	Memorandum of Law to be filed by 5.28.19	McLaughlin
6.27.19	Defendant's motion for Frank's Hearing Denied	McLaughlin
7.3.19	State files motion for disclosure	McLaughlin
7.19.19	Motion to dismiss Denied	Dennis
8.5.19	Mr. Lawrence files motion for speedy trial; Resubmission of the motion to dismiss; motion for state to turn over a written commitment from witness	
12.3.19	Motion to Dismiss Based on new Evidence Filed	
12/12/19	Motion to Dismiss Based on new Evidence Denied	Randolph
3/5/20	Δ files Motion for Speedy Trial	
3/17/21	motion to modify protective order Denied, case will remain on trial 4st	Randolph
6/2/21	motion for speedy trial Denied	Randolph
6.2.21	Motion for discovery filed.	
7/29/21	Motion to Dismiss for failure to turn over Discovery not acted on	Hurdak



NORWALK SUPERIOR COURT
17 BELDEN AVENUE
NORWALK, CT 06850
Clerk's Office (203) 846-3237
Fax: (203) 847-8710

ADDITIONAL CASE ENRTY:

8/11/21	Motion to Dismiss: Not ruled on. Court orders file placed on Jury list for 9/27/21	White
9/18/21	Motion's denied	Randolph
9/18/21	Motion granted for speedy trial	Randolph
10/7/21	A was found guilty after Jury Trial. Bond set to 150,000.00 Conditions of release: - Turn over passport - Don't leave Connecticut - No violence, threats, harassment ^{harassment} or contact complainant - Bond to be posted @ courthouse after a hearing - GPS electronic monitoring with IPS - Cooperate with probation with the PSI	White
10-7-21	Plead to Part B information	White
01/28/22	Conditions: No violence against vic ^{v/c} and/or threats and or contact complainant/victim. Obey P.O. that will be imposed once prepared. Psychological and psychiatric Evaluation + Treatment (Mental Health)	White
3/29/22	Property to be maintained. Standing Criminal Protective Order Issued until 3/25/2022.	White

- Judgment Continuance
 Failure to meet conditions of release
 under § 54-2a

INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK
 Prepare a separate Mittimus for each file.
 TO OFFICER
 Original to receiving facility; return copy to court.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
 www.jud.ct.gov



TO: Any Proper Officer

Date of disposition 01/28/2022
Date sentence to begin (if different)

Docket number S20N-CR19-0147994-S	Name of defendant Lawrence, James	Date of birth 12/15/1965	Date of disposition 01/28/2022
Name and location of receiving facility BCC	Inmate number (if available) 438037	Name and location of Court 123 Hoyt Street, Stamford, CT. 06905	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crime(s) Convicted	1st Count - Statute number 53a-183	Date of offense 09/18/2018	2nd Count - Statute number
<input type="checkbox"/> Crime(s) Charged	3rd Count - Statute number	Date of offense	4th Count - Statute number
	5th Count - Statute number	Date of offense	6th Count - Statute number

Whereas by a judgment of said court, said defendant was convicted of the above crime(s) and sentenced to imprisonment as follows:

Counts and Terms (If execution of portion of sentence is suspended, show only time to be served.)						Total Effective Sentence
First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	
6 months						6 months

Specify here any pertinent conditions, if sentences are consecutive and if probation was ordered.
Revised Mitt

15 months minus 1 day (454 days) e/s a/6 months 1 year probation
Plead to Part B information under section 53a-40b(2)

DNA

(If a person under the age of 21 receives a reformatory sentence in accordance with section 18-65a or 18-73 of the General Statutes, in no event shall the term be longer than either the maximum term of imprisonment for the crime(s) committed or for a term of more than five (5) years.)

And said defendant pay to the State of Connecticut the amount of fines now unpaid as shown below and be committed to the above facility in default of payment of said fines. (A defendant may not be incarcerated for failing to pay fees or costs.)

Counts and Fines (Show only unpaid portion of fines)						Total Unpaid Balance
First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	

- The Defendant is entitled to sentence credit of _____
 The foregoing credit includes _____ days of credit for pretrial confinement at a police or courthouse lockup.

Transfer or Continuance	Whereas it is ordered that said case be continued and/or transferred for future proceedings before said court.		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant to appear by interactive audiovisual device. (As permitted by Practice Book §§ 44-10 and 44-10A.)		
	To be held at (Name and address of court)	<input type="checkbox"/> Judicial District <input type="checkbox"/> Geographical Area	On (Date) Surety bond amount

Order
 BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT, you are hereby commanded to deliver said defendant to the custody of the Commissioner of Correction and/or the Warden or Administrator at the above facility and said Commissioner and/or Warden or Administrator is hereby commanded to receive and keep said defendant for the period fixed by said order or judgment of the court or until legally discharged, provided that when a defendant has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment and ordered to pay a fine, if the fine has not been paid by the time the sentence has been served, the defendant may not continue to be incarcerated unless the judicial authority has found that the defendant is able to pay the fine and that the defendant's nonpayment is wilful.

Signed (Assistant Clerk) <i>[Signature]</i>	By Order of the Court <i>[Signature]</i>	On (Date) 02/07/2022	Receiving facility time stamp
Acknowledgment of Delivery of Defendant			

I delivered said defendant into the custody of the Commissioner of Correction and/or his agent and left this mittimus with him.
 Name and location of receiving facility

Title of delivering officer	Signature of delivering officer	Signature of receiving officer
-----------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------

ORDER OF PROBATION

JD-CR-66 Rev. 1-19

C.G.S. §§ 53a-28a, 53a-29, 53a-30, 53a-31, 53a-32, 53a-33, 54-260

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov



Instructions to Clerk

1. Use one form per docket number (Use for an original Order of Probation only, not for Violation of Probation, Revocation, Modification, Termination, or Extension).
2. Send the original and one copy to CSSD Adult Probation. Attach copy of Information Sheet.
3. Keep one copy in court file.

ADA NOTICE
The Judicial Branch of the State of Connecticut complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need a reasonable accommodation in accordance with the ADA, contact a court clerk or an ADA contact person listed at www.jud.ct.gov/ADA.

TO: The defendant named below

From (Judicial District or Geographical Area number) G.A. 20	At (Town) Stamford	Judge ordering probation White	Docket number S20N-CR19-0147994-S
Name of defendant James Lawrence			Date sentenced 01/28/2022

Count	Statute Number	Sentence	Probation	Term
1	53a-183	15 months minus 1 day (454 days) e/s/a 6 months;	1 year probation	<input type="checkbox"/> Consecutive <input type="checkbox"/> Concurrent To Count: _____
2				<input type="checkbox"/> Consecutive <input type="checkbox"/> Concurrent To Count: _____
3				<input type="checkbox"/> Consecutive <input type="checkbox"/> Concurrent To Count: _____
4				<input type="checkbox"/> Consecutive <input type="checkbox"/> Concurrent To Count: _____

See attached sheet(s) for additional counts.

Order of Probation

This docket number's total effective sentence 454 days e/s/a 6 months; 1 year prob.	Probation begins immediately upon sentencing unless the defendant is sentenced to a period of incarceration, in which case, probation begins on the day that the defendant is released from incarceration.
---	--

Note if Consecutive/Concurrent to any other sentence and, if so, list docket numbers

You are sentenced to incarceration, with the execution of that sentence suspended (put on hold) after the length of time listed above (if any). You are also placed on probation, under the supervision of Court Support Services Division, Adult Probation, for the length of time listed above. The court orders the following conditions of probation:

1. Adult Probation will give you a written list of conditions for your probation. You must follow those written conditions and any instructions that Adult Probation gives you about those conditions.
2. You must also follow the following conditions if they apply to you:
 - a. If you are incarcerated before your probation begins, you must contact the probation office by phone on the first business day after your release from incarceration unless a probation officer told you, during your pre-release interview, to report to Adult Probation in person. If Adult Probation told you to report in person, you must go to the Adult Probation office in person on the first business day after your release from incarceration.
 - b. If you have been convicted of any of the crimes listed below, you must immediately tell your probation officer whenever you move or change your address and anytime you change your name:
 - Injury or risk of injury to, or impairing the morals of, children (Section 53-21 of the Connecticut General Statutes);
 - Sexual assault in the first degree (Section 53a-70 of the Connecticut General Statutes);
 - Aggravated sexual assault in the first degree (Section 53a-70a of the Connecticut General Statutes);
 - Sexual assault in a spousal or cohabitating relationship (Section 53a-70b of the Connecticut General Statutes);
 - Sexual assault in the second degree (Section 53a-71 of the Connecticut General Statutes);
 - Sexual assault in the third degree (Section 53a-72a of the Connecticut General Statutes); or
 - Sexual assault in the third degree with a firearm (Section 53a-72b of the Connecticut General Statutes).
 - c. If Adult Probation tells you to take part in a residential sex offender treatment program, and that program location cannot be used for an unexpected reason, you can be placed in a different residential setting for a reasonable length of time until Adult Probation can find a different treatment option for you.
3. You must also follow the conditions listed below, and any other conditions that your probation officer gives to you during your probation.

Additional Court Ordered Conditions:

See attached sheet(s) for additional conditions/orders.

*See Notice to Defendant on the back of this form.

Signed (Clerk) 	By Order of the Court 	Date signed 01/28/2022
--------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT

Continuance Mittimus - Failure to Meet Conditions of Release
JD-CR-38A 1/93

Page: 1

TO: ANY PROPER OFFICER

Docket Number: S20N-CR19-0147994-S
Defendant Name: LAWRENCE JAMES JOHN DOB: 12/15/1965
Race: C Sex: M
Committing Court: GA 20 COURTHOUSE
17 BELDEN AVENUE
NORWALK, CT 06850 3318

Charges Pending as of Mittimus Date: Offense Date:
53a-183 HARASSMENT 2ND DEG M C 09/18/2018

Surrender passport
No threats, violence, harassment, and contact
to victim
Do not leave the state of Connecticut
GPS Electronic monitoring
Bond to be posted at courthouse only
after hearing

Special Conditions:

Whereas it is ordered that said case be continued and/or transferred for future proceedings before said court to be held at the court location shown above or at (clerk to complete address of court if different):

Amount of Bond: \$150,000 Cash or Surety Next Hearing Date: 12/15/2021

BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT, you are hereby commanded to deliver said defendant to the custody of the Commissioner of Correction and said Commissioner is hereby commanded to receive and keep said defendant for the period fixed by said order or until legally discharged.

Signed:



Date:

10-7-21

Receiving Facility Time Stamp

Signature of Receiving Officer:

DOCKET NO. S20N-CR19-0147994-S : SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : NORWALK G.A. 20
VS. : AT STAMFORD
JAMES LAWRENCE :

MEMORANDUM IN AID OF SENTENCING

The State of Connecticut, through the undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this memorandum to aid the court in the sentencing in the above matter.

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On October 7th, 2021, following a trial by jury before Judge Gary White, Mr. Lawrence was found guilty of one count of Harassment in the Second Degree.¹ Subsequently, Mr. Lawrence plead guilty to a Part B Information, charging him with an Offense Committed While Released from Custody,² and was scheduled to be sentenced December 15, 2021 following a Pre-Sentence Investigation³ and ultimately continued to January 28, 2022.

2022 JAN 27 A 10:28
SUPERIOR COURT
NORWALK

¹ CGS 53a-183(a)(2), a C Misdemeanor.

² CGS 53a-40b(2), which provides "A person convicted of an offense committed while released pursuant to sections 54-63a to 54-63g, inclusive, or sections 54-64a to 54-64c, inclusive, other than a violation of section 53a-222 or 53a-222a, may be sentenced, in addition to the sentence prescribed for the offense to (1) a term of imprisonment of not more than ten years if the offense is a felony, or (2) a term of imprisonment of not more than one year if the offense is a misdemeanor."

³ The PSI is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

II. STATE'S SENTENCING ARGUMENT

Mr. Lawrence stands before the court having been convicted of Harassment in the Second Degree, a C misdemeanor with a maximum exposure of 90 days and a maximum fine of \$500. As Mr. Lawrence was released on bond at the time of the instant offense, he is exposed to enhanced penalties under 53a-40b⁴, bringing his maximum exposure to fifteen months. It is the recommendation of the State that Mr. Lawrence be sentenced by this court to a period of incarceration of fifteen months, execution suspended after the service of six months, followed by a one year period of probation with conditions outlined below.

Under Connecticut law the court "may sentence a person to a period of probation upon conviction of any crime, other than a class A felony, if it is of the opinion that: (1) Present or extended institutional confinement of the defendant is not necessary for the protection of the public; (2) the defendant is in need of guidance, training or assistance which, in the defendant's case, can be effectively administered through probation supervision; and (3) such disposition is not inconsistent with the ends of justice."⁵ Here, a straight period of probation is inappropriate given the facts as proven at trial and the defendant's conduct both before, during, and after said trial and Mr. Lawrence should serve a jail term.

First, a matter of veracity; Mr. Lawrence has an extensive record of misrepresentations to the court. Consequently, his statements as contained in the PSI

⁴ Sec. 53a-40b. Additional term of imprisonment authorized for offense committed while on release. A person convicted of an offense committed while released pursuant to sections 54-63a to 54-63g, inclusive, or sections 54-64a to 54-64c, inclusive, other than a violation of section 53a-222 or 53a-222a, may be sentenced, in addition to the sentence prescribed for the offense to (1) a term of imprisonment of not more than ten years if the offense is a felony, or (2) a term of imprisonment of not more than one year if the offense is a misdemeanor.

⁵ CGS 53a-29(a)

cannot be relied upon at face value. From the inception of this prosecution Mr. Lawrence has maintained, in court⁶ and on the record⁷, as well as in conversation⁸ and in correspondence⁹ that he resides and works in Europe. This is not true¹⁰. According to his passport¹¹, Mr. Lawrence last left the United States when he passed through customs in Frankfurt, Germany on January 27, 2020, almost exactly two years ago.

At the outset of this prosecution Mr. Lawrence published a website www.jameslawrencewestportnotguilty.com¹² with the victim's full name¹³, email address¹⁴, and photographs¹⁵, as well as the names, photographs, and work information of other witnesses and court staff, willingly published and freely accessible during the entire pendency of case and well after the verdict¹⁶. Almost every reference to the victim on this website labels her a "crazy bitch"¹⁷, "lying bitch"¹⁸, a "spinster"¹⁹, a "wicked snowflake"²⁰ or a "perjurer"²¹. The website also published, without permission, the email

⁶ See, as one example of many, defendant's own motion attached hereto as Exhibit B

⁷ A partial transcript of the proceedings is attached hereto as Exhibit C, see p. 78-79

⁸ Upon information and belief, as well as the undersigned's own representations, Mr. Lawrence has indicated his residence in various locations in Europe in every conversation with court staff since the inception of this prosecution.

⁹ Mr. Lawrence, when self-represented and on one occasion after retaining counsel, has emailed the undersigned, repeatedly representing his residence to be in Europe, several emails attached hereto as Exhibit D

¹⁰ Mr. Lawrence acknowledges as much in email correspondence with the undersigned, attached hereto as Exhibit E

¹¹ Mr. Lawrence's passport was surrendered as a condition of his bond and was inspected by the undersigned on January 25, 2022 in the presence of the clerk.

¹² A printout of the front page of this website, as it appeared on May 5, 2021 is attached hereto as Exhibit F

¹³ The undersigned stopped counting individual examples at 50 inclusions of the victim's full name.

¹⁴ Contained in unredacted emails published in PDF form.

¹⁵ See Exhibit F, p 2

¹⁶ At some point unknown to the undersigned but between the trial and the original sentencing date Mr. Lawrence removed much of this content from his website, however some of it remains to this day.

¹⁷ Exhibit F, p 5

¹⁸ Exhibit F, p 19

¹⁹ Exhibit F, p 16

²⁰ Exhibit F, p 24

²¹ Exhibit F, p 2

communications which were submitted as evidence in the trial²². To date Mr. Lawrence has shown absolutely no remorse or even an acknowledgement of guilt²³. In his PSI interview Mr. Lawrence went so far as to blame the victim²⁴ for his present circumstances, a position which was reiterated by his parents in their interviews indicating that Mr. Lawrence's biggest mistake was not committing crimes but choosing to represent himself.²⁵

It is evident from Mr. Lawrence's conduct, before, during, and after trial that he has absolutely no regard for others, including and especially the victim in this matter, whom he has himself described as a "wicked snowflake", spurned and bent on revenge. Such a lack of empathy generally and remorse specifically must be addressed by this court, most appropriately with a period of incarceration.

III. STATE'S SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of the State that Mr. Lawrence be sentenced by this court to a period of incarceration of fifteen months, execution suspended after the service of six months, followed by a one year period of probation. Additionally, it is the recommendation of the State that Mr. Lawrence be subjected by this court to a Standing Criminal Protective Order prohibiting all contact between Mr. Lawrence and the victim for a period of twenty years. Additionally, conditions of probation should include, at a

²² The section of the website containing these emails was not recoverable at the time of writing however the link can clearly be seen on the first page of Exhibit F "READ ALL EMAILS HERE" and the undersigned represents that, having viewed this page in the past, the link contained among other things the email exhibits submitted into evidence at trial by Mr. Lawrence.

²³ Following the reading of the verdict Mr. Lawrence said no fewer than four times "I didn't do anything", see Exhibit C starting at p. 66 and p. 79 "I didn't commit any harms to anyone"

²⁴ PSI page 7

²⁵ PSI page 8

minimum a mental health evaluation and treatment as deemed necessary by said evaluation, domestic violence counseling and education, and the immediate removal of his website, www.jameslawrencewestportnotguilty.com or, in the alternative, a modification of the URL to www.jameslawrencewestportguilty.com to accurately indicate the outcome of trial.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

BY: _____



LAURENCE TAMACCIO, DASA

EXHIBIT A

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

Please check one:

PSI PSI/AIP AIP

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR		COURT <input type="checkbox"/> J.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> G.A.		TOWN Norwalk		
TRUE NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR		SENTENCING DATE 01/28/2022		DOCKET NUMBER(S) S20NCR190147994S		
ALIAS OR NICKNAME		CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150		CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208		
OFFENDER DESCRIPTION	PRESENT ADDRESS 1655 Post Rd E, Apt 804 Westport, CT 06880-5646				TELEPHONE NUMBER (475) 298-0618	
	NAME AND ADDRESS OF CLOSEST RELATIVE				TELEPHONE NUMBER	
	AGE 56	DATE OF BIRTH 12/15/1965		PLACE OF BIRTH New London, CT USA		SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 045-56-6798
	SEX Male	HEIGHT 6'4"	WEIGHT 200 lbs	EYES GRN	HAIR BLK	COMPLEXION BUILD RACE White
	IDENTIFYING MARKS				PRIMARY LANGUAGE English	
PRESENT OFFENSE(S)	JUDGE HON GARY J WHITE		STATE'S ATTORNEY LAURENCE G TAMACCIO		DEFENSE ATTORNEY DARNELL D CROSLAND 1200 SUMMER STREET SUITE 202 Stamford, CT 06905	
	OFFENSE(S) AS CHARGED HARASSMENT 2ND DEG					
	OFFENSE(S) AS CONVICTED HARASSMENT 2ND DEG			STATUTE(S) & PENALTY(IES) 53a-183., NMT 3 Months Jail (pled guilty to 53a-40B(2) Enhanced penalty-- Total Maximum 15 months jail), \$0-500 fine		
	ARREST DATE 02/06/2019		CO-DEFENDANTS None			
	BOND 150,000		CONFINED No		CONVICTED BY Jury Trial	
					CRIMINAL RECORD Yes	
	DISPOSITION					

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

CURRENT OFFENSE(S)

S20NCR190147994-S: On September 17, 2018, Westport Police responded to the victim's address, who reported that she was made aware that James Lawrence had returned from Europe [on September 16, 2018] for a court appearance in Norwalk. The victim stated that she rents an apartment from Lawrence's parents and that he is not listed as a lessor on the rental agreement. The victim stated that she is very much aware of Lawrence's proclivity for stalking and harassing women and is concerned for her safety and the safety of the other women at the address, as Lawrence has access to keys to all of the apartments. The victim reported that he has previously entered the dwelling on several occasions. The victim reported that Lawrence once waited in the dark in the basement, where the washing machine and dryer are located. The victim stated that when another female went down to the basement to do laundry, Lawrence approached her from behind, placed his hand over her mouth and said something to her.

Officers noticed paper towels taped to the windows of the victim's apartment. The victim stated the paper towels were used to prevent Lawrence from looking into her apartment. The victim stated that she also changed the locks on her apartment door, in fear that Lawrence may use his keys either to do harm while she is there or to enter while she is out.

Officers and the victim knocked on the other first floor apartment, where the other female tenant resides. There was a long delay before the tenant answered the door and did not open it until Officers announced they were with the police department. The victim explained to the tenant that she had contacted the police regarding Lawrence. Officers had a brief interaction with the tenant, and it appeared to Officers that the tenant was uncomfortable with their presence. Officers noted that the tenant's windows appeared to have a dark colored glazing on them. The tenant was unwilling to provide a written statement.

Officers and the victim went to the side of the house where the basement, unlocked hatchway doors were located. The victim stated the doors are always left unlock so that anyone can gain access to the basement, where the laundry area is located. There is a stairway that leads to the first floor apartment where the victim resides. The victim stated that she did not know that the basement hatchway doors can be locked, so Officers showed her the latching mechanism and locked the door for her. The victim stated that she did not want to file a formal complaint but only wanted her concerns documented in the event that something were to happen to her.

On September 18, 2018, the victim forwarded an email chain of communication between her and the accused, which the victim stated was as a result of the basement doors at home being locked. The message from Lawrence stated, "Anna Advice- do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice." The victim's response was, "What was not Crystal Clear to you in me writing ""do not contact me again"" FOR ANY REASON? KEEP THIS UP AND I WILL CONTACT THE POLICE. LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!"

Officers made telephone contact with the victim to discuss the email thread. The victim stated that there was more to the story than what she shared on 9/17/18 because her friend was in the room with her, and that she was embarrassed to share certain details with her present. The victim stated that she had been in a brief dating relationship with Lawrence, and that it began around June or July of 2018. The victim stated that she met Lawrence after he listed the apartment on Craigslist and asked her out for coffee after their initial meeting.

The victim stated that they communicated by phone, text, and email. The victim stated they went out for dinner/drinks and had unprotected sexual intercourse at his apartment, on July 15, 2018.

On September 22, 2018, the victim met Officers at Westport Police Headquarters to discuss her complaint. The

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

victim explained that she was extremely tired because she hadn't slept in several days due to her fear that Lawrence may use his keys to get into her apartment. The victim stated that she couldn't think clearly and needed to give additional thought to whether she wanted to make this formal complaint. The victim stated that she had a friend's house that she could go to and take a nap.

The victim contacted officers by phone a short time later, and stated that she spoke to other tenants, when she returned to her apartment. The victim stated that she realized that she needed to make a complaint, not only for her protection, but to protect other women who have been victimized by Lawrence.

On September 25, 2018, the victim provided a four page, sworn, written statement detailing her relationship with Lawrence, and her complaint of his harassment of her through text and email communication. The victim stated, "I was involved in a brief dating relationship with James Lawrence that began sometimes around June or July of 2018, almost immediately after I met James when he advertised his apartment, where I now reside, for rent on Craigslist. At that time, I was residing in Wilton and wanted to shorten my commute into Manhattan. When I came to look at the apartment, James met me there. He is an attractive man, who came across as very charming. After looking at the apartment, I knew that I wanted to rent it and told James that I would take it. We agreed that I would move in on 7/28/2018. During that initial meeting, James asked me out for coffee and we began seeing each other. When I asked James why he was leaving the apartment, he told me that he was going to Europe for three months because he had to get away from Westport because the Police and FBI were after him. He said it was no longer safe for him to live in Westport. I'm pretty sure that he told me that he had been wrongly arrested and that he was suing Channel 12."

The victim explained that she learned about Lawrence's arrest when she moved into his apartment as he vacated it to go to Europe. The victim explained that she remained in contact with him to "keep tabs" on him so that she knew when he would be returning. The victim stated, "On August 21, 2018, I found out that James was coming home to Westport, CT for a court date, He emailed me to tell me that. On that date, I told him that I was moving to West Virginia and offered to help him find a new tenant for the apartment, so as to make the transition non-confrontational. I wasn't really moving, but had to give 30 days' notice to break the lease. Again, I wanted him to know that this was my apartment now and that he was not welcome there while I was still living there. He was not to terrorize my cats. I told him this because he thinks it's funny to terrorize my cats. I told him that I have a lease with his parents and that if they need access to my apartment that I would need 24 hours' notice as per the terms of my lease. I asked him in that email, ""Is that clear?"" and he responded, ""Crystal.""

"On September 5, 2018, I emailed James and stated, ""And while you are in town- I don't want you to upset my cats by dropping by for any reason. They are my family and I am their Stewart and it's not a joke. All of your things (except the couch which is covered and the king mattress) are packed away in the basement or at your parents, so you need no entry into the unit I am renting. If you have someone interested, I will show it and I will need notice to tidy up. I need no additional stress in my life while you are in town. Please respect my privacy and the terms of the lease, that is all I ask. I don't want any problems. Thanks for respecting this email. No bad vibe intended, I just want peace and quiet in my life while in CT. Sure you do too. All units rented so I am sure your parents want no problems either. Thanks and safe travels back.""

The victim stated "On or about 9/15/21, James and I exchanged emails again. This time I wrote to him, ""Fine. Don't contact me again or come by the apartment while I am here. I leave on Sept 30. I never want to see you again. Understand? Clear?? James responded, ""CRYSTAL... COULD HVE{sic} WAITED. AND YOU COULD HAVE INFORMED OF THE FUCKING "POLICEMAN" WHEN IT HAPPENED. I DO NOT KNOW WHAT TO BELIEVE WITH YOU."" I had told him that what I thought was unmarked police car arrived at the apartment and

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

a man in plain clothes was asking about him. I was trying to keep him away from me and the apartment and a man in plain clothes was asking about him. I was trying to keep him away from me and the apartment until I could move out. I again responded, "Stop!"

The victim stated, "I have taken steps to attempt to make myself feel more safe in my apartment including hanging paper towels on the sidelights of the bay window to prevent anyone from seeing inside, and locking my windows and doors. I was very concerned because of the free access that anyone, including James, has to the basement through the "Bilco" hatchway doors. I did not know that they could be locked until the Officer assisted me with engaging the latch to secure the access to the basement on 9/17/2018."

The victim stated, "On 9/18/18, I received a text message from Mrs. Lawrence, James's mother, asking about the locked basement hatchway. I can only assume that James came by and found it locked and reported this to his mother. I replied to her in an email that stated in part, "I am again writing, I want nothing to do with him and maybe now you both. I will clean the apt and leave the keys on the kitchen counter, text you the evening of the 30th that I am gone. I want nothing to do with whatever comes of him, for he's not going to stop harassing women and/or writing his rants. Just leave me be as I just want the peace I deserve while renting these last few weeks. I wish you both peace as well, for I guess you won't get it until you are both back in FL..."

The victim continued, "Shortly after sending that email, I received an email from James that said, '[Victim] Advice- do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice.' I take this as a threat. After repeated requests for him to stop contacting me, he continues to email me something like this. Considering James' past and my belief that he is mentally unstable, I am in fear for my safety and want him arrested."

The victim provided Officers with copies of an email communications between her and Lawrence. The victim's final communication to him on 9/22/2018, stated, "WHAT PART OF CRYSTAL CLEAR ARE YOU MISSING? THIS WILL BE THE 3RD TIME I HAVE WRITTEN YOU TO NOT CONTACT ME. YOU HAVE THREATENED ME, NOW CONTACTED ME AGAIN. STRIKE 3. THIS IS HARASSMENT AND I AM DONE. DO NOT CONTACT ME AGAIN FOR ANY ANY ANY REASON WHATSOEVER! I AM TERRIFIED OF you AND HAVE ASKED, TOLD AND BEGGED YOUR PARENTS TO KEEP YOU UNDER CONTROL. WHEN I LEAVE ON THE NIGHT OF THE 30TH, YOUR PARENTS, WHO OWN THIS HOUSE, CAN DO AS THEY WILL. LEAVE ME ALONE!!!!!!"

After several attempts by Officers to obtain a statement from the "other tenant" who Officers briefly spoke with on 9/17/21, Officers made contact with her, via telephone, on September 26, 2018. The other tenant stated that she is concerned for her safety. She stated that she lives by herself and that Lawrence is "creepy." Officers asked if the glazing on her window apartments were to prevent Lawrence from looking in, she responded that "It's to prevent anyone from looking in." Officers asked if she had seen Lawrence looking in before, and she responded, "No." The tenant stated that there were many times that she would be in the basement doing laundry and Lawrence would pull up and sit in his car. She stated that she would stay in the basement as long as she could, "hoping he would leave," but he would stay there until she walked out of the basement. She stated that when she would go out to the mailbox, Lawrence would "always come out and watch me." She stated, "His presence makes me feel uncomfortable. I don't engage him. I avoid him at all costs. I don't give him a chance to get aggressive."

On September 27, 2018, Officers obtained a written statement from [the friend the victim was with on 9/17/21], in which she detailed a stalking complaint against Lawrence, which is being investigated by Westport Police Department. It was noted, by Officers, in this incidents' arrest warrant, "That in checking this department's case

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

history with Lawrence, I learned that there were 10 case incidents logged from 2002 till present. In all of these complaints Lawrence was seen following the complainants around a store or coffee shop and then following them out to their cars where he would either stare at them or get right into their personal space. In most of these cases, Lawrence was told that his actions scare the complainants to the point of them calling the police. He has even stated himself that he needed to rethink his approach with woman. That I also learned from these reports that there were a lot of other incidents with Lawrence that were not reported to the police." Officers also noted, "That in this case, the complainant would not provide a sworn statement for fear that Lawrence would be able to find out who she was and then be able to retaliate against her. I also learned that in all the 9 other cases that the complainants all felt the same way. That they all feared for their safety and because of this were reluctant to provide statements.

Officers conducted a criminal history check, which revealed an arrest by Westport Police for Breach of Peace. His criminal history check revealed an arrest record in the state of Florida dating back to 1986 and in California, dating back to 1994. Prior to the arrest on 3/05/18, Lawrence's most recent arrest was on 10/31/13 for "BAT: SPOUSE/ES SP/DATE/ETC."

On November 16, 2018, a warrant was signed by the Honorable Maureen Dennis, charging James Lawrence with Harassment 2nd.

On February 2, 2019, Westport Police arrested James Lawrence, charging with him with the aforementioned charge.

On October 7, 2021, James Lawrence was found guilty, after a jury trial. A presentence investigation was ordered by the Honorable Gary White.

OFFENDER'S VERSION

An Offender's Version was not provided at the request of Attorney Darnell Crosland.

VICTIM'S ATTITUDE

This writer contacted the Family Violence Victim Advocate's Office, on August 31, 2021, requesting assistance in contacting the victim for their input.

The office of the Victim's Advocate reported that they spoke with the victim in October 2021, and the victim stated they would provide a statement. However, the victim never provided a statement.

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

CRIMINAL RECORD / PENDING CHARGES / INSTANT OFFENSES			
SPBI/SID NUMBER(S)		FBI NUMBER	
CT00660151, FL02326800, CA10805892		657868FA9	
ARREST DATE	TOWN OF ARREST	OFFENSE	DISPOSITION
02/26/1995	California	Theft	04/24/1995: Convicted- Probation
03/07/1997	California	Inflict Corporal Inj Spouse/COHAB	03/07/1997: 6 Months Probation, 190 Days Jail
02/06/2019	Westport	Harassment 2nd	Instant Offense

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

FAMILY BACKGROUND

The interview was conducted at the Norwalk Adult Probation Office on October 20, 2021, without the presence of Attorney Darnell Crosland, who was unable to attend, but was in agreement with the interview proceeding.

James Lawrence was born in Hartford, Connecticut, to the Union of James and Geri Lawrence. Lawrence reported that he was raised by both of his parents and amongst his brother, John Lawrence. Lawrence said that his parents have been together for 55 years and described the dynamics of his family as a "solid family life." Lawrence reported that he was always provided with the essentials, and that his parent's "did everything."

Lawrence reported that his mother is 79 years old and resides in Juniper, Florida. He indicated that she is a retired Real Estate Agent, and has been retired for approximately 30 years. Lawrence described his relationship with his mother, throughout his childhood, as "a great relationship." He shared that his family had "nightly family dinners" and vacationed together to Hawaii, Florida, and also went on Skiing trips. When asked how he was disciplined by his mother, he said, "she would yell with wisdom." Lawrence reported that his mother never had any substance abuse or mental health issues.

Lawrence reported that his father is 79 years old and also resides in Juniper, Florida. He indicated that his father is retired, and has previously worked in Human Resource Management for "various companies." Lawrence described his relationship with his father growing up as a "very close" relationship. He added, "He introduced me to basketball, football, baseball, and skiing. He coached some of my teams." Lawrence added, "We were an athletic family." When asked how his father disciplined him, Lawrence said, "The worst of a discipline from my father was we had shouting matches." Lawrence was asked if his father had any substance abuse or mental health issues, and he stated, "Nothing ever. My father used to have to pull his father out of bars as a kid. It was traumatic and he was affected by it."

Lawrence reported that he moved to San Francisco in 2007 and lived there until 2016. He indicated that he moved to San Francisco to live with his girlfriend at the time and said "we were going to get married." Lawrence said that he drove across country with his dog, who died in 2010, and described the passing of his dog as "very traumatic." Lawrence stated "I really liked San Francisco and never should have left." He added, "I only left to take care of my elderly parents. I was happy there."

Lawrence said that he maintains "a great relationship" with both of his parents. He indicated that speaks with his mother "daily", including via email, and that he speaks with his father "about once a week." Lawrence stated "From 2016 to 2019, I corresponded with their tenants and managed and fixed their properties while my parents lived in Florida for seven to eight months out of the year." Lawrence indicated that his parents have since sold the properties after the properties were on the market from 2018 to 2020. He reported that he assisted his parents with their properties in Florida, in 2020.

Lawrence reported that his brother John is 54 years old and resides in New Orleans. Lawrence indicated that his brother is a Flamenco Guitarist. He said that they have "always got a long and still do." Lawrence stated that he speaks to his brother "monthly or so."

When asked if he has experienced any traumatic events, Lawrence disclosed, "This legal stuff is very traumatic." Lawrence also disclosed that, in 1982, "My Arteriovenous Malformation (AVM) in my spine exploded. It happened in high school and still affects me due to stress and high blood pressure." When asked if he had experienced any abuse, Lawrence disclosed, "We all had relationships where people have lied to us. Some more than others." He also stated, "I have been abused by the media through their slander."

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

James' father was interviewed, via telephone, on November 17, 2021. Mr. Lawrence described James as a "very active" child, throughout his childhood, and said that James' athletic activities included baseball, basketball, and football. He reported that James "was popular" and always had friends at their residence where they played in the pool and were always fed by the Lawrence's. Mr. Lawrence said that James "got along with everyone in the family just fine" and that James' brother's friends were his friends and vice versa.

When asked if James experienced any traumatic events or abuse, Mr. Lawrence disclosed that James "had bleeding in his spinal system" his junior year in high school and that the hospital "could not alleviate the problem." Mr. Lawrence stated that the "bleeding in James' spinal system" occurred again, when James was in college, and as a result, James was not able to play college basketball anymore. Mr. Lawrence further disclosed that James broke his knee cap, his Junior year in high school and that it prevented James from going to "the five star basketball camp."

Mr. Lawrence reported that James never had mental health issues, no alcohol or drug issues, and that the Department of Children and Families was never involved in his life. In regard to James' adult years, Mr. Lawrence stated, "He's been working on and off. He was managing our properties when we had them. He's enjoying life and is a good person that never raised a finger to anyone. His biggest mistake was trying to defend himself in court without a lawyer."

Mr. Lawrence said, "[The victim] locked us out of the basement of the property. I couldn't get to the water system or anything mechanical. It was dangerous. She emailed us saying that James gave her a deadly disease and myself and wife were besides our self. James got tested for the disease and was negative. I have emails that supports this and that is why James texted her." Mr. Lawrence also stated, "He already spent a week in jail over one email. He is a good guy and been helpful to us. I know a number of people down here in Florida that he can get a job with."

RELATIONSHIPS / CHILDREN

James Lawrence reported that he is not currently in a relationship and does not have any children.

EDUCATION / VOCATIONAL

James Lawrence reported that he graduated high school from Staples High School in 1984. He reported that he was a member of the school's basketball, football, and baseball team, over the duration of his four years of his attendance. He reported that he was the captain of the school's basketball team over during that time.

Lawrence reported he attended Stetson University in Deland Florida for three and a half years. He stated that he sustained that A.V.M injury in his back and "had to stay away for one year" as a result. Lawrence reported that he did not enroll back into school, due to "partially being stupid and partially thinking that I didn't need it."

Lawrence's unofficial transcript was received from Stetson University that indicated his enrollment from Fall of 1984 to Spring of 1989. The transcript indicated that Lawrence accrued 101.60 Earned Hours and a Grade Point Average of 1.84.

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

EMPLOYMENT / FINANCIAL

James Lawrence contacted this writer via telephone on January 3, 2022, stating that he gained employment at Town Fair tire, in Southport, CT, as a Tire Technician, and also at Planet Pizza, in Fairfield, CT, making deliveries. Lawrence provided a paystub from Town Fair Tire Centers, dated January 13, 2022. Lawrence also provided a paystub from Planet Pizza, dated January 6, 2022.

Lawrence reported that he is an independent contractor and his field of work is painting. Lawrence reported that he has residential painting jobs secured throughout the winter.

Lawrence reported previous employment at a Clinical Laboratory, in Waterbury, CT, called UniLab, from 1991 to 1994. However, he said, "it no longer exists."

Lawrence reported that he had "numerous landscaping jobs" from 1996 to 2000. When asked which landscaping companies that he had worked for, he stated, "That was so long ago that those guys probably aren't around anymore."

Lawrence reported that he was a self employed Painting Contractor, "out of Westport, CT," from 2000 to 2006. He reported his company was named, New Age Painting.

Lawrence reported that he was a web designer, in San Francisco, CA, from 2007 to 2015. He reported that his work was a "freelancer" for "over 60 sites." Lawrence reported that some of his work involved "social activism." He reported the following websites were designed by him: Signsofdrugs.info, Signsofguns.info, Signsofclimate.info. Lawrence stated that he has received donations for the websites, but has not received any donations since 2018.

Lawrence reported that he was employed by his parents, as a property manager, since returning from San Francisco. Lawrence provided a letter from his mother, in which she reported his employment, as a property manager of a multi family house/apartments located at 73-75 West Parish Rd, Westport Connecticut, from 2016 to 2020. The letter further reported that Lawrence assisted them in moving out of the three story house by moving their belongings to a storage unit in October 2020, and was again employed by them in the summer of 2021, to help move their belongings to Florida.

Lawrence provided paperwork from the Connecticut Department of Labor, which indicated that Lawrence started to receive Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) on 2/23/20. The paperwork indicated that PUA was set to expire September 4, 2021.

HOUSING

James Lawrence reported that he was moving, the day after the interview was conducted, to 1174 Chopsey Hill Rd, Bridgeport Connecticut. He reported that he has been "staying at various places," including a summer house that "my parents rented" in Saugatuck Shores. Lawrence reported that his mailing address, since 2020, is 1655 Post Rd East, Westport, Connecticut, where his Aunt Pat Charlesworth resides.

Lawrence reported that he previously resided at 3 Lookout Trail Westport, CT, from 2019 to 2020.

Lawrence reported that he previously resided at 75 West Parish Rd, in Westport, CT, from 2016 to 2018.

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

Lawrence stated, "I was expecting to go to Europe and live there the rest of my life."

MEDICAL / MENTAL HEALTH

James Lawrence reported that he has never been diagnosed with any mental health issues. He reported that he "had anxiety in 2015, because of my knee." He reported that he was prescribed medication, that he "took for a month," and stated that he stopped taking the medication, as "it made me dopey and tired." Lawrence stated that he does not remember the name of the doctor who prescribed him medications for anxiety.

Lawrence stated, "I can't go swimming because of my ankle bracelet. It is making me depressed." Lawrence indicated that "swimming helps with the rehabilitation of my knee."

Lawrence reported that he would begin therapy with Maggie Sandoval of Third Level Therapy and Wellness Center LLC. A progress letter was received from Maggie Sandoval, dated November 10, 2021, which reported that Lawrence began treatment on October 25, 2021, and has been attending twice a week, for 60 minutes per session. The letter reported that Lawrence, "has been attending his appointments as scheduled and is actively engaged in treatment." An updated letter was provided dated January 20, 2022, that reported that Lawrence continues to engage in mental health therapy, two to three days per week, for 60 minute sessions.

Lawrence reported that he he has received prior treatment from Dr. Matthew Harris in Juniper, Florida, for his knee. Information was received from Dr. Harris that reflected an appointment date of March 23, 2015, as Lawrence reported joint pain and swelling of his left knee. The information received reported that "After review of patient's history, physical examination and supplemental x-ray and/or MRI findings the discussions of a L medialmeniscus tear(s) was undertaken." The information received reported that the meniscus tear itself is not an indication for surgery but Lawrence's pain symptoms cannot be controlled by an other means is the indication for surgery. The information received reported that despite discussions of various treatment approaches at length, Lawrence remained indecisive on the course of treatment he wanted to pursue despite the doctor's recommendations.

Lawrence reported that he received prior treatment from Dr. Joshua Marcus in Norwalk, Connecticut, for his arteriovenous malformation (back injury). Information was received from Nuvance Health, dated May 20, 2020. The History of Present Illness section indicated that Lawrence was referred by a Dr. Singer for management and evaluation of a previously diagnosed spinal vascular malformation in 1982. Per the the information received, Lawrence was admitted to NYU and was found to have a subarachnoid hemorrhage likely secondary to a spinal vascular malformation. The information received indicated that Lawrence had a repeat episode of severe back pain associated with nausea about four months prior. The report stated that Lawrence had an MRI in 2008 which "showed an intradural extra medullary mass with suggestive abnormal flow voids surrounding consistent with his previous diagnosis."

The Assessment/Plan section of the information received from Nuvance Health, reported that Lawrence has a longstanding history of a previously diagnosed spinal arteriovenous malformation and was diagnosed in 1982. The information received indicated that Lawrence had been very limited in his ability to work in labor related jobs due to his fear of rerupture and ongoing issues with intermittent pain for what was previously diagnosed as an inoperable lesion. The information receive also stated that Lawrence is likely going to be a candidate for disability secondary to his lifelong condition.

**CONNECTICUT JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF ADULT PROBATION
PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT LONG FORM**

COURT NAME OF DEFENDANT LAWRENCE, JAMES JR	CMIS CASE NUMBER(S) CA20212910150	CMIS CLIENT NUMBER CL01000220208
--	---	--

SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Lawrence reported that he never had a substance abuse evaluation or treatment.

Lawrence reported that he first consumed alcohol at the age of 18. He reported that he last consumed alcohol at the age of 24. He stated that he consumed alcohol "once a month, tops" during that time.

EXHIBIT B

Docket #: S20N-CR19-0147994-S

MOTION #3 – Filed August 30, 2021

MOTION TO CONTINUE MY NEXT COURT APPEARANCE FOR WHEN PROSECUTION IS READY TO BEGIN CHOOSING JURORS DUE TO THE FACT MY HOME IS IN GERMANY AND NEED TO ATTEND TO MY WORK THERE WITHOUT UNNECESSARY COVID-19 TRAVEL

2021 AUG 30 A 9:31
SUPERIOR COURT
GEOGRAPHIC AREA 1

FACT: I have made over 24 appearances in court since the Feb. 6, 2019 arrest for an alleged Email Harassment of a tenant of mine named Anna Campbell based on a October 2018 Warrant for a single Sept. 18, 2018 Email. Any more court empty court runs not only violate my 6th Amendment rights but also my 8th Amendment rights also – especially since it has been me and only me compelling and sharing relevant information like the seized Emails.

FACT: These empty court runs also endanger my life with unnecessary travel.

FACT: It was me who compelled the handover of the Westport Police's Feb. 2020 seizure of my Emails, a seizure 15 months after Oct. 2018 arrest warrant when they were supposed to have Probable Cause. THE NEXT 19 MONTHS AFTER FEB. 2020 SEIZURE THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER NEVER HANDS OVER THE SEIZED EMAILS TO PROSECUTION. These Emails would still be withheld if not for my June/July 2021 Motions to compel them as "Discovery".

Meaning: THERE IS NO CASE HERE FOR THE PROSECUTION.

FACT: D.A. Larry Tomaccio said on the record that is verified via a FOIA Request with the Westport Police that the Feb. 2020 seized Emails were not shared with Prosecution until I compelled them in June/July 2021.

FACT: Because the Seized Emails handed over were not in order for Prosecution to read in a coherent way let alone being material that violated my 4th Amendment rights by contradicting the actual wording of the Search and Seizure Warrant, I once again was proactive to give the prosecution in August 2021 material to work with – my copies of the necessary Emails and Email chains.

I have been forthright in sharing and compelling evidence. The proper next stages are (and have been SINCE MAY 2019) for prosecution to investigate as to who is responsible for

- 1: FELONY Tampering/Concealing Evidence – Complainant Anna Campbell or Officer Mark Grasso.

- 2: Verify the acts of Perjury committed by Complainant Anna Campbell.

- 3: Verify the numerous False Statements Complainant Anna Campbell committed.

(let alone have the necessary Emails to work with)


OR

4: GIVE ME A TRIAL SO TO GET VARIOUS LIARS ON THE STAND.

I ask that if the case is not Dismissed that I be E-mailed/called in for the next court date to start choosing jurors or E-mailed/called in to complete a Final Disposition in the form of a Complete Dismissal of the case after 3+ years. I ask this because I believe because of 3 years of punctuality I deserve to be free of burdens so to work at my residence since 2018 and not be faced with unnecessary Covid-19 dangers traveling to and forth for more empty court runs filled with game playing. This is an Email case that shows I live and work 6,000 miles away.

THE DEFENDANT
JAMES LAWRENCE

BY:

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'James Lawrence', written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and somewhat stylized.

Pro Se

EXHIBIT C

S20NCR190147994S : SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : G.A. # 1
v. : STAMFORD/NORWALK AT STAMFORD
JAMES LAWRENCE : OCTOBER 6, 2021

E X C E R P T

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY WHITE, JUDGE
AND JURY

A P P E A R A N C E S :

Representing the State of Connecticut:

ATTORNEY LAURENCE TAMACCIO
OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY
123 Hoyt Street
Stamford, Connecticut 06905

Representing the Defendant:

JAMES LAWRENCE
Self-represented

Recorded By:
Tara McCloud

Transcribed By:
Tara McCloud
Court Recording Monitor
123 Hoyt Street
Stamford, Connecticut, 06902

1 **EXCERPT OF JAMES LAWRENCE'S TESTIMONY:**

2 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence has indicated to me
3 that he's going to testify. So he can take the
4 witness stand, so face the clerk and take the Oath.

5 THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear or solemnly
6 and sincerely affirm as the case may be that the
7 evidence you shall give concerning this case shall be
8 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
9 so help you God or upon penalties of perjury?

10 THE DEFENDANT: I do.

11 THE CLERK: State your name and address for the
12 record.

13 THE DEFENDANT: James Lawrence. 1655 Post Road
14 East, Westport, Connecticut.

15 THE CLERK: Thank you.

16 THE COURT: All right. You could be seated, if
17 you want to or you can stand.

18 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. My --

19 THE COURT: This is not an argument. So if you
20 want to talk about the relevant and material
21 information in connection with the charge against
22 you, you can do that now.

23 And you look like you're getting ready to stand
24 up and make an argument to the jury, it's not time to
25 make an argument.

26 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, so you don't want me to -- I
27 can't use this right now to show --

1 THE COURT: Yeah, you can use it, but you have
2 to -- okay, tell your story, whatever you need to do.

3 THE DEFENDANT: I just want to go through the
4 email chain, once again. And --

5 THE COURT: Which you want to refer to an
6 exhibit. Which exhibit are you talking about?

7 THE DEFENDANT: It would be State's exhibit and
8 my exhibit L.

9 THE COURT: All right.

10 (Pause)

11 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Lawrence. Use the
12 exhibit that's been marked by the clerk, not
13 something that you have.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

15 THE COURT: I don't know what's on that.

16 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. We'll go through this as
17 efficiently as possible.

18 (Pause)

19 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, if I may, just to
20 move things along.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
23 Mr. Lawrence to be directed to not ask questions to
24 the panel.

25 THE COURT: Don't ask questions to the jury,
26 don't make arguments. This is your chance to
27 testify, so testify.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. According to Anna
2 Campbell, she asked me to stop emailing her at the
3 end of this email chain. According to the law email
4 harassment (Indiscernible) --

5 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

6 THE COURT: Sustained. Don't give legal
7 instructions. I'm the one that gives legal
8 instructions. Stay away from telling the jury what
9 the law is, I'm going to tell them that.

10 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So I'm looking for a
11 time in context when Ms. Campbell wanted to cease
12 communications in term with the alarm and scare or
13 anger.

14 I see in page one here I did (Indiscernible) an
15 argument and an introduction into an issue that was
16 asked to be resolved over time. And that was the
17 issue of her claiming to have Hepatitis C and that I
18 gave it to her and that I need to get tested.

19 I'll move onto the second page, and you will see
20 that. That says firmly established. It's only about
21 seven pages so it's a go fast.

22 (Pause)

23 THE DEFENDANT: I'm concerned with the -- Okay,
24 I'm going to stick to the first page. All right. As
25 you can see in this first page there was the
26 introduction of an alarm after a -- what is initially
27 an insinuation of me having and giving her Hepatitis

1 C.

2 There is the issue of Oh, a policeman did come
3 by asking if you lived here, I said no and that I
4 hadn't seen you. Okay, safe travels. I just wanted
5 to firmly establish that that exists at the beginning
6 of this email chain.

7 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, these exhibits
8 speak for themselves. We now have two copies of this
9 entire email chain in evidence, State's and defense.

10 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the
11 objection only because you appear to be making an
12 argument and not testifying. There is an appropriate
13 time to make an argument, this is not it. This is
14 your opportunity to testify, tell your side of the
15 story if you wish but --

16 THE DEFENDANT: I am.

17 THE COURT: -- you don't argue to the jury --

18 THE DEFENDANT: All right. All right.

19 THE COURT: -- testify.

20 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So I'll move on. So I'll
21 move onto the next page to where there is a -- I want
22 to point out because I am making an argument --

23 THE COURT: Well, you're not supposed to make an
24 argument --

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

26 THE COURT: -- that's what I just told you.

27 THE DEFENDANT: I want to note the dates, the

1 times. This is starting at 5:06 on September 14th. I
2 responded on the next day at 5:13 in the morning. So
3 it's starting in the early morning of September 15th.

4 It's very important that this chain shows time
5 frames of what's said and when things are ceased and
6 then when things re-engage. Those times are very
7 important.

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, again, this is an
9 argument.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 THE DEFENDANT: All right.

12 THE COURT: Don't --

13 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So the next -- the
14 second -- it's only seven pages. It comes up -- so
15 the 2nd page is once again, addressing an alarming
16 issue that extends all the way to the alleged
17 ultimatum at the end of this chain to which I -- no,
18 I'm sorry, I didn't mean to say that. Okay, so I'll
19 move onto the next one.

20 A third page now fully six hours into September
21 15th, six hours. And we are still dealing with an
22 alarming situation that I had planned on taking care
23 of at the end of this email chain.

24 There is one email here at 12:25 where she says,
25 please stop emailing me it's not what you think, I am
26 hurt not you. Let's sit for coffee or on the beach
27 and talk face to face like mature adults about -- and

1 not without bad words, relax, have a safe flight. I
2 am not the enemy. That's not an ultimatum. That was
3 a peace cry in regard --

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Again, Your Honor,
5 this is an argument.

6 THE COURT: Well, it sounds like one, but I'm
7 going to allow him to say it because I take it you're
8 explaining what you meant by one of these emails you
9 sent, is that what you're doing?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Yeah.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

12 THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Tamaccio had mentioned that

13 --

14 THE COURT: Don't. Testify.

15 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Now, once again, noticing
16 the time frame. So when she wrote this keep in mind
17 it is the email, please stop emailing me I am hurt,
18 not you. Let's sit on -- let's sit for coffee or on
19 the beach and talk, and face to face like mature
20 adults.

21 So we're now at 12:20 -- 12:25, a full six hours
22 in -- seven hours 'cause it start at five in the
23 morning. Seven hours into a drama okay, that prove
24 to be --

25 All right. And so, basically, I then cease as
26 you see it because the emails are rolling. The next
27 email is at 5:32 pm and Anna Campbell then re-engages

1 with me aggressively.

2 And it reads, And I just re-read what you wrote
3 me. How rude and disrespectful and don't ever write
4 such filth to me again. You wonder how you get in
5 the messes you do and because you just start off
6 without all of the facts.

7 I'm not vindictive, just doing what's right for
8 -- right and you need to do what's right for society
9 sakes. You might have Hepatitis C as I just found
10 out I have, which is deadly if not treated.

11 So screw you and your rudeness and narcissistic
12 attitude. My life, my health, not about you. Once
13 again, she's claiming something that is deadly.
14 She's claiming that I gave it to her, and she is re-
15 engaging. This is the second time in the same email
16 chain. The second time I ceased after the first --

17 THE COURT: Is there an objection?

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: There is, Your Honor. This is
19 argument, again.

20 THE COURT: Sustained. You're making an
21 argument, Mr. Lawrence. You're not testifying.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

23 THE COURT: Please don't make arguments at this
24 time.

25 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. That -- that time frame
26 very important. Okay, I'll move on. Very
27 significant. This is when we then begin a -- because

1 once again I'm defending myself because I know this
2 stuff. I think we all saw my lawyer that I -- you
3 know it's better that I can show this state of mind.

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor. It's
5 Irrelevant.

6 THE COURT: Sustained.

7 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So the emails roll
8 on because Ms. Campbell is basically once again
9 brought an issue forward, which was supposed to have
10 ceased.

11 And it was all relative to the same issue, so we
12 go through this, all the way through this where we
13 show our knowledge of Hepatitis C. I'll go to the
14 next page.

15 And once again, there is the issue of getting
16 treated. And for me if someone is saying get
17 treated, I would be the first person to go get
18 treated to which I did. And so get tested.

19 And these are unresolved issues that were fully
20 through Exhibit L, the main conflict. We are now
21 through the first four pages of the so-called
22 ultimatum to stop communicating with her.

23 But yet the same time I'm being told that she
24 wants to sit on a beach and to get tested. And it
25 takes at least three, four days to get tested.

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

27 THE COURT: You're talking about the amount of

1 time it takes to get tested?

2 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Well, I was actually objecting
3 to the argument that came before that but while I'm
4 on my feet, yes. I'm objecting to that as well.

5 THE COURT: All right. Well, you're making an
6 argumentative statement, but you know what I'm going
7 to overrule it.

8 THE DEFENDANT: So once again, a declarative
9 statement on Saturday, September 15th. We are now at
10 6:28 pm. A full thirteen, fourteen hours from the
11 initiation of the issue. Now, it's a long day.

12 And once again, there is a declarative
13 statement. Interrogatives (Indiscernible) -- a
14 declarative statement by the complainant then get
15 tested and prove it wrong. It's right there, then
16 get tested and prove it.

17 The final page.

18 (Pause)

19 THE DEFENDANT: Which I can't read. Oh, it goes
20 like this. All right, good. Okay. And this is
21 where, like I said I don't see any kind of ultimatum
22 or rational --

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.
24 Argumentative.

25 THE COURT: Sustained. You're making arguments
26 you're not testifying, Mr. Lawrence. Testify.

27 THE DEFENDANT: As the final. I've note she

1 says, I am not blaming anyone, and it ends up her
2 saying at 6:33, don't contact me again or come by the
3 apartment while I'm here. I leave on the 30th I
4 never want to see you again, understand clear, right.

5 Now, there's two emails here. The other one is
6 at 6:33 also saying stop now. So you need to look at
7 the times of these. They are very important.

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

9 THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the
10 objection. Mr. Lawrence, you can testify as you
11 wish. This isn't the time for argument. If you want
12 to explain to the jury what your intentions were in
13 sending any emails that you sent, you can tell them
14 that.

15 But you are making arguments and you're not
16 testifying about what your intent was in sending any
17 emails. Whether you intended to harass, annoy or
18 alarm or whether what you sent was likely to annoy or
19 alarm. If you want to talk about those things, go
20 ahead and do that, please.

21 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. And so the final email
22 after the conflicting ultimatum was --

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, again, to
24 argumentative.

25 THE DEFENDANT: -- crystal. I do care about
26 your health. I do not appreciate your jives at me
27 all day. Accusations unfounded. Completely uncalled

1 for.

2 This could have waited. And you could have
3 informed me of the fucking policemen when it
4 happened. I don't know what to believe with you.
5 This is my state of mind.

6 When I was trying to enter exhibits on the
7 complainant's state of mind, which we have a few.
8 But I wanted all of the emails from July, that state
9 of mind.

10 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

11 THE DEFENDANT: This is state of mind.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: You're talking about exhibits that
14 you wanted, that's not the jury's issue.

15 THE DEFENDANT: I'm talking state of mind.

16 THE COURT: Talk about -- you can talk about
17 that, don't talk about what exhibits this court
18 admitted or didn't admit, that's not for them. Do
19 you understand? What is that, yes or no?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Continue.

22 THE DEFENDANT: So this is my state of mind. I
23 don't know what to believe with you. That's my state
24 of mind. And that's my testimony for this. Now we
25 move on to Exhibit M. Two pages.

26 THE COURT: You have to use the --

27 THE DEFENDANT: I have it.

1 THE COURT: No. Use the one that's marked by
2 the clerk.

3 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So -- yeah. I found
4 it, okay.

5 THE COURT: And when you're done with the
6 exhibits return them to the clerk, so we don't lose
7 track of them.

8 THE DEFENDANT: All right. Exhibit M.
9 Something no more than a page.

10 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor,
11 mischaracterizes --

12 THE DEFENDANT: No, I just want to make sure
13 that we can get out of here. So we can get out of
14 here.

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- the exhibit.

16 THE COURT: Stop. If there's an objection,
17 stop. Let me hear the objection. Yes.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: For the clarity of the record,
19 Mr. Lawrence is misstating the nature of his own
20 exhibits. He said no more than a page. Exhibit M is
21 in fact three stapled typed written pages. Again,
22 the clarity of the record.

23 THE DEFENDANT: Upper page, two emails.

24 THE COURT: Sir, don't address the jury you
25 address the court if we're talking about some issue
26 of the miscibility or the nature of an exhibit.

27 The exhibit you're talking about is a three-

1 page document, I can see it from here. Proceed, I'm
2 not asking for anymore comments from you.

3 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So three days has
4 gone by, and my state of mind being confused also
5 being the landlord, the one who basically arranged,
6 managed and was the one responsible for the original
7 lease with the complainant.

8 I'm now back associating with the owners and
9 landlords and people who also handle this -- the task
10 with the rental unit. And three days into this I
11 take care of medical business and I basically send
12 Ms. Campbell a email.

13 Anna, advice do not cause my beloved 77-year-old
14 parents any stress whatsoever, take this advice.
15 Now, whatever anyone wants to say about this whole
16 thing this is allegedly an email because it was
17 mentioned in the warrant.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 THE DEFENDANT: This is the email and the
21 question in my opinion.

22 ATTY. TAMACCIO: objection.

23 THE COURT: Sustained.

24 THE DEFENDANT: So let's take the word advice.
25 I entered in Exhibit --

26 THE COURT: Which Exhibit is that?

27 THE DEFENDANT: O.

1 THE COURT: O.

2 ATTY. TAMACCIO: O as in Ostrich.

3 THE COURT: All right. Could you give him --
4 defense O, please?

5 THE CLERK: Yes.

6 THE COURT: When you're done with it return it
7 to the clerk, please, thank you.

8 THE DEFENDANT: No, this is the wrong one. This
9 is scared. It's the one with advice not scared.

10 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's Exhibit N.

11 THE COURT: You said O, so you mean N?

12 THE DEFENDANT: N, yeah. So when people say
13 advice. Advice they'll laugh, they'll laugh the
14 sweets (Indiscernible) advice.

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor. This is
16 argumentative.

17 THE COURT: You're making an argument you're not
18 testifying.

19 THE DEFENDANT: This -- I'll just read the
20 definition of advice. It is a guidance or
21 recommendation offered with regard to prudent future
22 action. What is prudent future action? Is this a --
23 am I threatening? Prudence is wisdom, it's an
24 advice. It's not even a --

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

26 THE DEFENDANT: -- warning it's a
27 recommendation.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

3 THE COURT: You're making an argument, again.

4 THE DEFENDANT: All right. Well, that's -- all
5 right. So this is -- this is the time and this is
6 the -- where I am my state of mind, basically, and
7 what I was thinking. I'm back for three days. Ms.
8 Campbell, apparently, is in some kind of emotional --

9 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 THE DEFENDANT: I wanted noted also here that
12 when she responds she says she was trying to contact
13 the police. It is in Officer Grasso's testimony that
14 I was at the police department at the same day and
15 that's the truth. That's the truth.

16 What happens is, is that I was addressing Anna
17 the issues in this email about my parents being
18 thrust into this drama. And my parents -- I,
19 basically, took it upon myself to go to the police.
20 And I walked in there and they told me to take a seat
21 and wait. I sat there and I waited an hour, and no
22 one came.

23 So this testimony of Officer Grasso, which is
24 corroborated in the police report, basically, is the
25 truth.

26 I was there trying to be -- act peaceful. Keep
27 in mind I'm in the system and the complainant knows

1 this because I have the 2nd degree --

2 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

3 THE DEFENDANT: I can --

4 THE COURT: You're -- you are making arguments
5 continuously. Don't make arguments. Tell your side
6 of the story. You could tell what you meant by
7 emails that you've sent. You could talk about your
8 state of mind. Don't make arguments at this point.

9 THE DEFENDANT: So yes, I'm not going near her.
10 I never went near her. And my belongings were there
11 and I could have legally gone there.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 THE DEFENDANT: So I go to the police department
15 and after an hour, no one came out. They may have
16 been too busy, and I decide to say -- I decide to
17 leave and I -- and there's no email after that,
18 right.

19 So I decided to say, all right, just don't
20 contact her. And I don't contact her.

21 But I do contact her one more time. And once
22 again, it's in relation to my role of how I,
23 originally, met her and also in relation to State's
24 department -- the State's exhibit, the beginning
25 about re-renting the apartment, that's a very big
26 issue.

27 She said -- said she was lying here when she

1 said that she would help me rent the apartment. And
2 all I'm doing -- now, if anyone can tell me that a
3 question, it's an interrogative. So can we halt --

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

5 THE DEFENDANT: I'm just reading the email.

6 THE COURT: No, you're in the course of making
7 another argument. So sustained.

8 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So can we halt the
9 relative craziness now. And help me rent the place?
10 I was planning to be back in Europe this week. I
11 have to be back there November 19th and want another
12 seven-week stretch.

13 So how about letting me show the unit to three
14 or four people. Now, keep in mind. When the -- the
15 -- when the complainant was saying that she's posting
16 the place, I'm simultaneously taking lists of people.

17 This is a friend. This is someone I'm
18 trusting, a friend and someone I'm intimate with.
19 I'm getting names of people and I'm setting up times
20 to show because I got to leave in two weeks.

21 We've already wasted a week through drama with
22 all kinds of stuff that I'll explain in my closing
23 argument. But my state of mind and once again my
24 confusion -- like it was at the end of the 15th email
25 -- my state of mind and my confusion was like okay, I
26 have somebody here, we're intimate, we've shared a
27 lot. There was the issue of Hepatitis C that was

1 resolved by my father handing over the

2 (Indiscernible)

3 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Assumes facts not
4 in evidence.

5 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.

6 THE DEFENDANT: Everyone knows that everyone is
7 clean and no one has Hepatitis C. So what I --

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Speculates us to
9 another state of mind and personal knowledge.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 THE DEFENDANT: So what I'm doing in defense of
12 myself here -- what am I doing, I'm asking questions
13 as a friend, as a former lover and as a landlord who
14 rented her the place.

15 And as someone who had invested interest and
16 still being able to ascertain belongings that were
17 being held there and I write, I have seven, seven
18 people waiting for October one now. Don't freak on
19 the cleaning. That's not pressure just orderly. You
20 and the kitties -- she has cats -- can take the café
21 for a couple of hours.

22 Once again, and I reiterate. I really don't
23 want my family involved in all of this drama. I
24 don't want them to be dealing with what is clearly
25 someone who is unpredictable.

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

27 THE COURT: Sustained.

1 THE DEFENDANT: And I write, my parents are
2 afraid of you. No unnecessary anxiety, please. I
3 see questions here, I don't see caps lock --

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

5 THE DEFENDANT: I don't see --

6 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Argumentative, Judge.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 THE DEFENDANT: And that is Saturday, September
9 22nd at 6 -- at 3:58 am. And that's it. That's it.
10 A question I got arrested for. As a landlord, as a
11 friend, and a lover asking for no anxiety. That's my
12 defense.

13 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

14 THE COURT: Sustained.

15 THE DEFENDANT: Or maybe I'm going to take the
16 -- I would love for the State to call me they don't
17 want to call me. Do you want to call me?

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Let's sustain the objection. You
20 keep making arguments, Mr. Lawrence. This is not a
21 time to make arguments it's the time to testify.

22 THE DEFENDANT: I'm an open book.

23 THE COURT: As I've said a dozen times now.

24 THE DEFENDANT: So why don't we attempt to bring
25 in the other two exhibits. We have N and O. What
26 was O, Mr. Kim?

27 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor. The

1 clerk is not an advocate here. If Mr. Lawrence wants
2 to submit evidence that's up to him.

3 THE COURT: Just to expedite things. You can --
4 which one is this?

5 THE CLERK: O. This is O.

6 THE COURT: O. All right.

7 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not done --

8 THE COURT: Hold on.

9 THE DEFENDANT: I want to talk one more thing
10 here.

11 THE COURT: Sir, return the other exhibit --

12 THE DEFENDANT: I have one more question on
13 this.

14 THE COURT: -- to the clerk. Well, finish your
15 question and return the exhibit to the court.

16 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So and she gets back to
17 me once again in caps lock to say leave me alone.
18 And once again she's mentioning my parents and you in
19 small -- in small letters, don't contact me, again,
20 for any reason. This to me this looks like someone
21 --

22 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

23 THE COURT: You are arguing, again. Sustained.

24 THE DEFENDANT: What part of crystal clear.

25 Okay. And she mentions the word threat, which is --
26 if I was to begin to -- there is no threat under any
27 kind of (Indiscernible)

1 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

2 THE DEFENDANT: Whatever.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 THE DEFENDANT: State of mind. What was I going
5 through, I'm there now for a full week, that's the
6 22nd, okay? I'm there for a full week, 15th-22nd.
7 Now, I could've gone over there.

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

9 THE COURT: Sustained. Mr. Lawrence, are you
10 saying that in these emails you wrote you weren't
11 threatening or trying to harass her?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

13 THE COURT: Okay. It was as simple as that.
14 Continue.

15 THE DEFENDANT: I'm not threatening. I'm being
16 -- I'm just trying -- I'm trying to comply with what
17 we had in communicating with all through State's
18 evidence. All through August. And I was basically
19 -- since I was proactive to resolve the test that you
20 wanted. I thought that the issue was over then.
21 That's why I made this email. I thought that the
22 issue was over. If my parents could have testified.

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Sustained.

25 THE DEFENDANT: But that issue of the test was
26 resolved on the 19th. And then, so I gave it three
27 days. I have people waiting, people still want to

1 see it. I have girlfriends. I know it takes time
2 sometimes, you got to cool down. I gave her three
3 days. I gave her three days.

4 And I just pose the question, a question that
5 was simultaneously being done by all the interested
6 landlords. It wasn't just me who had an agenda and a
7 flight --

8 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So my state of mind
11 is like, this is someone I know, we've resolved
12 stuff, there was unresolved issues. Now, all of the
13 issues are resolved.

14 The correspondence -- see you have to understand
15 I have a phone, I did not call. Officer Grasso
16 couldn't reach me on a phone because I had a European
17 phone.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. As to relevance --

19 THE DEFENDANT: I'm just saying, Officer Grasso
20 was testifying --

21 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- and argumentative.

22 THE DEFENDANT: -- that he had tried to call me.

23 THE COURT: While there is an objection, let me
24 hear the basis of the objection, don't interrupt if I
25 have a chance to rule. Yes, counsel.

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Relevance, argumentative and
27 while I was objecting assumes facts not in evidence.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 THE DEFENDANT: No, it is in evidence. Officer
3 Grasso --

4 THE COURT: Rephrase the question.

5 THE DEFENDANT: In Officer Grasso's testimony,
6 he mentioned the fact that he tried to call me --
7 Officer Grasso mentioned that he tried to call me at
8 the same day that saw me driving around the police
9 department.

10 In defense of myself I'm old school -- I don't
11 have it on me -- my flip phone. And I have a
12 European phone. I was only back for two weeks so
13 everything that I'm doing is via email or someone who
14 would call me or visit.

15 So here I am waiting three days to let the issue
16 around the September 18th drama calm down. And then
17 I tried to then re-engage with the agreed upon agenda
18 with a friend -- now, using pleas underlined. And I
19 never ever contact her, again, ever.

20 She then has a full nine days at the unit,
21 undisturbed like she asked. Including from my family
22 we don't show the apartment, we don't even rent it
23 out. My family also, had an agenda to leave quickly
24 because they live in Florida.

25 When I'm presenting evidence that I was the
26 manager of this place, that is the truth. My parents
27 only live up in the North four years -- for I mean

1 four months of the year.

2 So I'm basically, responsible for a lot of
3 duties there. I need to be able to have access when
4 I have tenants and stuff and locks were changed,
5 drama --

6 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 THE DEFENDANT: So that's what happened. My
9 parents were out of there in a week or two, I was out
10 of there in a week or two, we were both out of there.
11 They were going back to Florida, I was going back to
12 Dusseldorf. And you know we left the place
13 unreckoned for another six months. We lost --

14 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

15 THE COURT: Sustained.

16 THE DEFENDANT: So again, I would say that I
17 have abided by her -- caps lock -- unresolved --
18 resolved issues ultimatum. I was very much aware.
19 I'm

20 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

21 THE COURT: Sustained. You keep making
22 arguments.

23 THE DEFENDANT: Well, what --

24 THE COURT: You're not testifying.

25 THE DEFENDANT: All right. I'm -- so you're
26 saying that she -- she's saying that -- all right,
27 you're saying that she -- I harassed her with these

1 -- with this email? Okay. And I'm saying that I did
2 not harass with this email.

3 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Argumentative.

4 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that
5 objection. You're saying you didn't intend to harass
6 with the emails, is that what you're indicating?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 THE DEFENDANT: Because according -- the intent
10 is a big thing. That's the big thing here. What is
11 your intent? Is it have anything to do with
12 disturbing the anger in her or harming her, no.?

13 You want to talk alarm, look what I went through
14 in September -- whatever -- all right. So that's --
15 that's basically my testimony on these two emails
16 that were you know --

17 There's a lot of variables going on. And it's
18 very frustrating after three years and you're still
19 trying to bring out that you saw me yesterday crazy
20 with papers all over the place --

21 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

22 THE DEFENDANT: -- but these are documents.

23 THE COURT: Sustained.

24 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So let's move on so
25 that's -- this you'll read. No. I'm going to move
26 on to the Exhibit N. We did go over N, the Exhibit
27 on the advice.

1 THE COURT: Which one do you want to talk about,
2 Mr. Lawrence?

3 THE DEFENDANT: I think it's O now. Yes, we did
4 -- we did talk about it.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Kim, do you have O?

6 THE DEFENDANT: The dictionary definition of
7 advice it called a prudent action.

8 THE COURT: Sir, don't talk to the jury. Which
9 exhibit is --

10 THE MARSHAL: This is Exhibit O, Your Honor.

11 THE DEFENDANT: We heard a word. We heard a
12 word yesterday. I asked you, did I frighten and most
13 significantly, was there frightening things before
14 this. Frightened is associated with alarm. Now, if
15 someone frightens you, do you -- how do you respond?

16 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection. Questioning the
17 jury. Relevance. Assumes facts not in evidence
18 instructing on the law.

19 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection,
20 but I take it, Mr. Lawrence, you're saying that in
21 any email you sent you did not intend to frighten,
22 alarm, scare the complainant Ms. Campbell, is that
23 what you're saying?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Definitely.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

26 THE DEFENDANT: Once again, my intent. The
27 September 18th email, which I will go over in my

1 closing. That email, a full three, four days after
2 the initial conflict was an admonishment. It was a
3 request.

4 It was something that is in everyday happening
5 between anyone from someone leaning you up against a
6 car, say get the hell off my car to anything.

7 Now, I know we want to think that there was some
8 kind of cease of, but there wasn't. There were
9 unresolved issues. That's -- that's my main defense
10 here is that there were unresolved issues.

11 And I was not only doing things to resolve -- I
12 was trying to be proactive to resolve, but I was also
13 protecting a third party that was involved. That
14 email involves a third party. It does not involve
15 anything having to do with my relationship with Anna
16 Campbell.

17 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, again, Your Honor.
18 I've let this go on quite a bit. It's argumentative.

19 THE COURT: It is argumentative. And again, Mr.
20 Lawrence, I take it you're indicating that the emails
21 you sent were not intended to harass, annoy, or
22 alarm, but to protect a third person and to resolve
23 some issue that you thought was left unresolved. Is
24 that what you're indicating?

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

26 THE COURT: Thank you. Continue.

27 THE DEFENDANT: And so why don't we bring up the

1 last exhibit and see if it's able to be brought in,
2 that would be P, exhibit P.

3 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, given that Mr.
4 Lawrence is testifying in the narrative form because
5 he is self represented, I'm going to object now in
6 advance of it being brought out in an abundance of
7 caution.

8 THE COURT: Okay. And --

9 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I'd also ask Mr.
10 Lawrence be admonished to stop communicating with
11 people in the gallery.

12 THE DEFENDANT: I haven't questioned my mother,
13 my father is (Indiscernible)..

14 THE COURT: Don't, don't, don't do that.

15 THE DEFENDANT: My father.

16 THE COURT: Don't do that. And you continue to
17 do it as I tell you not to do it.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I'd ask the record to reflect
19 that he continues to turn around mouthing words to
20 the gallery.

21 THE COURT: Well, it's on the record what --
22 what is this last one?

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: P.

24 THE CLERK: P.

25 THE COURT: P. It's on the record what that is
26 and that is not strictly germane to what's going on
27 here --

1 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That is the basis of the
2 objection. Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: -- in terms of relevance or -- or
4 materiality. So I'm going to sustain the State's
5 objection.

6 THE DEFENDANT: So you don't want to enter it,
7 okay.

8 THE COURT: So that last exhibit is not a full
9 exhibit.

10 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So in defense of
11 myself, that's taking the stand here to show you
12 that, that's what was my state of mind.

13 THE COURT: You're making an argument, again,
14 but go ahead.

15 THE DEFENDANT: You have to understand that was
16 very --

17 THE COURT: Don't -- testify. Do not make
18 arguments. I don't know how many times I have to say
19 that.

20 THE DEFENDANT: But I don't have anyone
21 questioning me, so it's very hard to --

22 THE COURT: Well.

23 THE DEFENDANT: It be easier if I'm welcoming.

24 THE COURT: Sir, if you're done testifying say
25 that and then the State will have an opportunity to
26 cross-examine.

27 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I'm going to object

1 to this entire colloquy.

2 THE DEFENDANT: Okay, yes. Let's -- let's
3 cross-examine.

4 THE COURT: All right. You can sit down on the
5 witness stand. Cross-examination, Mr. Tamaccio.

6 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Good morning, Mr. Lawrence. I
7 have --

8 THE COURT: Are those exhibits or --

9 THE DEFENDANT: That was just notes.

10 THE COURT: -- that's your paperwork.

11 THE DEFENDANT: That's not exhibits.

12 THE COURT: All right. Do you have all of the
13 exhibits, Mr. Kim?

14 THE CLERK: I do, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

16 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Good morning, Mr. Lawrence. I
17 have no questions. Thank you for your time, sir.

18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right,
19 you can leave the witness stand. I don't know what's
20 so funny, Mr. Lawrence.

21 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I'd ask the record
22 reflects that --

23 THE DEFENDANT: You're a punk.

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- Mr. Lawrence -- excuse me,
25 sir -- I'd ask the record to reflect that he is
26 laughing as he removes himself from --

27 THE DEFENDANT: And a coward. A coward.

1 ATTY. TAMACCIO: -- the witness stand and is
2 calling me a punk and a coward.

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: And I ask he be admonished for
5 the (Indiscernible)

6 THE COURT: Don't do that. You're misbehaving.
7 Don't do that. Do you have any other witnesses?

8 THE DEFENDANT: No, that's it.

9 THE COURT: All right. So you rest your case?

10 THE DEFENDANT: I rest my -- well, we have
11 closing arguments -- yes, I rest.

12 THE COURT: Members of the jury, that concludes
13 the evidence in the case. The next thing we're going
14 to do is have closing arguments by the parties.

15 **EXCERPT OF CLOSING ARGUMENTS OF BOTH PARTIES:**

16 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Good after noon, ladies and
17 gentlemen. Before I begin my argument, I do first
18 want to thank all seven of you for your time, your
19 attention and your patience as we went through this
20 case over the last two days.

21 What we are here to talk about. What we have
22 been here to talk about is an email exchange and Mr.
23 Lawrence and the victim all go through September of
24 2018.

25 Now sometime over the summer of 2018, the victim
26 rented a room in a residence the had previously been
27 occupied by Mr. Lawrence. From their interactions

1 during that process, a brief social relationship
2 developed. That relationship turned somewhat sour,
3 as you heard.

4 Beginning on August the 21st, when Ms. Campbell
5 first notified Mr. Lawrence of her intent to vacate
6 the apartment a chain of events was set in motion in
7 which you all watched and will soon be able to read
8 the emails.

9 The victim began to push towards privacy to keep
10 Mr. Lawrence from her and from her life. You've
11 watched and you'll be able to read Mr. Lawrence
12 resist those efforts repeatedly, willfully, and
13 forcefully.

14 The evidence that you'll have in the
15 deliberation room is going to show you that no fewer
16 than four times on September the 15th and September
17 the 16th and again, on September the 18th the victim
18 asked, pleading with Mr. Lawrence, please stop
19 emailing me.

20 The evidence will show as you already seen that
21 Mr. Lawrence either ignored or straight out refused
22 to comply with that request (Indiscernible). Then he
23 continued to contact on the 15th, continued on the
24 18th --

25 THE DEFENDANT: Objection. Could I object on
26 the facts?

27 THE COURT: You can -- you will have a chance to

1 argue so your objections overruled. It's up to the
2 jury to remember the facts in terms of the testimony.
3 They'll have the exhibits. They're going to
4 determine the facts. Continue.

5 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Thank you. And he continued on
6 the 22nd of September. Those emails are all
7 (Indiscernible). We've all read them multiple times,
8 you'll have the opportunity to read them at your
9 leisure.

10 Ladies and gentlemen, these are the facts of the
11 case, and they are undisputed. The question that
12 remains for you to determine having heard in a few
13 moments the instructions on the law that Judge White
14 will provide.

15 When are those (Indiscernible) whether that
16 conduct constituted harassment, only you get to
17 determine that, not Mr. Lawrence, not the victim, not
18 me, not the Judge?

19 The Judge is going to instruct you in a moment
20 on the law that there are two elements to that, that
21 Mr. Lawrence communicated with the victim in a manner
22 that was likely to cause annoyance or alarm in a
23 manner, content is irrelevant.

24 And that he did so with the intent to harass,
25 annoy, or alarm. And shortly the Judge will instruct
26 you as to how it is that you as the finders in fact
27 can come to a determination as to Mr. Lawrence's

1 intent.

2 I ask you to listen closely to the instructions
3 on that. And when you do I believe that you'll find
4 there is ample evidence on Mr. Lawrence's intent and
5 ample evidence of the manner in which he
6 communicated.

7 At the end of all of that, I submit to you that
8 there's only going to be one verdict that's supported
9 by facts and the law, and that verdict is guilty. In
10 a moment Mr. Lawrence is going to come up and make
11 his argument.

12 When he's done, I'm going to come up and ask
13 you to return that guilty verdict. Please, pay
14 attention to what Mr. Lawrence has to say. It's
15 crucial that every side gets a fair hearing.

16 Please, pay attention to what the Judge has to
17 say. He's the ultimate Alford of the law. Again,
18 ladies and gentlemen, you decide the facts. And the
19 facts here speak for themselves. Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Thank you. Before Mr. Lawrence has
21 his opportunity to give a closing argument, I want to
22 give you a brief limiting instruction or cautionary
23 instruction.

24 Mr. Tamaccio during his closing argument used
25 the word victim multiple times. And I'm going to
26 tell you to construe that as alleged victim
27 complainant at this point because you're going to

1 have to make a determination of whether the State's
2 proven the charges beyond a reasonable -- the charge
3 beyond a reasonable doubt.

4 So having given you that limiting or cautionary
5 instruction, Mr. Lawrence, it's your opportunity to
6 address the jury.

7 THE DEFENDANT: As you could see I'm new to
8 this. And I apologize you guys were kept here a
9 little too longer than you should the other day, but
10 it was a lot of unresolved issues prior.

11 So I just want to react really quickly to Mr. DA
12 Tamaccio's case. But he's talked a push towards
13 privacy. And repeatedly and used the word
14 forcefully, which we usually associate it with
15 physical things, but he says forceful.

16 And he talked about this four times which is not
17 right. When he mentions the 15th, that was the
18 argument where there was undeniable scare tactics by
19 the complainant and inviting unresolved issues.

20 So the 15th is arguably most significantly not
21 relevant. This -- Anna advised, do not cause my
22 beloved 77-year-old parents any stress whatsoever.
23 Take this advice. This -- this I got arrested for.

24 And that should really concern you because
25 there's other issues going on. But just to address
26 the issues that we're talking about as Mr. Tamaccio
27 is saying that those are the facts. Really?

1 I think we all know facts, we read novels. Did
2 he just come up here and recite a novel? I'm
3 tripping over paperwork yesterday, over one -- one
4 phrase. And we think we have the facts.

5 Let's get to some facts; intent to harass, alarm
6 and anger. They want to put me in jail for this.
7 There are so many doubts. And that's what you guys
8 have to struggle with.

9 First, we have an obviously scorned complainant
10 saying that this is under testimony that she
11 intentionally -- we're talking intent here --
12 intentionally lied to me about showing the apartment
13 in August. A full month before I came back.

14 She said that she also intentionally was scaring
15 me, James -- scaring you James, by mentioning oh,
16 police came by when it was not the case. That's
17 intent. This is a request a warning at the most.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I am
19 going to object during cross -- excuse me, closing
20 but this is the second time Mr. Lawrence has shown a
21 document to the jury that is not in evidence.

22 THE DEFENDANT: It's just a one-line statement.

23 THE COURT: Well, I take it he's alluding to
24 something that is in evidence, his own copy. It's
25 argument. And I'm going to remind the jury that what
26 the party say during the closing argument is not
27 evidence.

1 It's going to be up to you to remember the
2 evidence and determine its credibility, that's
3 including the -- any testimony and any exhibits that
4 are admitted into evidence. Proceed.

5 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So the obvious doubts so
6 each of -- you have her intentionally lying to me
7 about saying that she wants to help me show the
8 place. Intentionally scaring me that saying that
9 police were looking for me.

10 And intentionally scaring me about potentially
11 having a deadly disease and that I was responsible
12 for giving it to her. And this is her own words.
13 She actually used the word scare. This is why I put
14 it as an exhibit.

15 Advice is not a scare. You look up advice in a
16 Thesaurus, you're never going to find the word scare.
17 It's very important to consult Dictionary's and
18 Thesaurus's on these words. Her own word scare is
19 alarming and angry.

20 Before this one line that is -- I've been living
21 with for three years with mounds of paperwork before
22 September 18th. In that September 15th email chain,
23 there is a host of issues that I was burdened with,
24 that I had to take of. Let alone being the manager
25 of the apartments and having to attend to other
26 tenants and other things, let alone my own affairs.

27 She is also intentionally doing this to my

1 parents, simultaneously. Sharing information with my
2 parents about a deadly disease and that cop could be
3 possibly looking for me.

4 Now, I don't know if -- I'm sitting in an
5 airport and I'm about to board a plane after this
6 craziness. And I'm thinking that I could have
7 something and that I'm going to get picked up, I'm
8 going to be in jail.

9 I mean to me that's alarming. You want to talk
10 real alarms. We as a nation, as a world just went
11 through -- are still going through COVID. And these
12 type of flip kind of accusations about health, I
13 think we understand.

14 Now, so these are -- these are -- there is no
15 doubt about it -- it's like -- it's more than
16 scaring. It seems to be caddy scare tactics. And I
17 think what happens in psychology when you tap
18 into someone who is lying to you and you can call
19 them out for it, there is a triggered response.

20 Some people who are very honest and who will try
21 to address that right away. A lot of people --
22 psychopathic kind of behaviors will double down and
23 even go to another extreme. It's like one extreme
24 against another.

25 The testimony Ms. Campbell was kind of scared.
26 Avoiding a lot of stuff, slouching in her chair, not
27 looking at us. And I just found that there's most

1 certainly some emotional and mental issues.

2 Now, more doubts. What did the complainant do
3 to illegally keep me from my belongings? What was
4 her intent to play victim? All the while invites
5 unresolved issues on the-- on the 15th.

6 I don't know what to believe with you, was a
7 quote that I sent at the end of September 15th. I'm
8 not lying. I didn't know what to believe with this
9 person, I have no clue.

10 All I know is that I have the whole host -- I
11 had a storm and I left this part of the world to seek
12 peace and to avoid conflict. And I came back to a
13 world wind of craziness. These were conflicting
14 statements she made.

15 And keep in mind, this is a friend this is not a
16 stranger. I did not come up to you know -- hey stop
17 typing, take the -- you know -- I was not doing
18 something to somebody in person -- email is certainly
19 more passive than in person, it's not forcefully. It
20 was someone who I knew.

21 When I tried -- when I tried to enter all of
22 these, I want of the emails read because I want
23 contents. I want everyone to understand the nature
24 of our relationship. And why she was -- I could show
25 how she was fiending some kind of fear of me when I
26 was at nine thousand miles away.

27 When we read that first exhibit, I'm sorry I put

1 you through that. Okay, because I had no idea that
2 if I rejected the exhibit that the clerk would have
3 to read the entire exhibit to you.

4 But in that one exhibit was more words and more
5 substance of any kind of relationship in anything --
6 in anything else, okay. And that was the first week
7 I knew her. We hung for like four weeks after that.
8 We got close, she got close to me. All right.

9 Now, more doubts. These were unresolved issues.
10 More doubts. Did she harass my family? And do I
11 have the right, not only as a family member but also
12 as a worker of -- as a co-landlord, as a manager to
13 address this harassment.

14 Who's her to set the agenda on saying who is
15 harassing? Some people will use it as a victim
16 playing it's a big (Indiscernible) right now for the
17 (Indiscernible). A lot of people will just be like
18 they're more like, I like take care of it myself.

19 Who's to set the agenda on this. And then
20 where is the evidence after someone is claiming this
21 his happening? I thought we could've heard from my
22 father more and my mother about the details because
23 they were unduly thrust into this situation. And
24 they were having contacts and they were my third-
25 party connection to Ms. Campbell. I was channeling
26 stuff through her.

27 My father is going over there pulling out books

1 and belongings, five days into this thing. What
2 should've taken five minutes for me to be able to go
3 over and get my stuff, it took five days. It was
4 drama, it was hype.

5 Now, was there a restraining order issued for
6 me? Did I not have a right to over to my very own
7 place of work at least without some very strange kind
8 of like cop and woman and all of these secret
9 shenanigans that went on that I ended up not knowing
10 about any of this stuff.

11 And I had a five months later with some crazy
12 warrant that was written, put out there. I mean --
13 there's a -- once again, Mr. Tamaccio said those are
14 the facts. I haven't begun chapter 1. You let me get
15 out of here I'll tell you the truth.

16 So there's no restraining order. I was
17 completely within my legal right to go to my
18 apartment, fetch my belongings but I chose peaceful
19 routes, I chose unforceful routes, I chose
20 uncontroversial routes.

21 I'm using my family, a third person. In fact,
22 even when I got that COVID test back in two days --
23 three days on the 19th. I didn't go over there and
24 stick it in her face all angry and scorned like how
25 dare you even think that I -- you know did this, I
26 had my father do it.

27 There's no emails of this. There is no record

1 of me being aggressive towards here. You have to
2 keep in mind, my intent when I came back was to stay
3 out of trouble.

4 I had a crazy false arrest for a second-degree
5 breach of peace, which ended up being resolved as a
6 ninety-dollar infraction because COVID came. I would
7 still be fighting that thing right now because I
8 don't want anything on my record, and I have a clean
9 record

10 But I took that ninety-dollar infraction which
11 is nothing -- a noncriminal ninety-dollar infraction,
12 noncriminal just for perspective. I fell asleep in
13 my car at the rest stop at the highway. I got a two-
14 hundred-and-fifty-dollar infraction.

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
17 Ladies and gentlemen, ignore anything for which there
18 is no evidence. There's no evidence about what Mr.
19 Lawrence was just talking about, it's not a part of
20 the case.

21 And I told you before, argument is not evidence.
22 And you are only to consider the evidence that was
23 admitted through witnesses and exhibits. And
24 anything else that's said is -- you should just
25 ignore it 'cause it's not a part of the case.

26 And while I'm telling you this, earlier Mr.
27 Lawrence said something to the effect that they want

1 to put me in jail. I've told you before, I'm going
2 to tell you again, when I give you my final
3 instructions that the consequences of your decision
4 -- either guilty or not guilty -- are not part of
5 your decision-making process.

6 So you don't make a decision because you're
7 concerned about what might happen to the complainant,
8 what might happen to Mr. Lawrence, that's not a part
9 of your consideration.

10 You find the evidence -- I mean you find the
11 facts based on the evidence you find credible, you
12 take -- you take the law that I give you and you make
13 your decision one way or the other. Proceed.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. So just -- just for
15 perspective that's why I was saying. So we got
16 knowingly false -- knowingly false accusations being
17 directed at me. All right. I was not knowingly,
18 intentionally directing you know false accusation. I
19 invited -- the accusation was that she was disturbing
20 of my parents.

21 And I've invited the facts to come out but
22 because the law is directed a certain way we're not
23 getting all of the facts.

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Sustained. Make your arguments
26 based on the evidence that's been admitted. So --

27 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So back to the

1 THE COURT: Sustained. I'll tell the jury,
2 again, you only consider factual claims that are
3 based on evidence that was admitted during the trial.
4 Continue.

5 THE DEFENDANT: The prosecution objected to
6 basic questions to resolve doubts. And we witness
7 complainant fuzzy with details and out right lying.

8 Now, it was prosecutor's constant objections
9 yesterday to something as basic as a question about
10 nature of relationship with the people I'm talking
11 about in the email and (Indiscernible). Is that
12 really -- is that something to conceal?

13 Was the prosecution's tactics their intent to
14 object to try to rise my anger. Was he trying to
15 anger me to look bad in front of the judge? That's a
16 tactic. Now, so she says she has updated
17 (Indiscernible) all right (Indiscernible), okay.

18 Why be afraid to answer a question about the
19 nature of your relationship with people. I
20 referenced this relationship into two emails that are
21 an issue in this crazy case.

22 You know I'm going to go there. It might sound
23 a little sociopolitical, but do we really want to
24 reward this type of victim playing?

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

26 THE COURT: Sustained. That's an improper
27 argument.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Are we not in probably
2 the most gender woring zeitgeist in history of man
3 kind?

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 THE DEFENDANT: Have we ever really heard of
7 this type of arrest before? I mean really. This
8 kind of arrest -- all right, so I stayed away from
9 her because my intent was to stay out of trouble.
10 And yet, somehow, I ended up arrested.

11 There is a story there. They want to put me in
12 jail for advice.

13 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Again, Your Honor, objection.

14 THE COURT: That's sustained. And you said that
15 before and I gave the jury an instruction on that
16 last comment you've made. Don't make that anymore.

17 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Now, I said -- I wrote
18 this September 18th email in lower caps, no
19 exclamation marks, the call to prudent action. I
20 visited the police to show for illegal treatment of a
21 landlord and other tenants locking us out of our
22 belongings. It was a whole host of people.

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

24 THE DEFENDANT: They want --

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Assumes facts not relevant.

26 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that. There's
27 no evidence about that last comment. Ignore it.

1 THE DEFENDANT: So this is no laughing matter.
2 I have a home and a life elsewhere and deserve after
3 three years of court runs to return to my home abroad
4 at the age of fifty-six and live out my life, have a
5 child, and do things.

6 I do not deserve for what I was doing, coming to
7 the aid of my parents, okay, and dealing with some
8 emotionally imbalanced person to have -- I've already
9 spent three years you know fighting for a justice,
10 which you could help with. Now -- now, we sold this
11 house.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Sustained. There's no evidence
14 about selling a house.

15 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I do believe that me
16 myself -- that myself and my parents were victims and
17 have been for three years. They've been experiencing
18 this with me. Three years is a long time. I spent a
19 lot of money to maintain my clean Connecticut record.

20 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

21 THE DEFENDANT: To maintain my clean Connecticut
22 record.

23 THE COURT: Sustained.

24 THE DEFENDANT: I could not and will never allow
25 someone to think that they can get away with false
26 statements to recognize the police. Some people
27 recognize the police others don't. I don't think

1 that's the kind of a healthy culture that we want.

2 Now, this was a friend, this was not a stranger.
3 This is someone that there was familiarity. Someone
4 I trusted. And since with friendship there's trust,
5 you're going to test waters.

6 You're going to say, oh, okay, you're
7 emotionally -- things are a little better now four or
8 five days later. Can I show the house? All right,
9 okay. Never heard from me again.

10 My own feeling is that -- well, that's just
11 around the arrest warrant and I will talk about that
12 another time. Okay, so this was a friend. And I
13 asked you, really who does this to a friend?

14 Would any of you, an ex-boyfriend, ex-
15 girlfriend, ex-friend, whatever, any kind of friend,
16 would you do this to someone that you know?

17 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

18 THE DEFENDANT: That you know. That you know.
19 Someone that you know.

20 THE COURT: That's argument. But I'm going to
21 tell the jury again, you only decide the case based
22 on evidence that was admitted. If there's no
23 evidence in the case for something, you ignore it.

24 Argument is not evidence. And the parties are
25 given some lead way in making their closing
26 arguments, so they can assist you in your
27 deliberations.

1 But you've got to make a decision based on
2 evidence that was admitted in the law I give you.
3 And if somebody who's making an argument in front of
4 you says something for which there's no evidence,
5 just ignore the argument. Proceed.

6 THE DEFENDANT: So in the -- I'm sorry -- so in
7 the emails with the state of mind. In the emails she
8 admits that she is stressed, that she's down, that
9 she's hurt, that she's sick. Her state of mind and
10 motivation is an issue.

11 I wish she answer the questions. For the State
12 even to have the opportunity to recall her but they
13 do. I had planned to sit on a beach with her like
14 July to which she asked in that September 15th email
15 chain but kept things in a business manner.

16 I got tested. I stayed away from her while
17 attending to my parents' needs. Take this advice.
18 This is a common idiom like the following everyday
19 for (Indiscernible) a (Indiscernible) prudent action.

20 If you know what's good for you, parents say
21 that to children all of the time. Do your homework.
22 Take this advice, if you know what's good for you.

23 There's another idiom, cut the bullshit. Little
24 street language but that's -- that's -- cut the shit.
25 Here's something which is actually worse than what I
26 said arguably because it's setting a little bit
27 different tone.

1 Don't harass my parents, or else. Like you're
2 insinuating that there is going to be other actions.
3 You're not calling to prudent action or else. Now,
4 that -- I still as a jury -- I would not, but if I
5 were you -- if I was in your shoes I would -- these
6 are used everyday.

7 How about this. Well, if I were you -- or how
8 about this -- for good measure, do this. Actions
9 speak louder than words. What were my actions? Was
10 I anywhere near her?

11 Couple more idioms just to consider. Wake up
12 call Anna. We give each other wake up calls. Buckle
13 your seat belt or how about this, heads up. These are
14 all warnings, they're not threats. They're everyday
15 language. Are these unlawful? Do you get arrested
16 for this nonsense?

17 She gave conflicting ultimatums on the 15th,
18 okay. My belongings. My parents had the right to
19 warn her not to harass beloved ones until there's a
20 restraining order. You really think about it. Why
21 do they have restraining orders? Why do they have a
22 whole sequential process?

23 THE COURT: Is there an objection?

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: There is an objection, Your
25 Honor.

26 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it. There's no
27 evidence about restraining orders in this case.

1 Ignore that.

2 THE DEFENDANT: I'm just talking about the
3 arrest process. Now, do I deserve to have my
4 employment opportunities invented by this insanity?
5 Is that --

6 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 THE DEFENDANT: Is it not strange that police
9 never contacted or quoted me during this? And is it
10 not strange that they never contacted the subjects of
11 the email, my parents, is that not strange to you?

12 There's no evidence at all of Officer Grasso --
13 he gets -- My parents have lived in that town for
14 sixty years. And you're trying to tell me that we
15 got the facts, as Mr. Tamaccio says. Do you really
16 think you have the facts?

17 You get an email about elderly people possibly
18 being harassed and you don't go over there and try to
19 get a fair and balanced assessment of the situation.
20 There are other things going on.

21 Threats are real. Harmful. Where is the harm
22 or the damages? Look at my damages I could speak to
23 them when you are reported on.

24 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Sustained.

26 THE DEFENDANT: I moved here to avoid conflict.
27 The complainant knew my immediate needs, peace away

1 from here. But as she writes she was jealous to a
2 fault of where I was. She wrote that.

3 Jealous to a fault meaning that there were
4 issues admittedly down, sick, there were problems,
5 there was emotion issues. I'm not -- you know. But
6 when someone's emotional issues end of affecting your
7 life you have the right to bring them out.

8 She was jealous to a fault. Now is that --
9 that's not proper motivation to get someone arrested.
10 Is that not more doubt for -- for you, reasonable
11 doubt.

12 Feelings and jealousy are not grounds to get
13 someone arrested. She claims she is not the enemy,
14 as she says. She's writing it in an email to me that
15 she's not -- that she's not the enemy when she is
16 feeling that police were coming by me because she was
17 trying to, as she says, scare me, okay, alarm me.

18 And then she's writing that she's not the
19 enemy, then why am I here three years later facing
20 what I'm facing?

21 Just -- she claims in the emails that's she's
22 not vindictive. Yet, look at where I am now. Look
23 at where you are. This case is not worthy of your
24 time, yet the full true story is.

25 And what is the full true story? Complainant,
26 police, prosecution, even Judges, media, a lot of it
27 -- elements. That just don't come out in a

1 courtroom. This is worthy of your time. Free me and
2 I'll show you what's going on.

3 We need to reign in this victim playing for I
4 can attest to it because I am a victim here.

5 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: The jury's going to have to evaluate
7 the evidence and decide the credibility issues, so
8 continue with your argument.

9 THE DEFENDANT: I had no irrational desire to
10 communicate with her. Harassment usually has a kind
11 of irrational --

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.
13 Constructing the jury (Indiscernible).

14 THE COURT: I've told jury before, I'm going to
15 tell them again, you get the law from me not from Mr.
16 Lawrence or Mr. Tamaccio. So I'm going to tell you
17 what the law of harassment is. So you follow what I
18 say on that issue. Thank you. Continue.

19 THE DEFENDANT: I was warning, I was requesting,
20 I was not alarming, and I was not scaring. And I did
21 not initiate this. And I did not re-engage in the
22 same email conversation after a pause for five hours
23 and re-engage with the same type of stuff. That is
24 repeated.

25 She was doing repeated stuff to me. Repeated
26 alarms, multiple types of alarms, but not just
27 towards me but towards (Indiscernible) and with two

1 different topics, cops and -- and a deadly disease.

2 Now, you remember when DA Tamaccio warned us --
3 warned us, so I'm saying that what I did at the worst
4 was a warning -- I'm saying a request -- a call to
5 prudent action.

6 Where did -- when Officer Grasso left here, and
7 you guys left and then you guys came back. And do
8 you remember what he warned you of? He warned you
9 that the COVID 19 --

10 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE DEFENDANT: -- that Officer Grasso's
12 daughter had COVID 19.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Objection.

15 THE COURT: Sustained.

16 THE DEFENDANT: We took this advice and warning.
17 We as a world populous have been dealing with this
18 kind of stuff. And the subject is not to be
19 (Indiscernible) about or evasive about or out right
20 mendacious about. Hep C is serious.

21 Police looking for you is serious alarms. Now,
22 I can handle these alarms. I'm not the type of
23 person you're going to call the cops on someone for
24 something like this, all right?

25 But I could've -- I could've pled guilty for
26 this but I don't want to allow a woman to think that
27 she can get away with this. Because if she does it

1 to me, she gets away with it with me, she'll do the
2 same victim playing feening, lying to another person.
3 And I don't think our system needs that. I can
4 handle myself.

5 And unnecessarily involve my family and scaring
6 them, that crosses the line. She brought multiple
7 unresolved issues that in all reality demanded a
8 better mediator and not an opportunistic arrest
9 (Indiscernible) after an email like that.

10 We don't have the facts. I invited all of the
11 emails for context. You have some, but not all. Who
12 gets arrested for this? I have to tell you a really
13 fascinating story, but it will have to happen at
14 another time.

15 What kind of friend an intimate or not does this
16 to another, this is not a stranger? Why -- we cannot
17 reward this type of false alarms and these
18 premeditated alarms, concoctive alarms. For whom is
19 she the one to set the agenda after inviting two very
20 alarming things to me?

21 I had no intent to harass someone. I intended
22 to war. I intended -- I called the prudent action,
23 but not to alarm or anger. Do you think I wanted to
24 anger someone being in the system? Do you think I
25 wanted to anger someone? I had better things to do.

26 I was trying to be a peacemaker for my mother
27 and father, for they were fuming over the apartment.

1 issues. And keep in mind this is a tenant that was
2 only two or three months there. We didn't know a lot
3 about her. She has her own particular history.

4 We've had other tenants been there ten years.
5 All right, so you know. So we're obviously dealing
6 with someone we don't know. We don't know -- I mean
7 if something like that happened while I was away,
8 changed locks or lock other people out, or other kind
9 of issues -- yeah.

10 She probably would've been dealt with you know
11 -- with -- as -- by my father or whoever else was
12 managing at the time with the same type of stern
13 language -- hey, look you can't do this, don't do
14 this, don't do this to another tenant.

15 And though we can't get into her own renting
16 history. These are more facts that we can't -- that
17 we don't know about. So where is the evidence?
18 Recall, she was in a holding pattern in August and
19 then feening she was afraid of me. I sat here for an
20 hour asking her what are you afraid of?

21 We were on good terms when I left. And all of a
22 sudden now I get this police report and this
23 narrative that you are afraid of me in August, late
24 August but yet, the emails don't show anything to be
25 afraid of. They show Bondi running shoes and a whole
26 bunch of other things. And if we could admit all of
27 them, you'd see even more (Indiscernible) and

1 friendly things.

2 Where is it? We press, we press, I press, I
3 wait two hours, what is it? Is it (Indiscernible)
4 what is it? I hear blogs. I'm like what blog, what
5 are you talking about, a blog?

6 Is that for someone to get arrested for, oh I
7 read a blog? Did anyone else try to get me arrested
8 for this blog? I mean come on. She was in a holding
9 pattern.

10 Planning on staying longer and she was afraid of
11 me. She was feening. People do this -- there are
12 some type of borderline personality types that do
13 this.

14 But like I said we didn't get into sworn written
15 statements, we didn't get into a lot of other aspects
16 of what makes up an arrest. This was really
17 educational for me. I learned a lot, okay.

18 Representing yourself, you learn a lot. And I'm
19 kind of glad I went through this process, because I
20 do feel that I can be a good voice for the future for
21 people.

22 So she was on a holding pattern planning to stay
23 longer. And she was never afraid in August. She had
24 no grounds to fear me there's no evidence of it.
25 I've been wanting to understand this.

26 I was being a responsible person doing what she
27 requested. I was being a responsible person for what

1 she advised me to do, advised, that she advised me to
2 go get a test. And I did it.

3 You the jury can give common sense. And I'm
4 done. Thank you, for your time.

5 **EXCERPT OF THE VERDICT:**

6 THE COURT: We're here of course on State v.
7 James Lawrence. The defendant is here, Mr.
8 Tamaccio's here.

9 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Good morning, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: I have received a note from the
11 jury. It's dated October 7th, 2021. It says, Your
12 Honor, the jury has reached a verdict. Jury foreman,
13 Arian Milani. It's been marked as court's exhibit 5.
14 Anything before the jury comes out, gentlemen?

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Nothing from the State.

16 THE COURT: Anything from you, sir?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Nothing.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Bring them out, please, thank
19 you.

20 (JURY ENTER)

21 THE COURT: All right. Do the parties stipulate
22 to the presence of the six jurors?

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: State so stipulates.

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

25 THE COURT: All right. You could be seated.
26 Everyone could be seated. Good morning, ladies and
27 gentlemen. I received a note from you. It's dated

1 October 7th, 2021. The note says, Your Honor, the
2 jury has reached a verdict.

3 Jury foreman, Arian Milani. And it's been
4 marked as a court's exhibit and our clerk's going to
5 take it. We have a procedure we follow in taking a
6 verdict and our courtroom clerk will take you through
7 it. Thank you.

8 THE CLERK: Thank you, Your Honor. Ladies and
9 gentlemen of the jury, I'm going to take attendance.
10 As I call your names can you please rise, remain
11 standing and indicate your presence by saying either
12 here or present. Joseph Hidalgo.

13 MR. HIDALGO: Here.

14 THE CLERK: Robert Furnari.

15 MR. FURNARI: Here.

16 THE CLERK: Mary -- I mean -- I'm sorry, Arian
17 Milani.

18 MR. MILANI: Here.

19 THE CLERK: Samuel Glasberg.

20 MR. GLASBERG: Here.

21 THE CLERK: Christopher Baldyga.

22 MR. BALDYGA: Here.

23 THE CLERK: And Jane Hayes.

24 MS. HAYES: Here.

25 THE CLERK: Okay. Everyone, you may be seated
26 except the foreperson. Ladies and gentlemen of the
27 jury, the foreperson, please rise as you are and

1 state your name on the record?

2 MR. MILANI: Arian Milani.

3 THE CLERK: Thank you. Will the defendant Mr.
4 James Lawrence, please rise and face the jury.
5 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, in the case of
6 State of Connecticut versus James Lawrence, docket
7 number CR190147994, by way of your foreperson have
8 you agreed upon a verdict?

9 MR. MILANI: Yes.

10 THE CLERK: Thank you. What you say Mr.
11 foreperson, is the defendant guilty or not guilty of
12 the crime of harassment in the second degree, in
13 violation of Connecticut General Statutes 53a-
14 183(a)(2), with which he stands charged.

15 MR. MILANI: Guilty.

16 THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
17 listen to your verdict as is accepted and ordered
18 recorded by the court. In the first count of the
19 information upon your oath.

20 The unanimously agree that the defendant is
21 guilty of the charge of harassment in the 2nd degree,
22 in violation of Connecticut General Statute 53a-
23 183(a)(2). Is this your verdict and if so, say you
24 all?

25 THE JURY: Yes.

26 THE COURT: Okay. It appears that each person
27 agrees. If you do agree -- you found the defendant

1 guilty please just raise your hand, please. Okay,
2 all six have done so. Thank you. You can sit down,
3 sir. Any request to poll the jury?

4 ATTY. TAMACCIO: None from the State, Judge.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, do you want the jury
6 polled?

7 THE DEFENDANT: What does that mean?

8 THE COURT: To have each one of them
9 individually stand up and say that he or she is --
10 agrees that you've been proven guilty beyond a
11 reasonable doubt. Do you want me to do that?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

13 THE COURT: All right. The clerk will take you
14 through that procedure.

15 THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
16 when I call your names please rise, remain standing.
17 Joseph Hidalgo, is it your verdict that the
18 defendant, Mr. James Lawrence is guilty to the charge
19 of harassment in the 2nd degree?

20 MR. HIDALGO: It is.

21 THE CLERK: Thank you. You can be seated.
22 Robert Fumari, is it your verdict that the defendant,
23 Mr. James Lawrence is guilty to the charge of
24 harassment in the 2nd degree?

25 MR. FUMARI: Yes.

26 THE CLERK: Thank you. Arian Milani, is it your
27 verdict that the defendant, Mr. James Lawrence is

1 guilty to the charge of harassment in the 2nd degree?

2 MR. MILANI: It is.

3 THE CLERK: Thank you, be seated. Samuel
4 Glasberg, is it your verdict that the defendant, Mr.
5 James Lawrence is guilty to the charge of harassment
6 in the 2nd degree?

7 MR. GLASBERG: It is.

8 THE CLERK: Thank you. Christopher Baldyga, is
9 it your verdict that the defendant, Mr. James
10 Lawrence is guilty to the charge of harassment in the
11 2nd degree?

12 MR. BALDYGA: It is.

13 THE CLERK: Thank you, you can be seated. And
14 Jayne Hayes, is it your verdict that the defendant,
15 Mr. James Lawrence is guilty to the charge of
16 harassment in the 2nd degree?

17 MS. HAYES: It is.

18 THE CLERK: Thank you.

19 THE COURT: All right. The jury's verdict may
20 be accepted and recorded. Members of the jury I want
21 to thank you, very much for your hard work. You've
22 done your duty as required by law.

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, following the
24 verdict as the defendant is no longer (Indiscernible)
25 in the presumption of innocence.

26 The State is requesting that Mr. Lawrence's bond
27 be raised.

1 THE COURT: All right. And anything else.

2 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, Judge. There is also a
3 part B information that was filed. Mr. Lawrence was
4 made aware of it off the record. Mr. Kim was present
5 for that, I believe. That was sometime last week, I
6 don't have the date in front of me.

7 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't do anything. I didn't
8 do anything.

9 ATTY. TAMACCIO: And, Your Honor, on the part B
10 information, I'd refer the court to State v. Fagan,
11 280 Pond 69 at 93 and 94.

12 The part B information in this matter charges
13 Mr. Lawrence with a potential sentence enhancement as
14 he was subject to release under 5463 at the time of
15 the instant offense of which he has now been
16 convicted.

17 Fagan at the area that I sited. Further sites,
18 Apprendi v. New Jersey, United States Supreme Court.
19 President 530 US 466. And I'll just read the
20 relevant language, if I may.

21 The United States Supreme Court held the
22 defendant who is subject to enhance penalty has a
23 right to a jury finding on of the facts, other than
24 on an issue whether he has a previous conviction.

25 Under the reason and express an apprendi in its
26 prodigy we conclude that we'd be in the Connecticut
27 Supreme Court. Conclude that once having been

1 convicted of having committed crimes in a part A of
2 the information, the defendant status at the time he
3 committed those crimes involved a legal
4 determination, not a factual one. And that
5 accordingly he was not entitled to a jury trial on
6 the issue of his status.

7 THE COURT: So you're indicating that the -- at
8 the time he committed this harassment in the 2nd
9 degree he was on release in connection with another
10 case that was pending.

11 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's correct, Your Honor.
12 And I have the certified court records to demonstrate
13 the same, which I'm prepared to submit if the court
14 is seeking evidence on that (Indiscernible).

15 THE DEFENDANT: My -- my lawyer told me that
16 this would not come into a play because it was
17 resolved --

18 THE COURT: Hold on. I'll give you a chance to
19 talk, sir. As I recall, Mr. Lawrence himself
20 indicated that he had a charge pending. I think he
21 referred to as a breach of peace that was reduced
22 ultimately to creating a public disturbance. He was
23 out on bond at the time.

24 I think he brought that up during his closing
25 argument. He might have said something about that
26 during the course of either his own testimony or in
27 cross-examining the complainant.

1 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's all correct, Your Honor.
2 He brought it up during the cross of the complainant.
3 He brought it up during his own direct testimony.
4 And again, referenced it before the jury during
5 closing arguments.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, is there any dispute
7 that you were on release in another case a the time
8 of this --

9 THE DEFENDANT: I was told by my --

10 THE COURT: -- listen to me. Is there a dispute
11 about that, because if there's a dispute we'll bring
12 the jury back and have a jury trial on the part B.
13 So do you want a jury trial on the part B?

14 THE DEFENDANT: The final disposition of the
15 case for the 2nd degree breach of peace was in
16 February 2020. The arrest was -- it was February
17 2019. I settled the case in a noncriminal way for --

18 THE COURT: Sir --

19 THE DEFENDANT: -- for an infraction of \$90 -- a
20 \$90 infraction.

21 THE COURT: -- you're not responding -- you're
22 not responding -- you're not responding to the
23 question that I'm asking you.

24 THE DEFENDANT: I'm just telling truth. I'm
25 telling -- I'm not going to lie the facts are there.

26 THE COURT: Let me just say this. From what I
27 can see based on the court's file, based on what Mr.

1 Lawrence said, Mr. Lawrence at the time he committed
2 this harassment in the 2nd degree was on release
3 status and he said that in court -- the record.

4 The court's file reflects that and the
5 determination of whether he was on release at the
6 time of the harassment in the 2nd degree is not a
7 factual issue it's a legal issue.

8 And the court has a right to take judicial
9 notice of its own file. But unless you go and argue
10 otherwise or -- and I'll listen to you, Mr. Tamaccio.
11 I don't know really what a jury trial is going to do.

12 But I know that according to *apprendre*, if I
13 understand it correctly, if a sentence enhancement is
14 predicated on some factual finding other than a prior
15 conviction of something that's reflected in a court
16 trial or some issue of law, then the defendant's
17 entitled to a jury trial.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's correct, Judge.

19 THE COURT: We should go forward with the jury
20 trial? Even though what we're doing may be totally
21 superfluous. Out of abundance of caution do you want
22 to go forward with the jury trial?

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I'm prepared to.
24 Again, I don't believe it is required by either
25 United States Supreme Court or Connecticut Supreme
26 Court (Indiscernible).

27 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't do anything.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, do you want to go
2 forward and have your jury trial and your part B on
3 the second part of the information?

4 THE DEFENDANT: I'm confused. I'm just speaking
5 facts. I'm not trying to avoid. The facts are in
6 your hands. The facts are --

7 THE COURT: No, the facts are not on my hand.
8 The facts were in the jury's hand, and they decided,
9 not me.

10 THE DEFENDANT: They decided for an enhancement?

11 THE COURT: If you want to have a jury trial
12 regarding the part B, I'm going to allow it. The
13 State is claiming that you were on release status in
14 connection with another case at the time you
15 committed this harassment in the 2nd degree.

16 THE DEFENDANT: But they don't know --

17 THE COURT: If you want to have a trial on that
18 you can have a jury trial on that. I'm going to
19 bring the jury back. I'm going to give them an
20 instruction and they're going to go back and decide.

21 And the State's going to put on any evidence.
22 You'll get a chance to cross-examine.

23 THE DEFENDANT: So basically --

24 THE COURT: Do you want to have a trial on the
25 part B?

26 THE DEFENDANT: All right. So it can't be
27 decided by you it has to be decided by the jury?

1 THE COURT: Well, if you want to plead to it,
2 you can. If you don't want to have the trial on the
3 part B you don't have to.

4 THE DEFENDANT: I trust, Your Honor, because the
5 details of that case, they have to know what -- they
6 have to know what the resolution of the case is.
7 They need to know what the resolution is. So how are
8 we going to give them the final disposition of the
9 case?

10 THE COURT: All they need to know -- all they to
11 hear is evidence that you were out on release in
12 connection with another case at the time you
13 committed this harassment. That's all they're
14 concerned with.

15 They're not concerned with any -- the results or
16 consequences, that's not up to the jury. So you got
17 -- so I'd like you to make a decision. Do you want
18 to have the trial?

19 THE DEFENDANT: What is -- what is the
20 enhancement?

21 THE COURT: You're -- if you're convicted of
22 part B, the court has the discretion to add a year on
23 to the harassment of the 2nd degree. The maximum
24 penalty is I believe 90 days in jail and a \$500 fine
25 --

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's correct, sir.

27 THE COURT: -- for the harassment in the 2nd

1 degree. And if you committed this offense at the
2 time you're on release on another case, then it adds
3 a year on top. So your exposure would be fifteen
4 months instead of just 90 days.

5 THE DEFENDANT: What is -- what kind of culture
6 business I'm living in? What kind --

7 THE COURT: So what do you want to do, sir. So
8 what do you want to do? Do you want to have the --
9 you want to have the trial on the part B?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I don't want to be
11 convicted.

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you prepared
13 to go forward with your --

14 ATTY. TAMACCIO: May I have just a few moments
15 before we call the jury back?

16 THE COURT: Okay. You need time to gather your
17 --

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Can I just have maybe ten or
19 fifteen minutes, Judge?

20 THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a ten-minute
21 recess. Unless there's an objection, I could,
22 perhaps, have Mr. Kim go back and tell the jury
23 they're -- we're going to need them, and I'll bring
24 them out in like ten or fifteen minutes.

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That's fine, Your Honor.
26 Before we recess, however, may I have a ruling on my
27 request to bond raise?

1 THE COURT: Yes, what is the -- what is his bond
2 currently?

3 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Twenty-five thousand dollars, I
4 believe according to the clerk.

5 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't do anything.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to be heard on
7 that, Mr. Lawrence? The States' attorney's asking me
8 to raise your bond since the presumption of innocence
9 is gone on a part A and he's asking me to raise your
10 bond. Do you want to be heard on that?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I don't think my bond
12 should be raised. I've been basically, an upstanding
13 citizen my whole life. And I basically -- this -- I

14 THE COURT: You know what I'm going -- I'm going
15 to save you any further argument. I'm not going to
16 raise your bond now, that's not saying that I won't
17 ever do it.

18 But I'm going to tell you, don't leave the
19 building, stay close by because you got to come back
20 in and we're going to have the trial on the part B.
21 So don't go anywhere. Do you understand? You've got
22 to say something

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

24 THE COURT: Okay, we're going to take a ten-
25 minute recess.

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

27 THE COURT: Let me know when we're ready to go.

1 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

2 THE COURT: We'll start the part B trial. Thank
3 you. Hold on. Mr. Lawrence, come back for a second.
4 I think I asked you and just to make it clear.

5 I'm going to have our courtroom clerk, Mr. Kim,
6 go back to the jury and tell them to stay in place
7 for about ten or fifteen minutes because we may need
8 their services again, and I may bring them back in
9 the courtroom.

10 Do you have any objection to Mr. Kim doing that?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Needing the jury -- no.

12 THE COURT: Okay, Thank you. Recess.

13 (Recalled)

14 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, I was approached by
15 the marshal's during brief recess indicated that Mr.
16 Lawrence wish to speak to me. And it's my
17 understanding he wishes to address the court?

18 THE COURT: What would you like to say, sir?

19 THE DEFENDANT: I was confused about the
20 decision. I don't want to bring out the jury to re-
21 try.

22 THE COURT: So you want to admit the part B, so
23 to speak, or plead guilty it, whatever the term is?
24 Could you stand up, please, and stop leaning over the
25 table?

26 THE DEFENDANT: Sorry, it's just that my legal
27 advice right now is my family and someone else. So

1 it's like --

2 THE COURT: Sir, you know what, we're just going
3 to bring out the jury and just go forward --

4 THE DEFENDANT: I -- I -- I'm sorry. I don't
5 want to --

6 THE COURT: -- 'cause I'm not getting an answer.
7 So we're just going to bring out the jury. Sir,
8 stand up. Just -- you don't need to lean over the
9 table just speak up in a loud voice.

10 THE DEFENDANT: I don't -- I don't want to bring
11 out the jury.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: And, Judge, if I may, under
13 Fagan I don't think he's even entitled to a jury.
14 Perhaps, the most proven route here to have a court
15 trial.

16 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --

17 THE COURT: Do you want to --

18 THE DEFENDANT: I basically, I did not
19 understand the complete instructions and I've been
20 consulted, and I don't want to bring the jury out for
21 a trial on this first case. I wish I had my lawyer
22 here with me.

23 THE COURT: So would you -- I just asked you a
24 minute ago do you want to admit pursuant to the part
25 B information --

26 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that I was -- yes, that --
27 all this -- these are the facts.

1 THE COURT: So you're -- that's what I'm asking
2 you. You're admitting that when you committed this
3 harassment in the 2nd degree that you were on release
4 in another case?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, 2nd degree breach of peace.

6 THE COURT: And it started -- and it started out
7 as what like a breach of the peace and got knocked
8 down?

9 THE DEFENDANT: To an infraction, yes. Second
10 degree breach of peace.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 ATTY. TAMACCIO: It's all accurate, sir.

13 THE COURT: All right. So do I need to ask him
14 anything else, Mr. Tamaccio?

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: No, Your Honor. Under Fagan I
16 don't believe you do.

17 THE COURT: All right. And I explained it to
18 you a little while ago. You understand that that
19 part B adds a year on the potential penalty.

20 I didn't say what I'm going to do, I haven't
21 decided what I'm going to do, but for the harassment
22 in the 2nd degree your maximum exposure was the
23 ninety days in jail and a five hundred dollar fine.

24 Now it goes up -- the jail exposure goes up a
25 year. So it's fifteen months with the five hundred
26 dollars fine. And again, I haven't said what I'm
27 going to do, I haven't decided. I'm just telling you

1 that there is an enhanced penalty. Do you understand
2 that, is that correct?

3 THE DEFENDANT: I understand all of this, Your
4 Honor. I asked --

5 THE COURT: Okay, that's all I'm asking. Did
6 you understand it?

7 THE DEFENDANT: I asked -- yes, I asked to pay
8 for an -- I paid the five hundred dollars maximum
9 fine.

10 THE COURT: Sir, you'll get a chance to make
11 your argument at sentencing. So I just want to
12 satisfy myself you understand what's going on. Okay,
13 I'm going to ask you to -- I'm going to ask you to
14 remain here.

15 I'm going to go back and release the jury and
16 then I'll come out and I'll hear the State and I'll
17 hear you Mr. Lawrence.

18 (Recalled)

19 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Lawrence is here.
20 Mr. Tamaccio is here.

21 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence having admitted or pled
23 guilty to the part B. I've dismissed the jury. So
24 -- yes?

25 ATTY. TAMACCIO: If Your Honor is prepared to go
26 to sentencing the State's prepared to make its
27 argument.

1 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this, Mr.
2 Tamaccio.

3 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

4 THE COURT: Since Mr. Lawrence is exposed to
5 fifteen months instead of ninety days, I was thinking
6 about ordering a psi on abundance of caution. I
7 understand he had a conviction, but it was like ten
8 or twelve years ago, something like that.

9 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Twenty-five, I believe.

10 THE COURT: Twenty-five.

11 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I do believe that would be
14 appropriate. And the State is prepared to agree to
15 that if Mr. Lawrence is.

16 THE COURT: Do you want to say anything, Mr.
17 Lawrence?

18 THE DEFENDANT: My one conviction is from 1995.
19 It was a domestic violence in California, that was my
20 one conviction.

21 THE COURT: It was domestic, and this is a
22 domestic?

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, it is.

24 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to order --
25 I'm going to order a psi. Anything else?

26 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, Your Honor. I earlier
27 made a motion for bond increase. I'd ask for a

1 ruling on that.

2 THE MARSHAL: Sir, face forward.

3 THE DEFENDANT: What is a psi?

4 THE COURT: Okay. And what's -- what's his
5 current bond again?

6 ATTY. TAMACCIO: I believe twenty-five thousand
7 though I'll stand corrected by the clerk if I'm
8 incorrect.

9 THE CLERK: You are correct, Attorney Tamaccio.

10 THE COURT: All right. Do you want to say
11 anything, Mr. Lawrence?

12 THE DEFENDANT: What do you mean my psi?

13 THE COURT: Pre-sentence investigation. The
14 probation department will do a background report on
15 you. Tell me your record, your work history, family
16 background information.

17 That kind of stuff and I will factor that in,
18 in determining what the appropriate sentence is. I
19 give the State a chance -- I'll read that. I'd give
20 the State a chance to talk, you a chance to talk.

21 And in fact, since this is a domestic case, and
22 the enhancement jacks it up to over --

23 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Over one year.

24 THE COURT: -- more than a year. I'm probably
25 required to order a psi. So that's another reason to
26 do it. So -- yes, so I just answered your question
27 about psi. But what do you want to say about the

1 bond, if anything?

2 THE DEFENDANT: I have two civil cases that I'm
3 trying. And it's very important that I have at least
4 the next -- well, I have a document that's due in
5 eighteen days. I only need a week.

6 So if I could have at least a week out, I can
7 file my civil case with the second circuit, then I
8 could begin if I -- if I am to do jail time I -- I
9 argue for a fine because I didn't do anything.

10 It's very important, Your Honor. I spent a lot
11 of time on these civil cases. And I'm right at the
12 tail end of this.

13 And that to me it's very important that I'm --
14 if I have to bond out here -- that you know -- if I
15 can't be just released without a bond, I don't know
16 what the -- what the circumstances are on this.

17 But I'd -- I would -- if I -- if there's a
18 sentence of jail which I would ask for the maximum
19 fine so I can -- I live in -- making my argument on
20 what.

21 I live in Europe. And so basically, my life has
22 been in Europe.

23 THE COURT: You know, Mr. Lawrence. Let me just
24 say something and I'm going to let you finish your
25 remarks, but it would be nice if you showed a little
26 respect to the court in the process by standing up
27 when you address the court.

1 And I'm not saying that for me, Gary White. I'm
2 saying this for the system because you know I'm
3 wearing this robe and I'm back here and I'm a
4 representative of the system and we're going through
5 this process. And during the course of this trial.

6 And today you talk and act as if you're in your
7 living room. Please don't do that. Now, you
8 mentioned the civil case you had, and you mentioned
9 that yesterday. And I didn't know why you were
10 mentioning that.

11 And you appear to be saying you don't want me to
12 raise your bond because you want to be out, and you
13 want to file some type of paperwork in connection
14 with an appeal in some civil case.

15 And I suppose you can do whatever you need to do
16 but that's not directly germane to what's happening
17 here. So in any event, go ahead finish your remarks.

18 THE DEFENDANT: So the issue is just whether --
19 of course I don't want a bond raise. I would like to
20 be able to be released until -- and then when -- if
21 there is a sentence in jail, then I would be prepared
22 to serve it as soon as possible after the seven days
23 I have out.

24 If it's a fine, then the five-hundred-dollar
25 maximum find, I would be prepared to pay this now and
26 then go my way. Like I said, my life has been
27 elsewhere, my work.

1 Everything has been abroad. I didn't expect any
2 of this. And you know I filed a lot of motions in
3 this case thinking that the truth was on my side. It
4 was about a single email and between me and what was
5 I thought a friend. And I've been out of trouble
6 since 1995.

7 And I basically, I didn't commit any harms to
8 anyone. And my employment is elsewhere. I never
9 have any desire to see this person and there's no
10 harms done to anyone. And I just want to go back to
11 my life -- you know.

12 I did this, Your Honor, because I went through
13 -- if I did not have a civil case and if I was not
14 slandered or if I didn't -- wasn't trying to get
15 something out of the media, I wouldn't have quoted
16 the courts and Mr. Tamaccio through this court trial
17 to get a nongUILTY.

18 I wouldn't just pled because I spent three years
19 -- and I could've just pled, or I could've done a lot
20 of other stuff. I could've got a lawyer who could've
21 done this right and gotten it out.

22 But the reason why, Your Honor, I did this is
23 because I wanted a not guilty verdict so when I'm
24 presenting my material in front of the civil cases.
25 Because there is this -- there is this article that
26 was claiming that I've harassed women in the past.

27 But they didn't have any women they didn't have

1 any arrest around it. And so that's before the
2 second (Indiscernible) court of appeals. And so
3 that's why I just want to be able to finish that case
4 with them where I can at least file the last reply
5 that is due.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, I'm not preventing you
7 from doing anything in connection with any civil case
8 you have. The only issue here is whether I should
9 raise your bond.

10 And I take it the State is asking me to do that
11 because the presumption of innocence is gone
12 regarding the harassment in the 2nd degree. I heard
13 the evidence and the complainant indicated that she's
14 fearful of you and --

15 ATTY. TAMACCIO: Your Honor, if I may just
16 briefly.

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 ATTY. TAMACCIO: The presumption of innocence is
19 no longer protecting Mr. Lawrence. A few months ago,
20 he pled guilty or admitted to a sentence enhancement
21 increasing his maximum exposure from ninety days to
22 fifteen months.

23 Mr. Lawrence throughout the course of this trial
24 has demonstrated a diminished capacity to follow the
25 Court's directives including one would imagine now
26 returning for sentencing, where he is facing more
27 than a year.

1 Within the last few moments, he has acknowledged
2 to scant ties to the area. His parents reside in
3 Florida. His employment is home -- in his own words
4 -- his entire life is in Europe. Given all of that,
5 Judge, I do believe a significant bond increase
6 warranted here.

7 THE COURT: All right. You can make a brief
8 comment and then I'm going to make a decision.

9 THE DEFENDANT: So what you mean by bond
10 increase, meaning that right now what --

11 THE COURT: You've got a twenty-five-thousand-
12 dollar bond right now. And the States Attorney is
13 asking me to jack it up higher.

14 THE DEFENDANT: So if it's not jacked up, that
15 means that I don't have to pay anything?

16 THE COURT: If I don't jack it up, you can walk
17 out the door and you have to come back here for
18 sentencing. If I do jack it up, they're going to
19 take you into custody and you're going to have make
20 the bond if you want to get out. And you're going to
21 have to comply with any conditions I put on the bond.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes -- yes, there really is
23 absolutely no issue for me wanting to avoid
24 sentencing and then end up on some kind of radar,
25 again. I want to resolve this.

26 THE COURT: Okay.

27 THE DEFENDANT: Like I said, it's just very

1 important that -- this bond was already high for
2 this. If you look at the bond it was twenty-five
3 thousand dollars. That's high for this class C
4 misdemeanor. My breach of peace was only I think
5 five-thousand-dollar bond. And it was --

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 THE DEFENDANT: It was a high bond already
8 because of that. So I have no problem coming back
9 for sentencing and if I could just walk out today
10 with my family and be able to take care of the
11 business that I have to take care of.

12 Get my life in order. I got to find a place you
13 know for my car. I got a lot of different stuff. I
14 just have to put it in order in case the worst you
15 know that happens. And so that's -- that's where I'm
16 at, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, very much. I've
18 heard the arguments. I'm going to raise the bond to
19 a hundred and fifty thousand dollars. And the
20 condition of the bond is going to be the defendant
21 turn in his passport, don't leave the State of
22 Connecticut.

23 No violence, threats or contact with the
24 complainant in this case. State look -- and also, if
25 he's going to make the bond, he's got to make it from
26 here at the courthouse.'

27 ATTY. TAMACCIO: That was going to be my

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

request, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

ATTY. TAMACCIO: Nothing from the State, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. We need a date for a psi.

ATTY. TAMACCIO: Except for a date.

THE CLERK: So it usually takes eight weeks. So
Wednesday, December 15th or Friday, December 17th?

THE COURT: December --

ATTY. TAMACCIO: State -- either is fine with
the State, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's make it December 15th
then.

ATTY. TAMACCIO: Yes, sir.

THE CLERK: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, very much.


ATTY. TAMACCIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

S20NCR190147994S : SUPERIOR COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT : G.A. # 1
v. : STAMFORD/NORWALK AT STAMFORD
JAMES LAWRENCE : OCTOBER 6, 2021

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, G.A. #1 of Stamford, Connecticut, before the Honorable Gary White, Judge, on the 6th and 7th day of October 2021.

Dated this 5th of November, 2021 in Stamford, Connecticut.



Tara McCloud
Court Recording Monitor

EXHIBIT D

Re: Necessary Email Chains

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Wed 9/1/2021 3:18 PM

To: Tamaccio, Laurence G. <Laurence.Tamaccio@ct.gov>

📎 1 attachments (2 MB)

LIES-Anna Campbell Sworn Written Statement.pdf;

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

D..A. Tomaccio

ATTACHED IS A REVIEW OF ANNA CAMPBELL'S SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENT with overwhelming proof of various False Statements, Perjury, and Concealing/Tampering with Evidence.

The Exhibits I refer to are from the Email chains I provided to you a month ago in August,

I filed 3 Motions set for Wed. Sept. 8, 2021 - a full 3 years from complaint.

Hopefully the last 2 are not necessary and we can end this fiasco.

My civil lawsuit is very important to me.

Returning to my home abroad also is very important to me.

Please digest this information by the coming court date.

I am once again very giving - this review of Complainants Sworn Written Statement would be a first Exhibit in any trial so to show jury what you have known,

You expressed a SINCERE desire to know of the complainant' Anna Paige Campbell's various Perjury. I know when all facts are presented that this woman should be arrested.

In her **Sworn Written Statement - Paragraph 4** - there is an outrageous lie (See Attached Review of Sworn Written Statement).

In the interests of not allowing a Perjurer to be a large for more than 3 years now

would you agree to an immediate Evidentiary Hearing where we can depose the tenant "Chelsea" Cioffoletti?

This is the person that Anna Paige Campabell claims said the outrageous PARAGRAPH 4 LIE - that I "waited in my dark basement and came up from behind and put my hands over Chelsea's mouth and said something" .

SEE MOTION #2 SET FOR WED. SEPT. 8, 2021.

I ask for this Evidentiary Hearing because there are laws limiting the ability to depose people before a trial in criminal matters unlike civil matters.

You expressed a desire to know of the complainant's VARIOUS Perjury within her Sworn Written Statement.

In the Police Report it is shown that the complainant is referring to this first floor tenant "Chelsea" Cioffolletti when writing

that I "waited in my dark basement and came up from behind and put my hands over Chelsea's mouth and said something" .

As you read in Police Reports and Arrest Warrant, Officer Grasso parrots this lie from the Complainant.

It is also evident that Chelsea never said this because the Officer also badgered Chelsea for weeks for an interview and as Sect. 16 of the Arrest Warrant shows - she never said what compliant CRIMINALLY fabricates.

SEE MOTION #2 SET FOR WED. SEPT. 8, 2021.

THIS IS A SERIOUS CRIME BECAUSE IT OBVIOUSLY LIES TO PROSECUTORS AND JUDGE REVIEWING THE ARREST WARRANT.

I WANT THIS TAKEN SERIOUS - IMAGINE IF THIS NONSENSE WAS USED BY THE MEDIA AS THE LAW ALLOWS JOURNALISTS TO READ WARRANTS!!!!

THIS IS VERY VERY VERY SERIOUS!!!

ANNA CAMPBELL FABRICATED THIS WICKED LIE IN AN ATTEMPT TO GET THE ARREST FREE OF HARASSING EMAILS ,LET ALONE SUBMITTING AUTHENTIC EMAIL CHAINS.

ONCE AGAIN, IT IS ESTABLISHED ON COURT RECORD AND VIA FOIA THAT THE OFFICER NEVER SUBMITTED HIS PRECIOUS SEIZED EMAILS TO YOU THE PAST 2 YEARS.

This tenant still lives in the same apartment as she has for 14 years and can easily be brought forward to the court to clear this up in the interests of Justice. **Do YOU want a woman at large who thinks she can lie to get people arrested?** I was being patient for a trial to bring this reclusive woman Chelsea Cioffoletti forward but it appears this trial will never happen and I believe it is your duty to clear this up ASAP once and for all.

Push comes to shove, eventually I will get answers from this tenant Chelsea when I launch my various civil lawsuits and am able to depose her yet I believe it would not do you any good having this information coming back at you at future dates after potentially choosing to stonewall a fair and balanced Evidentiary Hearing. AS USUAL, all I ask for is doing the right thing in tune with fair and balanced Due Process and Justice.

See you Sept. 8, 2021

If there are any questions or desire/need to talk about what I am sharing feel free to reach out to set up a time to meet.

James Lawrence

On Thu, Aug 26, 2021 at 7:20 AM James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com> wrote:

Good Day D.A. Tomaccio

I hope you are finding the easy to read chains of Emails I gave you helpful.

I want to give you a short note of my agenda in the coming month leading up to the Sept. 27th court date.

My goal is to be free of any more of this nearly 4 year courtruns by this Sept. 27th court date and be able to return to my home abroad for good.

I will be sending you 1 additional Document to show you aspects of Perjury by the complainant

(if you have not already figured some of them out) and other forms of misconduct.

I will submit this document in the first week of Sept on Wednesday Sept. 1.

I will then file another Motion so we can meet before the Sept. 27 court date.

If you want to meet any time without my filing additional paperwork let me know.

You made the comment and suggestion that I get a lawyer.

This is not only not needed but this is not possible given all the details and demands upon my ability to clear my name.

Financially it is impossible for me to fight the wicked snowball effect that happened from the narrative that made its way into the media in extreme unprovable ways.

I have over 10 potential lawsuits and that would cost me over \$200,000 - money I do not have.

This is what happens when you become a narrative devoid of evidence.

1: I sued Altice/News 12.

2: I am still suing Hearst/Westport News.

3: I sued Wendy Higgins Chambers - the old woman and only woman who went to and parading the corrupt arrest warrant around the media.

4: If federal judges are to say that it was Officer James Sullivan's warrant narrative (from first arrest - Second Degree Breach of Peace - NOT GUILTY)

that allowed for the media to use their "substantially true" choice of words like "stalk" or "harass" devoid of any arrest of any kind in the past then I have a lawsuit against this Officer and the Police.

I have no complainant to sue from the first false arrest because there was no one pressing charges on me.

5: Given that Ana Page Campbell from this Email false arrest committed multiple forms of Perjury and I will never be granted a trial by you, I have the duty to sue her so she does not feel she can continue to lie to people like the police. She did a similar mendacious hit job against her boss that very summer I met her and is obviously a troubled soul. This woman intentionally sabotaged my civil cases.

6: Given Officer Mark Grasso's misconduct and hiding information and backwards investigatory technique, I could sue him as well given you will never let me get him on the stand. I would want to punish this man because he was complicit in sabotaging my civil cases with this insanely false Email harassment arrest devoid of details that repeated the slanderous narrative from the first false arrest.

7: I shared many forms of Officer Grasso's misconduct with the Chief of Westport Police and instead of making your job easier he chose to cover up Officer Grasso's misconduct. 3 months after sharing the misconduct Officer Grasso relocated to a small town in New Hampshire making \$50,000 less than his \$134,000 a year salary in Westport Police.

8: I have a lawsuit against Google that I may pursue for reposting my Feb. 6 2019 arrest and slanderous Westport News article as a new story in 2021 - 2 years after arrest thereby not allowing for the news to make its way down the pages of search results. Yes - I caught them red handed with screenshots saying the Westport News article was "1 day ago" when it was 2 years ago.

9: I now have a second lawsuit against Google for violating my 4th Amendment rights for violating the wording of the search and seizure warrant thereby giving the police material I was not forewarned to have an opportunity to quash.

10: I feel the need to address the misconduct of D.A. Justina Lynn Moore who advocated for the Email arrest without having any proper evidence in tune with the laws of harassment. We all know what happened to this D.A. a year later with her own arrest.

11: Since I have been very giving with material in this Email hoax arrest, and D.A. Vieux (who replaced Justina Moore after I filed a Motion to Dismiss based on Prosecutorial Misconduct) has

chosen to sit on the case knowing there is no case and knowing I needed fair closure in tune with the 6th Amendment let alone decent empathy, I could file a Malicious Prosecution case given some misconduct up to, during and since my Franks Hearing Request in May 2019. I do this knowing Judges will as usual treat a Pro Se defendant let alone any lawyer suing prosecution in an imbalanced way but exposing the detailed truth is my agenda with all these lawsuits I file in my cost effective ways. I cannot stop this desire to expose details - it is my alpha male nature. I want people called out for the wrongs committed against me and really am not expecting anything fair from these lawsuits or flawed ----- system. I am a writer and am documenting this fiasco/persecutory zeitgeist for a screenplay and documentary.

12: Anyone else who chooses to aid and abet delays that continue to cause insurmountable bias in my federal lawsuits against the media. The delays would continue to stain my civil cases all the while knowing this unresolved 3+ year "harassment charge" is not only devoid of evidence, but certainly given all the shady happenings undeniably unwinnable in court.

13: A lawyer for this Email arrest nonsense????? - NEVER. Given the numerous shady happenings this should be dismissed.

I am an honest man. **If I have a fair presentation for my Civil Case this October 2021 then lawsuits numbers 10- 13 are not necessary.**

I have made the choice not to bend to this system's shake down tactics. I invested a lot of energy, time, and money in learning about this judicial system and will not back out now or ever.

I ask for fairness in tune with the evidence.

So

I do not mean to be aggressive and disrespect Prosecutors or Judges or even Police but this is my reality -

my reality to save my name

and once again -

Where is there any evidence against me warranting this kind of treatment?

A disgusting time we are living in now.

God brought this to me to share for a reason.

I am sick of reading about what happens to people(like losing their jobs) over a complaint devoid of Due Process let alone proper evidence let alone an arrest or conviction.

look forward to discussing the Email CHAINS

James Lawrence

On Wed, Aug 11, 2021 at 5:19 PM James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com> wrote:

D.A. Tamaccio

This is James Lawrence.

Thank you for the conversation today August 11, 2021.

Attached are clear sequential necessary chains or threads of Emails in tune with electronic evidence rules/laws. You can verify what I am giving you by delving into the utter chaos given to you in July 2021. I hope you realize that by myself learning today on the record and via police FOI that you received these seized Emails 19 months after the Feb. 2020 seizure does not fare well for

your case. I ask for fairness in tune with all the details - that is all. I will give you a week or so to digest these Emails. They are not hard reading - no more than 30 minutes of reading. Here you can understand what the conflict was about.

I present the Emails via groups of dates over the 3 month period I knew this tenant to which I have labeled Exhibits A-L. SEE ATTACHMENTS.

EXHIBIT K and EXHIBIT L IS WHERE THE CONFLICT EXISTS.

I am a man NEVER with any desire to be with or near this complainant, only wishing to resolve issues presented to me by the complainant, let alone agreed upon goals to achieve to re-rent the apartment during my 2 week return to America.

As you will see none of the necessary chains prior to the Sept. 18, 2018 Email in question were submitted. I have (as do you) the officer on tape at the Feb. 6, 2019 arrest saying "he had all the emails". I also submit here a copy of my clean Hep. C test taken Sept 17, 2018 which was delivered to the complainant Anna Page Campbell on Sept. 19, 2018 as asked for during the Sept. 16, 2018 chain of emails (I was on European Time) by the complainant despite her not ever having this Hep C disease which is easy to prove not only from texts to my parents but compelling a doctors test result from her. As you will just begin to learn she was the one in fact harassing me (and my parents via texts) with premeditated harassing accusations on Sept. 15-16, 2018 and harassing me with scare tactics about police looking for me which was never the case. Hopefully before I show you in a week or so, you will figure out some of the Perjury by comparing her Sworn Written Statement to all the Emails to which you finally have nearly 3 years later let alone the Concealed Evidence which can also be seen as Tampering with Evidence.

**** I will send you my interpretations of the Email chains with one document that has my notes showing you aspects of my case when you have read and digested the clean copies. I am rightfully angry that Officer Grasso never interviewed myself or my parents before his submission of the Oct 2018 arrest warrant. I am rightfully angry that D.A. Justina Moore advocated the arrest on the same day of Email before proper investigation. I am rightfully angry that the complainant is still at large for 3 years after undoubtedly lying in her Sworn Written Statement. ****

One last thing I wish to share again but more clearly.

What is most important to me is you knowing the following:

I have a civil case still pending against the Westport News/Hearst Communications for their 2 articles resulting from the 2 arrests (2018 Second Degree Breach of Peace and then 2019 Second Degree Harassment), articles which accuse me to this day of harassing women at Westport markets in my past that are based in their interpretation of Officer James Sullivan's warrant from the first false arrest of Second Degree Breach of Peace that was resolved in a non-criminal Infraction before covid delays. The case in your hands - alleged Email Harassment - is still pending . The only thing messing with my life are these 3+ year unproven and unprovable Westport News articles now before the Second Circuit Court of Appeal.

If I do not have a fair presentation before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals (much like my previous lawsuit against Altice/News 12 who removed their coverage of me after 1 day back in March 2018) I believe Judges being human will not give me the benefit of the doubt while this 3+ year old Email case is still pending without a Final Disposition. This case before you is the only case of alleged harassment, and Defense has repeatedly mentioned this false Email harassment arrest in their Briefs despite it not relative to the original 2018

article. If I am not successful in this civil case I will be forced to change my name at age 56. Given there being no evidence against me for anything "harassing" ever - no officer let alone women ever quoted or deploying a sworn written statement accusing me of "harassment" let alone arrest of such Course of Conduct behavior at a local supermarket, I find this changing of my name to be extreme to say the least. I really will have no other choice in today's time. I would be forced to leave America because my job prospects would be affected by internet search. Do the email chains I share with you warrant such an extreme outcome?

FACT: I have a Sworn Deposition from the officer from the first arrest - Officer James Sullivan - where he says under oath that he not only NEVER deployed the word harass in his warrant that was seized by the press but that he never even thought of using this Course of Conduct term. I am willing to give you this Deposition if you want to confirm what I am sharing now. I am a victim of extreme reporting not in tune with Officers exact wording in a very extreme gender warring persecutory zeitgeist as many can attest to, a victim of being extremely reported on about unproven and unprovable past Incident Reports dating back decades that never had Probable Cause for any kind of arrest. I was living in San Francisco from 2007-2017 free of any trouble.

I am set for a Decision from the Second Circuit Court of Appeals by Dec. 2021. Having a fair Final Disposition with this Email case before you in tune with the evidence is essential to live my life without bitterness and lost faith. I will submit my Reply Brief in September and am hoping to have long overdue news for the Second Circuit Court about this Email case that is in your hands by September - a full 3 years from alleged Sept. 18, 2018 Email Harassment. America is not that broken to make this happen in tune with now received July 2021 evidence.

I have reviewed my needs and feel the Second Circuit Court of Appeals having a Final Disposition in tune with the evidence is more important to me than suing the police. The police cannot take down slander. They have helped me in their way via the Deposition but slander cases are very difficult in the age of the Internet. Once again an unresolved Email harassment case devoid of harassment evidence and no trial while suing Westport News/Hearst for calling me a "harasser" is too complex for Judges to decide upon when they are limited to communicating in a page or two long double spaced Decision. They just do not process all the details and this unresolved case invites unavoidable bias. A Final Disposition in this Email case in tune with evidence that protects the police and myself could be a fair middle course in between. I will never allow for a criminal conviction destroying my clean Ct. record let alone allowing those responsible for my 3 year hardships to get off without being interrogated.

If Westport News/Hearst left me alone and respected past Due Processes of Law before accusing me of unproven and unprovable harassment then we could have resolved this case in tune with your political needs long ago for I would not be so pressed to clear my name. I hope you understand my frustration begins with Hearst/Westport News. I have not lived in this area since July of 2018 and traveling to another year of empty court runs is not fair.

"All my Motions" have been efforts to resolve this case so my 2 federal lawsuits were not prejudiced. I think a jury would find that such a trivial case was not resolved in time for my civil cases.

James Lawrence

EXHIBIT E

(No subject)

James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com>

Thu 12/16/2021 2:36 PM

To: Tamaccio, Laurence G. <Laurence.Tamaccio@ct.gov>

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello DA Tamaccio

I have a lawyer as you know but he is a very busy man and I thought I could correspond some basic facts to you.

1: You all seized my passport with the belief that I have been living in Europe. The Passport shows I was last in Europe in Dec. 2019. I have been here for 2 years. As you know from the emails with the complainant that I was in the process of getting citizenship in Lithuania. This takes time. The pandemic changed everything and Europe became more locked down than the USA. I stayed here because I was fighting the case and my life in general was here and it still is. I have work and because of the covid restrictions I will not be going back to Europe because I have a medical condition in regards to a vein malformation (shared with probation) that has me waiting for more data on vaccines. Europe has too many restrictions that will not be removed for years.

With that in mind and thinking how stupid I was not accepting your offer of conviction and no obligations so I could go back from the place I made the email that has me in this mess. But I am not going there. The stress over this email conviction is very tough and I hope you see I never made any attempt to contact this person and sent the email that one time in a way that was related to my home and job and landlord. With that said, I want it known that I am here to stay for the next year. I am continuing to be committed to the area employed, currently going to therapy that has been so necessary and productive, and want to stay in therapy for a year and do community service. These are all more than you originally offered and given my mental state now, I am hoping you can have a discussion with my lawyer on this. There are too many misconceptions with me, like me living in Europe - look at the passport and you see I have been here for 2 years. If you can talk to him so I can make an important decision that is persistently on my mind now that would be helpful because I did not expect delays and am weighing options.

feel better

James Lawrence


EXHIBIT F

JamesLawrenceWestportNOTGuilty.com

A True Story of the American Zeitgeist:
My 2017-2018 Experience
Read ALL Emails Here
"Nasty Woman" and their Cowardly Cucks

*** Click Here ***
HOME PAGE

SECOND FALSE ARREST ATTEMPTED SUBVERSION OF MY CIVIL LAWSUITS



*** Click Here ***

FOR ALL THE EMAILS THAT TELL THE COMPLETE STORY
OF THE SECOND FALSE ARREST BASED ON ONE LONE EMAIL.

ALL 200+ EMAILS

NEVER SUBMITTED BY COMPLAINANT/POLICE BUT ALL IN MY POSSESSION.

James Lawrence of Westport who was arrested on Feb. 6, 2019 for
alleged Second Degree Harassment of sending an unwanted E-mail ...
ABSOLUTELY TOTAL BULLSHIT AND POLICE MISCONDUCT AND UTTER CORRUPTION.
THE FALSE AND CORRUPT ARREST WAS BASED ON ONE LONE EMAIL!!!!

"Anna advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."


On Tue, Sep 18, 2018, 9:44 AM James Larenaissance <jameslarenaissance@gmail.com> wrote:

Anna

Advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever.

Take this advice.

This case now stands as a Nolle Prosequi - unwilling to pursue.



ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL AND THE PIGS - OFFICER MARK GRASSO CRAVEN PERJURY AND BRAZEN ATTEMPTED ENTRAPMENT CORRUPTION

ancestry TREES SEARCH DNA HEALTH HELP EXTRAS

Grasso Family History

Grasso Name Meaning

Italian: nickname for a stout man, from grasso 'fat' (Latin crassus).

Source: Dictionary of American Family Names ©2013, Oxford University Press

English: Crass- lacking sensitivity, refinement, or intelligence.

ancestry TREES SEARCH DNA HEALTH HELP EXTRAS

Campbell Family History

Campbell Name Meaning

Scottish: nickname from Gaelic cam 'crooked', 'bent' + beul 'mouth'. 1

English Expression: To Talk Out The Side Of Your Mouth - TO LIE



English: Spinster - n. [spin + ster]

1: Disparaging and Offensive. a woman still unmarried beyond the usual age of marrying. The meaning "a woman beyond the usual marriageable age" is used with disparaging intent and perceived as insulting. It implies negative qualities such as being fussy or undesirable. See also old maid.

2: Chiefly Law. a woman who has never married 3: a woman whose occupation is spinning

English: 1Spin - [akin to O.H.G. spinnan to spin] 1: to draw out and twist yarn or thread

2: forming a thread by extruding a viscous rapidly hardening fluid (spiders)

3: to revolve rapidly, reel 4: to move swiftly especially on wheels or in a vehicle

"to take the car for a spin" 5: trol 6a: to fall into a spin b: to plunge helplessly and out-of control

English: 2Spin - 2c: a state of mental confusion


In a spin – (British) to be so angry, confused, or excited that one cannot act sensibly or cannot concentrate on what one is doing

Scots: Spin – a drinking bout
Spinkie - a glass of alcohol

German: spinnen – to tell lies, be daft, plot intrigue, tell lies, be crazy
Hirngespinnst – (literally brain spins) crazy

German: Spinne - Spider
Spinnen – to be daft, plot intrigue, tell lies, be crazy
Jmdm. Spinnefeind sein – to hate someone's guts

COMPLAINANT ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL IS GETTING SUED AND GOING TO JAIL FOR FELONY PERJURY.



WELCOME TO THE TRUTH

I sent this E-mail o Sept. 18, 2018 to a wicked woman by the name Anna Paige Campbell who I rented my apartment to on July 28, 2018 and who apparently fell in love with me after a brief 2 week sharing of time before I permanently moved to Europe that summer hence the renting of my place to her. She is recorded in the Police Reports and even scandalously concocted Arrest Warrant as showing feelings for me as well as calling me a "player" amongst other crazy things. This woman knowing of the dangers I faced in Westport because of how News 12 slandered me (who took all their material off line and now are successfully getting sued) via Westport busybody bitch Nasty Woman Wendy Higgins Chambers (who has no police report herself - crazy) as well as the slanderous 22 year old reporter Sophie Cecilia Vaughan and her now 2 slanderous Westport News articles once again because of slander by News 12's Mark Sudol and the Westport curly haired busybody Nasty Woman bitch Wendy Higgins Chambers, and of course the corrupt Westport Police Officer James "Sully" Sullivan false narrative, Anna Paige Campbell used this fear of mine being in the area in a wicked attempt to get me arrested. However this drunken bitch Anna Paige Campbell either thinking I deleted all Emails or thinking the corrupt Westport Police would have her back no matter what (which is true to date) committed multiple acts of false statements/perjury while attempting to play some kind of false victim of an unwanted E-mail that stated -

"Anna advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."

YES - THIS IS THE EMAIL I WAS ARRESTED FOR!!!!

My parents were acting as landlords while I was in Europe and Anna Paige Campbell was making things difficult. On Sept. 15, 2018, 2 1/2 months into her rental, this woman having health issues went psycho after falsely accusing me via a string of Emails of giving her Hep C virus while I awaited for a plane home. When I got home I immediately got a Hep C test and had my father deliver the results to her showing I AM CLEAN 3 days later while this crazy cat lady Anna Paige Campbell held my belongings hostage in the apartment.

*** [Click Here](#) ***

for my Hep C Test - negative

YES! After learning of the negative results from my Hep C test 3 days later, she went psycho out of feelings of guilt of falsely accusing me of not only falsely accusing me of giving her Hep C, but also of attempting to scare me that "police had come by the apartment looking for me" when they had not been looking for me. This wicked woman actually attempted to scare me before I was set to go through customs. YES - She was fucking with me. I boarded the plane to come back with the simple task to attend a court date for the first false arrest and then get the hell out of Westport. And check this out - this red headed lying bitch never had the Hep C virus either. This bitch is the embodiment of evil. A classic case of hell hath no fury like a woman scorned ... yet I never scorned her ... I merely moved to Europe to protect myself from the type of shit she used against me and this bitch knew all along my future and need to stay away from the area but had a sick desire to hurt me after I proved that she was out of line KNOWINGLY falsely accusing me of giving her Hep C virus to which Email and text evidence shows she never had. There are man-hating Nasty Women in America and there are really Nasty Women in America. This bitch is a veritable Nasty Woman.

"As I just found out I have" ...
FROM ALL THE EMAILS (LOL) READ THEM HERE AND SEE HOW CORRUPT THIS ARREST IS.

ONE OF MANY HARASSING TEXT MESSAGES (ALL TEXTS - LOL) TO FAMILY/WITNESSES THAT OFFICER GRASSO FAILS TO ADDRESS.
ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL ACCUSES ME SEPT. 15 SAYING SHE HAS HEP C THEN 4 DAYS LATER MYSTERIOUSLY WITHOUT ANY TEST RESULT DOES
NOT HAVE HEP. C.

THIS IS HARASSMENT - HARASSMENT OF ME LET ALONE MY FAMILY.

At the times of the accusation of sending an unwanted Email this vindictive bitch was physically and mentally ill. And yes ... another case of idiotic and corrupt cuck Westport Police this time "investigating" Officer Mark Grasso for he NEVER CONTACTED ME via phone, Email, nor even visited me to get a fair and balanced perspective while I was easily accessible 2 miles down the road at my parents house for 3 weeks since the lone Email in question. This corrupt cop did not even attempt to contact my parents who are the subject of the lone Email to see if they were indeed being/feeling harassed.

*** Click Here ***

for

ALL TEXTS FROM ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL TO MY FAMILY

NO. WHAT DID THE WESTPORT POLICE DO -

THIS WAS AN OPPORTUNITY OF THE CORRUPT WESTPORT POLICE TO DEFEND THEMSELVES FROM A MUCH DESERVED AND ALREADY KNOWN (THEY READ MY WEBSITE) LAWSUIT COMING THEIR WAY BY USING THIS CRAZY DRUNK TO KEEP ME IN THE SYSTEM.

LOL.

Really stupid not knowing anything about this woman Anna Paige Campbell and banking their crooked scheme on a drunken liar who committed multiple acts of perjury to which they refuse to act on to this day.

This case has become a blessing.

So when Officer Mark Grasso fielded this Sept. 18, 2018 Email what did he do?
(keep in mind there are tapes turned over of him driving up to AC to take the complaint
BUT NO TAPES OF HIS TIME IN AND AROUND MY APARTMENT amongst other deleted tapes)

This is what this corrupt Westport Police Officer did -

Officer Mark Grasso did not come to visit me knowing where I was staying nor call or Email me nor did he contact or visit my parents to get any kind of fair and balanced assessment of the situation - he showed no care for 77 year olds possibly getting harassed. NO he made a beeline to

District Attorney Justina Lynn Moore on the same day Sept. 18, 2018 with the big bad Email looking out for the welfare of my parents and this corrupt D.A. said yes this is Probable Cause for Harassment in the Second Degree (motivated by her frustration that the first false arrest by Officer Sullivan was standing at a Nolle Prosequi based on no evidence) ALL THE WHILE OFFICER MARK GRASSO HAD NO PROPER EMAIL EVIDENCE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE SITUATION FOR IT WAS THE FIRST DAY OF HIS 5 WEEK BIG "INVESTIGATION" RESULTING IN THE CROOKED ARREST WARRANT.

YES - Officer Grasso made a beeline to the Distinct Attorney on the same day of the Sept. 18, 2018 Email and then spent 6 weeks concocting some kind of crazy Arrest Warrant which among other things accuse me of being a "player" this time from 9,000 miles away via a Email. All the while I was there in Westport and he knew where I was staying for 3 weeks since the big bad Email. If the big bad Email was so threatening why not arrest me then? Really - if this woman AC was in any danger then why not arrest me then?

ANSWER - the Westport Police wanted to use her faux cry to keep me in the system to ward off the much deserved lawsuit (which they have been reading via my website) for all the unjust happenings to my life from their first false arrest.

AND GET THIS -

in Police Reports it describes me going to the Westport Police on the same day of the Sept, 18, 2018 Email looking for help with this crazy woman yet this information of me seeking help from the Westport Police

WAS KEPT OUT OF THE ARREST WARRANT.

(for the lay people never arrested and not familiar with terms - Police Reports make up the fabric of an Arrest Warrant)

AND GET THIS -

in the crooked Arrest Warrant Officer Grasso (a name that means 'fat' related to Crasso) never mentions anything about how the slanderous media News 12 Ct. and The Westport News was constantly quoted - 9 times - in the Police Reports by Anna Paige Campbell yet NEVER INCLUDED THIS SLANDEROUS MEDIA INFLUENCE (now being proven via federal lawsuits) IN THE CORRUPT ARREST WARRANT!!!!

SO, after 3 weeks in the area staying away from Anna Paige Campbell, my apartment, and my belongings held hostage so to AVOID TROUBLE, I get home to Europe on Oct. 3, 2018 and leave the renting of the apartment to my parents after Anna Paige Campbell moved out on Sept. 30. I knew she was moving out back in July when I rented to her because she was on a month to month basis and was awaiting a transfer to another state. All throughout Police Report and Sworn Written Statement shows I was helping her in many ways. It also shows an obviously scorned women to which Westport Police boy cucks have no clue over - female behavior. Anna Paige Campbell also knew as evidence in ALL THE EMAILS (lol) I was in town for 2-3 weeks just to go to a court date and return to my new home abroad. Anna Paige Campbell attempted to play a victim without a shred of proof I wanted to go near her.

IN FACT -

it is because I had no desire to be near her that motivated the wicked scheme to create false statements aka

Sat, Sep 15, 8:50 PM

Mrs. Lawrence. I wrote your son that he MIGHT have Hep-C and should be tested. Feel free to share what we discussed. He's not stupid enough to do something to me in a retaliation with whats going on. And as I wrote him, its so he can treat it if he is positive and won't infect another. Gosh this is awful and I am beat.

motive

FROM ALL TEXTS (LOL) - YES - SCHEME - AS ALL EVIDENCE SHOWS - A CATTY SCHEME KNOWING I WAS IN THE SYSTEM AND USING THE POLICE TO LIE .

PERJURY

German: Meineid - perjury
Neid - envy

yes this crazy bitch Anna Paige Campbell actually declared

"I want him arrested"

for this innocuous Email correspondence-

"Anna advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."

AND SO OF COURSE THE WESTPORT POLICE BOYS DID

AND MEANWHILE

I NEVER CONTACTED HER AGAIN

hence the one Email in question - see Discovery Email submitted.

All I was trying to do was re-rent my apartment in a timely fashion as promised by Anna Paige Campbell throughout Emails.


2 months later in November 2018, Officer Grasso gets his crooked Arrest Warrant from Judge Maureen Dennis and what does he do?

He lets it sit out there for 4 months (potentially longer) or 5 months since big bad Email without ever contacting me. I show up to a court date November 20, 2018 and there is no mention of an Arrest Warrant. I come back again in January 29, 2019 for another court date and there is still no mention of an Arrest Warrant nor has the corrupt Westport Police acted on this insane Arrest Warrant. What are they doing - all lawyers say letting it sit out there for as long as possible so to use it to get me back in the system and thereby delay the long awaited and much deserved lawsuit coming their way. On Feb. 6, 2019, I was spotted by an Officer Cole complaining I was sitting at a gas station in my car wearing a hoodie and he ran my plates seeing the Arrest Warrant. I could have been back in Europe for another 3 months and this Warrant could have been sitting out there for 9 months or more, who knows with these corrupt bastards. One thing I know - Officer Grasso was coached.

So basically a scorned woman thought she could use and lie to police to hurt me as she skipped out of the state. AMERICA CIRCA 2016 - Nasty Women - the worst gender war and most bitchiest women in history. And the cuck idiotic Westport Police bent to this thereby sealing their inevitable fate with me. Yes - this case is a blessing for The Westport Police deserve punishments for past misconducts. And with this insane case, the Westport Police never got my side of the story knowing I attempted to meet the police the same time of Complainant's faux cry wolf Email complaint. Yes the police (evidence in police reports, tapes, admission from arresting officer, etc ...) knew I was at the police station awaiting a officer to voice a complaint on Sept 18, 2018 - the same day as the Email. I left after an hour thinking they did not want to help me (you know - "to protect and serve" ALL people) and thought not to stir up trouble with a sick bitch who was moving out of my apartment in a week (a time she was holding my belongings hostage). No desire for a fair and balanced "investigation".

More utter Westport Police corruption as will be exposed in the coming Motion for a Request for a Franks Hearing (for the lay people never arrested this is a attempt/Motion to get the "investigating" officer in front of a Judge to question his Arrest Warrant) that will most likely not come about since lawyers say Judges will instinctively protect cops before a trial, and then hopefully during a coming trial to which the D.A. will never ever pursue given the overwhelming evidence I have from multiple sources about this sick Complainant's False Statements/Perjury, Police Corruption, and Prosecutorial Misconduct by Justina Lynn Moore.

**YES BOYS AND GIRLS (this insane warrant was reviewed and approved by Officer Sereniti Dobson - a female.)
- A LITTLE MORE DETAILED THAN YOUR IDIOTIC AND OUTRIGHT CORRUPT ARREST WARRANT,
AN ARREST WARRANT AND POLICE REPORTS WITH NO REFERENCE OR MENTION OR QUOTE FROM ME -
JAMES LAWRENCE.**



???!!!


WHERE IN THE HELL IS ANYTHING I WROTE THAT COMES CLOSE TO THIS!!!

**The Westport Police are in cover up mode now -
they took down their Facebook post of the 2019 false arrest for "Email Harassment".**

<https://www.facebook.com/westportctpolice/posts>

<https://www.facebook.com/westportctpolice/posts/1221661867981211>

THIS IS THE REMOVED LINK FROM FEB. 9, 2019



**MORE AND MORE MISCONDUCT AND CORRUPTION
ALL CORRUPTION IS HERE FOR THE PUBLIC TO VIEW.
PHONY DOCTORED EVIDENCE,
COMPLAINANT'S OUTRAGEOUS PERJURY MULTIPLE TIMES,
POLICE COLLUSION,
PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT,
CORRUPT PROSECUTORS COVERING FOR CORRUPT
POLICE,
CORRUPT JUDGES COVERING FOR CORRUPT
PROSECUTORS,
THE ENTIRE FILE OF THIS CASE IS FOR THE PUBLIC.
Near total disrespect for a Pro Se Defendant.**



***** Click Here *****

**for Sworn Written Statement, Arrest Warrant, Police Reports,
Officer Mark Grasso and Officer Sereniti Dobson.**

CORRUPTION ALERT -

**SOMEONE REDACTED THE SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENT HANDED TO ME ON APRIL 11, 2019.
IT WAS EITHER OFFICER MARK GRASSO OR DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUZANNE VIEUX**

**FOR AN UNREDACTED FORM OF THE SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENT
WOULD HAVE IMMEDIATELY SHOWED CLEAR EVIDENCE OF PERJURY.**

FACT :

**James Lawrence has 1 conviction on his record - a domestic violence conviction from 1995. Anything else written about is a lie.
See FBI Criminal Background Check.**



CLICK HERE

***** OFFICIAL FBI CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK *****

**with NO Criminal History in Florida, ONLY Conviction in California,
and Connecticut case shown as pending despite second degree breach of peace dismissed with no criminal
conviction - the arrest that started this fiasco, and the other false arrest for email harassment destined to be
dismissed very soon.**

Continued Clean Connecticut Criminal Record Facts coming soon.

**James Lawrence pled to an infraction for 1987 evading police in Florida thus NO criminal history, and pled to a 1994 infraction for petty theft of
food in California thus no conviction,**

AND NO 1995 STALKING/VIOLATION OF RESTRAINING ORDER CONVICTION - DISMISSED.

**Anything else so carelessly mentioned like random complaints that never resulted in an arrest or charges that never resulted in a conviction are
irrelevant.**

CHRONOLOGY

Arraignment Feb. 7, 2019- I bailed myself out the day before and proceed to copy every Email between me and this disgusting woman I rented
my apartment to on a month to month basis. After I rented the apartment to her I moved back to Europe where my life is safer because the slanderous
Westport News article and corrupt Westport Police narrative has severely endangered my life.

Feb. 8, 2019- I visit the Westport Police to make a complaint about my life being in danger, inquire into the arrest warrant's "onging case", and effort
to share essential details with Officer Mark Grasso since he never made efforts to get my side of the story. NEVER!

***** Click Here *****

for a Tape which I obtained via Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

**My visit to Police 2 days after Feb. 6, 2019 false arrest to make a complaint about my life being in danger,
inquire into the arrest warrant's reference to a "ongoing case",
and effort to share essential details with Officer Mark Grasso,**

CHECK THIS OUT!!!!

First Court Date Feb. 15, 2019 - I realize after reading the insane Arrest Warrant at arraignment that I have a problem with a psychopathic
police department who are obviously using anything they can get their hands on to convict me. Once again, they have read my website defending myself
so I could halt all the unjust blow-back happening to me. But of course these asshole Westport Police can't understand that either. I decide to defend
myself in this Email case because I have all the truth on my side and file a Motion for Continuance which is granted for Feb. 15, 2019 so that immediate
facts can be presented. I cannot afford typical delays. I proceed to file Motion for Discovery, a Motion for a Speedy Trial, and a Motion for a Franks
Hearing as a PRO SE defendant. Yes - A Franks Hearing because the incredibly corrupt Arrest Warrant was filled with perjury and agenda-driven
opportunism by investigating and arresting Officer Mark Grasso. After a year of fighting the first false arrest standing at a Nolle Prosequi, now I have a
second false arrest that is in actuality a gift. I can finally nail 3 parties who have been hiding behind corrupt and loose laws - The Westport News, the
Westport Police, and this psychotic bitch tenant/perjurer who had me arrested in a vindictive plot to destroy my case. YES - she knew how to manipulate
the system for she got her boss fired on a bogus sex harassment charge involving another person who did not come forward the summer I met her. YES
NASTY AMERICAN WOMEN at work in NYC. See the details of the Emails and compare the corrupt Arrest Warrant, Police Reports, and
Sworn Written Statement to see how crazy evil this cat lady is and how she gave false statements and perjured herself multiple times.

***** Click Here *****

for Motions for Discovery, Motion for a Franks Hearing, FIRST Motion for Speedy Trial



Feb. 21, 2019 Motion to Compel Basic Email Discovery Evidence

***** Click Here *****

Motion to Compel Discovery Email Evidence

"Ana advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice." "

Second Court date asking for Discovery March. 14, 2019 - this was a court date to ascertain Discovery and it also ended up only being a "scheduling court run" to get an initial hearing of my Franks Motion request. Pathetic. The D.A. and Judge who signed the scandalously corrupt Arrest Warrant promised me Discovery aka that single E-mail evidence (which has me looking out for the health and welfare of my parents) in a week by Feb. 21, yet the D.A. did not have it. So I return the next week to where D.A. Justina Lynn Moore complains about being under-staffed. So I return the following week and still nothing. So I realize they have nothing and should not pressure and will file a Motion to Dismiss because they are going beyond the normal 30 day period to produce basic evidence. I come to court prepared for the Franks Hearing and the wicked D.A. Justina Lynn Moore says she has the Discovery and the Judge orders her to turn it over to me. D.A. Justina Lynn Moore hands me a thin group of pages to where I quickly read and objected saying "this is the Arrest Warrant", something I already had obtained 7 weeks ago! **She tried to pull a fast one** thinking I would not read it. The Judge then asked her to produce an actual E-mail Discovery and gave this D.A. another 15 days. This snowflake Justina Lynn Moore then lied even more complaining to the Judge that I came to the court 8 times (truth 3 times) looking for the promised Discovery. I was promised the Discovery on Feb 15, 2019 in a week after I explained to the court my life was in danger while in the area and needed to get back to my life in Europe. This bitchy D.A. then refused to talk to me after because I rightfully called her a liar, playing with the system and jeopardizing the life of a man. She will be sued for these stunts and reported to the proper authorities. including the Attorney General for being grossly unqualified. So I am told the clerks office will Email me when they get some actual Discovery evidence to which Judge Dennis gives them 15 more days extending the deadline to the normal maximum as written in the Court Procedure Manual 45 days. I fly to Europe for a week and get an Email saying "Discovery" was available to be picked up so I decide to immediately return and get it instead of waiting.


Third Court Date receiving Discovery evidence March 29, 2019 - I come back to Ct. and on a Wednesday am told to come on Friday. So I come and the D.A. Justina Lynn Moore hands me "Discovery", to which I weigh in my hand and laugh, quickly read and see there is 2 emails - one sentence about me asking the crazy cat lady AC not to harass my parents, and a second asking the crazy cat lady AC to allow me to show the apartment without her there for 2 hours on the weekend. Saying they do not have a case is an understatement. Ridiculous and it is as if the corrupt cop Mark Grasso is afraid to hand over more emails (I am in possession of all 200+ emails and all texts with mother) out of incriminating himself. So once again the crazy feminist D.A. Justina Lynn Moore comes at me complaining that she wants to talk to my lawyer to which Judge Dennis has to spell out to her that I am Pro Se representing myself. The bitch D.A. begins to slowly slump down into her chair. The Judge points out that I still do not have basic Discovery for there is the Arrest Warrant but no Police Reports, Sworn Written Statement, let alone sufficient Emails to constitute a Email harassing charge. What the corrupt Westport cop Mark Grasso submitted was pathetic. Keep in mind he never even called me after he received his mendacious and outright false Arrest Warrant let alone never visiting me nor contacting me when the crazy cat lady made the false statements in a wicked attempt to get me thrown in jail out of feeling scorned. So based on the facts basic Discovery has still not been submitted (a full 6 months since alleged email harassment), I ask the Judge to dismiss the case because the normal max 45 days has been violated.. The Judge calls a recess because the spinning D.A. Justine Lynn Moore complains she is overworked and that another D.A. has been handling this case (another lie - keep in mind she complained this under-staffed/over-worked excuse was the reason she could not print/give me the 2 Emails over the previous month). So.. the court recesses so they can call the other D.A. Keep in mind this slow incompetence is coming from 1 female Judge and 2 female District Attorneys. So I return after 15 minutes and we re-establish missing Discovery material to which I ask "does this also includes the Sworn Written Statement" from the crazy cat lady AC, to which the Judge responds yes. So.... the Judge awaiting a rational decision from the emotional D.A. wearing thick glasses (this bitch is not just in the dark she is in a hole in a dungeon in a subterranean city) proceeds to give the D.A. another 15 days to provide Police Reports and Sworn Written Statement, long overdue Discovery that I would need to pursue my Franks Hearing Request to show how the corrupt idiotic Westport Police officer Mark Grasso (a name that means 'fat') allowed for false information to be in the Arrest Warrant. What we obviously have here already with more evidence on the way is how this cop let the crazy vindictive cat lady AC, who knowing I was in the system fighting another case, gave FALSE STATEMENTS/PERJURY in a plot to get me arrested. I fear nothing for I have all Emails in my possession that incriminates her and a trump card in the form of text messages the crazy cat lady sent to my mother. Mom saved all of them. My mom rocks. The cops mentioned nothing of these texts and they are about to get a very big surprise that will not only vindicate me completely, but will crystallize so many issues I am dealing with within the disgusting American MeToo Nasty Woman zeitgeist and Cuck Westport Police.



*** Click Here ***

for Pathetic Email "Discovery" Evidence

(Lack of E-Mail Evidence)
You know - Burden of Proof- remember that.



"Ana advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."

Fourth Court Date receiving Necessary Discovery evidence April 11, 2019 - now 60 full days since filing my Motion for

Discovery so to pursue a Franks Hearing Request in an legal effort tot expose a crooked Westport Police Officer Grasso knowingly giving false information within the Arrest Warrant. So I show up and receive as more Discovery the Police Reports that made up the foundation for the Arrest Warrant. I thank the Judge for insisting on me having this material for it shows even more corruption from the Westport Police, to which is why these Police Reports were not initially given and had to be compelled to be divulged. **The contents show the cops knew I tried to file a complaint against the crazy cat woman on Sept. 18 (2 days home from Europe) but was left waiting in the lobby for an hour and decided to leave thinking they did not want to help me. This was outrageously left out of the Arrest Warrant. These Police Reports also show the following significant information that was left out of the insane opportunist Arrest Warrant.** That I had a signed contract with the crazy cat lady AC that shows I rented the apartment to her and was the benefactor of the rent and manager of my own apartment. That the cop knew where I was staying 2 miles down the street at my parents and knew where he could have contacted/visited me to discuss details of the conflict.. That on Sept. 18 Officer Grasso instead went straight to D.A.

Justina Lynn Moore who together concoct a theory that I should be arrested despite the fact I just arrived Sept 17 and had no contact at all with the wicked vindictive AC. - meaning Officer Grasso did not even make attempts to contact me nor my parents. He instinctively and absolutely believed the crazy conniving catty cat lady. The cop also writes in the Police Reports of my tenants reading the slanderous March 14-15, 2018 News 12 reports (since taken off line if the D.A. cared at all) and slanderous March 23, 2018 Westport News article, but Officer Grasso omits this highly significant detail in the salacious Arrest Warrant. **READING NEWS IS NOT PROBABLE CAUSE.** Despicable bias, misconduct, and yes corruption based on the fact Officer Grasso admitted that he read my websites that show my side of the story/innocence about the false arrest in March 5, 2018 and intent to sue these little shit cops. So... I take the further Discovery that incriminates these corrupt cops and am given a court date to present my Franks Hearing Request argument - May 14th. Also .. again 1 female Judge wearing a robe with cheetah/cat trimmings on her robe, 3 female D.A.s and 3 female guards, a one male guard in the courtroom.

NOTE: I WAS HANDED A REDACTED FORM OF AC'S SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENT AND DESERVED TO HAVE AN UNREDACTED FORM SO TO MAKE IT EASIER TO PUT THE PIECES TOGETHER FOR MY FRANKS HEARING. WITHOUT A UNREDACTED SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENT I WOULD HAVE HAD TO GET A SUPOENA TO BRING MY TENANT CHELSEA TO COURT AT MY REQUESTED FRANKS HEARING THAT WAS EVENTUALLY BEING STONEWALLED BY THE D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX AND JUDGE STEPHANIE MCLAUGHLIN.

*** [Click Here](#) ***

for selected tapes submitted
and list of outrageously omitted tapes by corrupt Westport Police.
Motion for Turnover of Omitted Tapes





April 12, 2019 Motion to Compel Further Basic Discovery Evidence AGAIN

*** [Click Here](#) ***

for more information withheld within Arrest Warrant - tapes that mysteriously do not exist!
James Lawrence's visit to Police to make a complaint same day as Email.



**April 15, 2019 Motion to Dismiss based on Failure to Disclose Basic Discovery Evidence Written in Arrest
Warrant
as well as/and/or Prosecutorial Misconduct and /or Lack of Evidence
*** Click Here ***
for a grossly Doctored piece of Discovery Evidence by devious Complainant**



**April 17, 2019 - MEANWHILE ...
Officer Mark Grasso
knowing the heat is on with my numeorus Motions for evidence
resubmits an insane Arrest Warrant #3 again
after being rejected for this insane Arrest Warrant #3 in Nov. 20, 2018,
and attempts to get me arrested again
but is rejected by the D.A on the same day April 17, 2019.
A WARRANT #3 CASE VERY MUCH RELATED TO FALSE ARREST #1 AND
CERTAINLY RELATED TO FALSE ARREST #2.
SEE LINK BELOW TO OCT. 10, 2019 FOIA REQUEST
FOR THE INSANE THIRD FALSE ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION
AND RELATED MATERIAL
REJECTED TWICE!**

Fifth Court Date April 18, 2019 - After reading the Police Reports and learning how Officer Grasso went straight to the D.A. the first day the crazy cat lady complained about my Email without even going about a normal procedure of consulting a Sergeant and then Lieutenant, and this wicked opportunist D.A. Justina Lynn Moore hears about the Email and gives him the green light to make an Arrest Warrant. Yes. Utter corruption, empowering the Arrest Warrant at the beginning of the investigation and before the Complainant even made a Sworn Written Statement which ending up happening 7 days later. This clueless D.A. Justina Lynn Moore without any of the necessary facts basically emboldened the police and the crazy cat lady to concoct a story with the guarantee that she would sign an Arrest Warrant despite the facts there was no crime at all. SO I have a surprise for this crooked D.A Justina Lynn Moore.. Time to take the gloves off.

THIS IS A DEFINITION OF BALLS >
I FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS ON THE EVIDENCE OF PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT. Truth is truth.

*** Click Here ***

for Motion to Dismiss based on Prosecutorial Misconduct



Yes. For if this insanity goes to trial I will eventually sue Justina Lynn Moore for Malicious Prosecution. Also, once again all females District Attorneys and once again female Judge Dennis adorned with her robe that has cheetah/cat trimmings. I am in a crazy Nasty Woman pussy cat hell in midst of MeToo madness. Someone needs to teach this Judge about that symbol. I also attempt to show more corruption via Discovery but it falls on deaf ears (DETAILS COMING FOR ALL).

Sixth Court Date May 8, 2019 - MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON INAUTHENTIC EMAILS SUBMITTED. Yes I come armed with overwhelming evidence of inauthentic Emails handed over as "Discovery evidence" by crazy cat lady AC. YES - doctored inauthentic Emails. After successfully showing these inauthentic doctored Emails, I made a mistake of not having the citations of case precedents I argued, so the Judge gave me 2 weeks to submit the citations to which a written decision would eventually be sent to me and now new D. A. Suzanne Vieux (wonder why - lol), who took over for D.A. Justina Lynn Moore after my Motion to Dismiss based on Prosecutorial Misconduct was submitted. Once again, this new female D.A. even more unruly then Justina Lynn Moore did not take a sit down discussion with me. 3 months as a Pro Se Defendant and this D.A. department refuses to treat me with the respect as a Pro Se Defendant deserves. They act and react on feelings and not professional at all. MORE TO COME.

*** Click Here ***

for Motion to Dismiss based on Doctored/False/Inauthentic Emails



Seventh Court Date May 14, 2019 - My Attempted Presentation of Evidence for a Franks Hearing - WELL ... not all I expected. I thought I could show up with a new Judge to present evidence of Westport Police corruption and perjury by wicked Complainant AC. I came totally prepared for this presentation that proves there is an immediate need to bring the opportunist and corrupt Westport Officer Mark Grasso to the court to go over his fiction aka Arrest Warrant - a Franks Hearing. I did not expect the D.A Suzanne Vieux to show up and attempt to stonewall all evidence I wanted to present. This D.A. Suzanne Vieux took over after my calling out of D.A. Justina Lynn Moore for prosecutorial misconduct. Both women... another woman Judge ... who is suppose to be non-partial and free of conflicts of interests that Judge Dennis who signed the insane Arrest Warrant had - yes another woman. SO ... I did get some evidence past the stonewalling D.A. Suzanne Vieux who just instinctual attempts to block any evidence every Motion I have filed (see all Motions). Just utter corruption protecting corrupt police and a woman who committed false statements/perjury. A biased and foolish D.A. who will be sued along with Justina Lynn Moore for Malicious Prosecution. But they have no guts to go to trial and are delaying. How do I know - I was offered a Nolle Prosequi on Aug. 5, 2019 so why in the world would they be stonewalling evidence. They know they have no case and are complicit with the Westport Police for allowing a woman who committed perjury to be at large for a year. I am armed with so much evidence - they have one E-mail and continue to allow this nonsense to endanger my life by cowering from dismissing it - the right thing to do. Their conduct makes my future lawsuits against them so much easier. So after surviving badgering and all kinds of spin form the D.A. Suzanne Vieux, I am awarded by the Judge the freedom to submit Memorandums of Law for two weeks. One of these Memorandums of Law was a demanded upon meeting with the arresting officer Mark Grasso after the false arrest. These corrupt Westport Police kept this recorded meeting out of their flimsy and corrupt Discovery evidence. I ascertained the tapes via FOIA and submitted them for the Judge to see, along with my arguments for a Franks Hearing and how the arrest Warrant was a complete sham.. Let it also be known how D. A. Suzanne Vieux reacted to a question about ascertaining some facts from me by admitting she has not talked to me yet about the case and has refused to talk to me about the case. Why? Emotions. Not qualified for her job. D.A. Suzanne Vieux knows they have no case. She has a perjurer as a Complainant, a crooked cop in Mark Grasso, and now a crooked D.A. Justina Lynn Moore and this D.A. Suzanne Vieux is now in a situation where she cannot convict me on anything, and Complainant, Officer Grasso, and D.A. Justina Lynn Moore are all subject to legal action against them. Why would Suzanne Vieux replace Justina Lynn Moore if there was no prosecutorial misconduct. ALL LAWYERS SAID THE JUDGE WILL PROTECT THE POLICE AND NEVER GRANT A FRANKS HEARING. In fact, this Norwalk court quagmire has never seen one according to clerks who have worked there for over 10 years. Stay tuned for the Judge's decision. Will the Judge do the right

thing or continue the delays in efforts to protect the corrupt Officer Grasso, prosecutor Justina Lynn Moore, and **perjurer Complainant** destined for jail?...

WHAT IS SO OUTRAGEOUSLY CORRUPT IS D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX KNEW OF THE PERJURY BY ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL AND VARIOUS OVERWHELMING EXAMPLES OF POLICE MISCONDUCT MONTHS BEFORE AND STILL CAME TO THE FRANKS HEARING REQUEST HEARING TO FIGHT TOOTH AND NAIL AND STONEWALL ANY RATIONAL ATTEMPT TO BRING THE CORRUPT OFFICER MARK GRASSO TO COURT TO ANSWER FOR HIS INSANE WARRANT. HOW DOES D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX KNOW OF THE NUMEROUS HOLES AND PERJURY IN ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL'S STORY? - THERE WAS A THIRD ATTEMPTED ARREST BY OFFICER GRASSO RELATED TO THE SECOND FALSE ARREST FOR EMAIL HARASSMENT ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED AT THE SAME TIME BUT IT WAS REJECTED TWICE - LASTLY BY D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX WITH THE LAST TIME BEING IN APRIL 17, 2019 - ACCORDING TO POLICE RECORDS. D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX HAD ACCESS TO TWO SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENTS FROM TWO CRAZY SPINSTERS THAT CONTRADICTED EACH OTHER AND SHOWED ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL LIED/COMMITTED FELONY PERJURY, BECAUSE IT WAS D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX WHO REJECTED THE THIRD ARREST WARRANT ON APRIL 17, 2019 - ALL CHAOS MONTHS BEFORE THE FRANKS HEARING REQUEST. D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX KNEW THAT ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL COMMITTED PERJURY AND NEVER ALLOWED FOR THE TRUTH TO BE REVEALED BEFORE, DURING, AND AFTER THE FRANKS HEARING REQUEST. SHE COVERED UP NOT ONLY FOR THE CORRUPT OFFICER MARK GRASSO, BUT SHE COVERED UP FOR THE SICK VINDICTIVE COMPLAINANT ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL - A FELON WHO IS AT LARGE FOR OVER A YEAR.

The Judge who presided over the Franks Hearing Request - Judge McLaughlin - deserved to know of this attempted Third False Arrest Warrant (second of two arrest warrants by Officer Mark Grasso which were both related to each other) for I had no awareness of Warrant #3- submission and details.

FRANKS HEARING REQUEST TRANSCRIPTS TO BE REVEALED.


SEE LINK BELOW - Oct. 10, 2019 FOIA REQUEST


FOR THE INSANE THIRD FALSE ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION.

June 28, 2019 Franks Hearing Request Decision - Judge Stephanie McLaughlin (a woman) sends her written decision as to my request for a Franks Hearing to expose the crooked and corrupt practices of Officer Mark Grasso, let alone the obvious perjury of Complainant AC. So as expected from the advice of all lawyers the Judge would protect the police and Judge McLaughlin did just that - protect the police and keep Officer Mark Grasso from a simple appearance to answer some basic questions. Would have been appropriate since he never contacted me and cowardly submitted the Arrest Warrant completely in the dark about essential details. The tapes interviewing me at the arrest time shows he knew nothing but ... more corruption. The decision by Judge McLaughlin is here to read as well as all the documents I submitted to argue for this Franks Hearing. **UTTER CORRUPTION FROM THIS JUDGE.** This Norwalk Court had not seen a Franks Hearing in at least 10 years according to clerks who have been there for a long time. I submitted overwhelming evidence of police misconduct but no - **I am told I need to submit in a trail** to which the DA will stonewall against so to further protect the police and D.A. Justina Lynn Moore and Complainant - another woman. This court is despicable. But all is here for all to read so to make sure this court - D.A. and Judges are called out for protecting corrupt police let alone allowing a perjurer to be free now for over a year.. Connecticut is renown for this protecting the police and the police know this. It is one big corrupt club. I have all the evidence of Judges evading basic facts. Judge McLaughlin's decision and written response showed no grasp of facts, avoids relevant information submitted, and reads no better than a birdbrain tweeting on her iPhone. The Norwalk Court is afraid to bring this Officer Grasso forward to answer some basic questions about his "investigation". Utterly corrupt. **KEEP IN MIND THIS JUDGE STEPHANIE MCLAUGHLIN WAS IN THE KNOW ABOUT HOW MY LIFE HAS BEEN IN DANGER SINCE THE FALSE ARRESTS AND KNEW OF THE RECENT SUCCESS OF MY FEDERAL LAWSUIT AND ALL THE EVIDENCE OF HOW I HAVE BEEN A VICTIM AND YET SHE STILL PROTECTED THE POLICE.**

*** Click Here ***

for all Franks Hearing Request documents
and shameful decision by Judge Stephanie McLaughlin Protecting Corrupt Police
pushing the obvious evidence of police corruption to a trial that will never happen.





Judge Stephanie McLaughlin thinks the following Email (if she even cared to read the Discovery Evidence)

"Anna advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."
is 'Probable Cause' for Email Harassment

while there is overwhelming 'Probable Cause' that Complainant AC committed Perjury - a far more serious crime than Email Harassment,
LET ALONE THE OBVIOUS MISCONDUCT BY OFFICER GRASSO.
UTTER CORRUPTION HERE NOT BRINGING THE CORRUPT COP MARK GRASSO TO COURT TO ANSWER FOR HIS WARRANT.



The fact that this was a first Franks Hearing Request within this Norwalk court in memory by court personnel should be a concern given that a Franks Hearing is a right yet is not even deployed by most lawyers because of knee-jerk corruption by the likes of Judge Stephanie McLaughlin who basically says show your evidence at a trial that will never happen thus we the court can cover this up by not acting in the name of immediate justice.

July 3, 2019 - State's Motion for Me to Turn Over My Emails (LOL) - I receive a desperate Motion for me to turn over my Emails from D.A. Suzanne Vieux without any commitment to trial. Yes, they have no Emails themselves and so they expect me to give the Emails and further evidence I have that Complainant AC committed perjury. LOL. Suzanne Vieux is basically hoping a corrupt Judge will force me to turn over my Emails so they can see more of my case before any commitment to trial and jurors. I have generously submitted unquestionable proof of inauthentic doctored Email evidence submitted by wicked scheming Complainant AC, transposed dates, truncated material, fabrications, lies, aka False Statements aka PERJURY, (let alone police and prosecutorial misconduct) and D.A. Suzanne Vieux wants my Emails before trial. **THEY WILL GET NO MORE!** There is already overwhelming evidence to dismiss this case. This is an attempt to discredit my evidence before trial which will never happen (hence the coming Aug. 5, 2019 offer for a Nolle Prosequi - unwilling to pursue).

July 13, 2019 - Written Decision on my Motion to Dismiss based on Inauthentic/Doctored Emails - YES Judge Maureen Dennis (a woman and Judge who signed the outrageously corrupt Arrest Warrant) sends her written decision on my Motion to Dismiss based on irrefutable evidence of Inauthentic doctored Emails. 1 page avoiding nearly all the necessary details of the Lorraine v. Merkel American Insurance Co. standard for electronic evidence submission. She basically says this evidence is fit for trial and refuses to act now. Only one simple line from this Judge on the evidence Complainant committed another act of perjury -

"The arguments made by the defendant in this Motion to Dismiss may well be appropriate at time of trial, at the time any such writings are offered into evidence.."

In determining whether the evidence proffered by the State is adequate to avoid dismissal, proof must be viewed in the light of the state". State v Kinchen (1998)

YES - Meaning - I PROTECT (UNTIL TRIAL) CORRUPT POLICE AND A WOMAN WHO COMMITTED PERJURY. She is saying I need to go to a trial that will never happen hence what happens on Aug. 5, 2019 - offered a Nolle Prosequi. **WHY EVEN SUBMIT AND SHARE MY EVIDENCE BEFORE TRIAL IF THESE CORRUPT COURT OFFICIALS WILL NOT ACT ON THE EVIDENCE!!!!** More delays but these delays will be turned back at them because I have shown in numerous ways and numerous Motions how I am a victim and need to address slander in the news and cannot proceed until this Norwalk court does its fucking job. **STOP BEING COWARDS. PUT UP OR DISMISS: STOP PLAYING GAMES WITH A MAN'S LIFE!!!!** . Judge Maureen Dennis made numerous mistakes. For one - this is an EMAIL CASE and she thinks the Email evidence was "proffered by the State". NO - it was submitted by wicked cat lady AC. In most cases police are responsible for gathering, researching, weighing, formulating and then submitting evidence but this is an Email Case - Complainant submitted the evidence aka perjury. I appealed this nonsense when I got back there on Aug. 9, 2019. **READ THIS COWARDLY 1 PAGE DECISION** that never addresses any of the details of Lorraine v. Merkel American Insurance Co standard for Email cases.


WHO GUTTED/DOCTORED THE EMAIL? ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL OR OFFICER MARK GRASSO?

FAKE




*** Click Here ***

for all documents related to my
Motion to Dismiss based on Inauthentic/Doctored/False Email submitted
and the scandalous decision by Judge Maureen Dennis Protecting the Police
pushing evidence to a trial that will never happen
and being complicit allowing a felon to be at large for over a year



COVER UP BEGINS

Eight Court Date August 5, 2019- I show up and my lawyer goes in to negotiate the first false arrest. I await my long-awaited first time talk for the second false arrest. My lawyer comes out and says they are offering a NOLLE PROSEQUI FOR BOTH FALSE ARRESTS NOW BUT WITH A PSYCH EVALUATION.



Any questions as if I can pass a psych evaluation? LOL. All this nonsense I should be getting an award for mental strength.

Officer James "Sully" Sullivan's corrupt narrative will be exposed in detail.

I met a lot of incompetant assholes in this process who who could use this psych evaluation themselves - aint that right old spinser ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL, old woman Wendy Higgins Chambers, Mark Sudol, and Sophie Cecilia Vaughan, old spinster Marie Pelletier, ...

YOU ALL ARE GETTING SUED.

YES - all my Motions and they know they can't go to trial but are still pushing this Nolle Prosequi with a mental evaluation to protect the police. NEVER!!! NO WAY - TRIAL PLEASE!!! They have no intention to respect my desire for immediate trial to get closure so I can resolve other legal issues. WHY - this delay tactic is a continued effort to protect the corrupt Westport Police. They cannot do this forever. - I will have it out with the Westport Police let alone AC no matter what they do. Meanwhile my Mental Anguish claim is accepted within my Slander and Libel Federal lawsuit against Altice USA/News 12 Ct. PROBABLE CAUSE THAT I AM A VICTIM AND THEY COWER FROM THE TRUTH. This corrupt feminist infested Norwalk Ct. court has been given the details of this Federal lawsuit yet are in denial that I AM A VICTIM. In all actuality my mental strength should be rewarded. This Norwalk Ct. court does not go to trial and does not allow me to go on in life to clean up all the now proven Damages that have happened to me, and thus from here on out this Norwalk Ct. court (Judges and D.A.s) though they might think offering a Nolle Prosequi is generous ... are still not processing my need for dismissal of these false arrests so to be able to sue parties responsible for this mess that completely obliterated my name CREATING ALL KINDS OF DAMAGES and thus this court will be considered complicit in any further Damages I have incurred. Time again to spend more money to get out of the area back to my new home abroad so to protect myself.

*** Click Here ***

Resubmission of Motion to Dismiss based on Prosecutorial Misconduct

asking for a written decision so to be able to post the decision for ALL to see.

CAN YOU ADVOCATE ARREST FOR A SINGLE EMAIL OF THIS CONTENT?

"Ana advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."

FUCKING DISGUSTING SNOWFLAKE COWARD WESTPORT POLICE AND NORWALK FEMINIST COURT.

I FILE A SECOND MOTION FOR A SPEEDY TRIAL AGAIN.

*** Click Here ***

for my **SECOND** Motion for a Speedy Trial despite being offered a Nolle Prosequi so to expose Perjury by Complainant, Corruption by Westport Police, and Prosecutorial Misconduct


Ninth Court Date Sept. 9, 2019-NOTHING HAPPENS. NO APPEARANCE IN COURTROOM ALL PUSHED TO OCT 10, 2019 DUE TO BACKLOG. INSANITY AS USUAL FOR NO BREAKAGE OF ANY LAW WHATSOEVER. Yes over 9 visits in 7 months to get this court in the know for no breakage of any law whatsoever while they actually think I will accept a Nolle Prosequi with a Psych Evaluation. NEVER! - TRIAL TRIAL TRIAL!!!. IN FACT I DO NOT WANT EVEN A DISMISSAL - I WANT A TRIAL. LET ME GET THESE COWARDS ON THE STAND! PLEASE - JUSTICE. MAKE THESE COWARDS SHOW THEIR FACES.

STOP THE CORRUPTION. This court truly needs a revamping to make things properly efficient to those who deserve efficiency.

Tenth Court Date Oct. 10, 2019 - yes 10 visits IN 8 MONTHS for no breakage of any law whatsoever with no commitment to trial ... A new Judge will hear my Motions for Dismissal based on Inauthentic Emails, Second Motion for Speedy Trial, and a Motion for a written decision for Motion to Dismiss Based on Prosecutorial Misconduct. REALLY - LOOK AT THE LACK OF DISCOVERY AND WHAT I AM FORCED TO DO TO CLEAR MY NAME. SO - NOTHING HAPPENS ...

AGAIN. But a new law for the Norwalk Prosecutors Office Justina Lynn Moore who was booted off the case back when I filed my Motion to Dismiss Based on Prosecutorial Misconduct in regards to the second false arrest for alleged Email Harassment, is no longer with this Norwalk Office. Details coming. Yes the corrupt and incompetent D.A. Justina Lynn Moore is gone. Lead D.A. Suzanne Vieux (who has not handled the case since my effort to get the lying Westport Policeman from the second false arrest - Mark Grasso to appear before court to answer for his corrupt arrest warrant aka Franks Hearing Request) has had D.A. Michael Nemec be the frontman. I attempted to talk to him on Aug. 5, 2019 but all he did was say nothing when I tried to have a first time conversation with a D.A. to which he relayed the Nolle Prosequi offer to my lawyer a few minutes before. Keep in mind under the law since I am defending myself in the second false arrest for alleged Email harassment he is obligated to treat me with proper respect as a Pro Se defendant. Now on Oct. 10, 2019 D.A. Nemec comes out to talk to me and says he is not the one handling the case and that the case has been handed to D.A. Daniel

Cummings. So I say ok - "Where is D.A. Cummings?" D.A. Nemec then says D.A. Cummings was not there. I get pissed and say - "I fly back from Germany to have a proper conversation with a D.A. and noone in this department has the courage to talk to me now after all the evidence I showed in my favor." I go to court where the next court date of Jan. 28, 2020 (yes in 3 1/2 months) is set for both cases. MORE DELAYS and no commitment to trial. What is so amazing is how these D.A.s come totally unprepared and not knowing anything thereby showing they are all about delay. I decide to formally file my Second Motion for a Speedy Trial to which I will present on a Motions docket on Oct. 17, 2019. The fact that they deal with the two false arrests on the same day shows they are running out the clock for neither case is winnable for them. My lawyer shows for the first false arrest and D.A. Nemec says the same to him - Jan. 28, 2020. No discussion of anything and no abilities of this D.A. to show he knows anything about the case. The first thing I showed D.A. Nemec was my Second Motion for a Speedy Trial for the second false arrest and asked him if he read this Motion and he said he was not the one handling the case. Once again, the D.A. handling the case now was not there. In fact this D.A. - junior to all others does not even have a office there yet for he is coming from another city. Pathetically corrupt delay tactics that only makes my inevitable Malicious Prosecution case against them stronger. YES IT IS A DISGUSTING PROCESS THAT ATTEMPTS TO PUNISH WITH REPEATED APPEARANCES WITHOUT A TRIAL BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO CASE.



Eleventh Court Date Oct. 17, 2019- My presentation to argue for a Speedy Trial. (see link for this argument) and resubmission for a Dismissal based on Inauthentic Email submitted ,and even an attempt to get a written decision by a Judge on my Motion to Dismiss based on former D.A. Justina Lynn Moore's Prosecutorial Misconduct. GOOD DEVELOPMENTS - D.A: SUZANNE VIEUX HANDS THE CASE TO A NEW MAN NAMED D.A. DAN CUMMINGS WHO HAD A PROPER CONVERSATION WITH ME. HE HAD YET TO READ THE FILE BUT WAS TOLD BY THE JUDGE AND AGREED THAT ANY UNNECESSARY DELAYS WOULD NOT BE RIGHT. I will see him Jan. 28, 2019.

*** [Click Here](#) ***

for my **SECOND** Motion for a Speedy Trial despite being offered a Nolle Prosequi so to expose Perjury by Complainant, Corruption by Westport Police, and Prosecutorial Misconduct

THIRD ATTEMPTED ARREST DENIED!

**Corrupt Little Boys and Girls
ATTEMPTED SUBVERSION OF MY CIVIL LAWSUITS**

OCT. 10, 2019 FOIA REQUEST by me received Oct. 18, 2019
looking for the details of that "ongoing case"
involving 62 year old tenant (Marie Pelletier)
written about by Officer Mark Grasso

in his insanely corrupt Arrest Warrant for Email Harassment - Second False Arrest.
AN ATTEMPTED THIRD ARREST RELATED TO THE SECOND FALSE ARREST
VIA ANOTHER COWARDLY/FALSELY TWICE-SUBMITTED ARREST WARRANT
OCTOBER. 26, 2018 AND THEN APRIL 17, 2019
DENIED TWICE BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY!!!!

The details of this madness will completely nauseate you. A very sick 62 year old lady reading the Westport News slander and libel and colluding with Anna Palge Campbell and Officer Grasso in a failed attempt to get me arrested for absolutely nothing while I live in Europe. Utter defamation and once again police corruption where the district attorney Suzanne Vieux rightfully rejected Officer Grasso's cowardly and crooked attempt to get me arrested - twice.

Twice Rejected!

YES - OFFICERS MARK GRASSO AND SERENITI DOBSON
ARE REJECTED TWICE BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY!!

This corrupt police force attempts to pile on utter garbage in an attempt to cover up misconducts yet only keeps digging bigger holes for themselves.

Who or what is behind this attack on my life?

*** [Click Here](#) ***

**FOR THIS CORRUPT THIRD ATTEMPTED ARREST.
SCREENPLAY IN THE WORKS ABOUT THIS WEBSITE.**

UTTER CRAZY AMERICAN GENDER WARRING INSANITY.
THE LIAR MARIE PELLETIER WHO INFLUENCED THE OTHER LIAR ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL
AND OFFICER MARK GRASSO AND THE P.O.S. BEHIND THE WESTPORT POLICE CORRUPTION
ARE REJECTED TWICE BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

**SECOND FALSE ARREST
CONTINUED**


ATTEMPTED SUBVERSION OF MY CIVIL LAWSUITS

COVERUPS CONTINUE

Twelfth Court Date Dec. 12, 2019 for the Second False Arrest -
MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON EVIDENCE FROM TWICE-REJECTED THIRD ATTEMPTED ARREST
WARRANT
THAT CLEARLY SHOWS WICKED CRAZY CAT LADY ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL COMMITTED PERJURY. -
YES! READ ALL THE VARIOUS CORRUPTION.

*** [Click Here](#) ***

THE MOTION AND EVIDENCE OF
ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL'S
PERJURY
COMPARING THE SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENTS OF
VICIOUS SPINSTERS ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL AND MARIE PELLETIER
AND A WHOLE LOT MORE CORRUPTION BY OTHERS LIKE
OFFICER MARK GRASSO AND
DISTRICT ATTORNEY JUSTINA LYNN MOORE AND
LEAD DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUZANNE VIEUX.
YET JUDGE RANDOLPH DID NOT READ THE MOTION AND WAS NOT PREPARED!!!
I am told this is something related to trial that will never happen!!!
HOW IS THIS LYING BITCH ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL TO BE PUNISHED????!!!!
A FELON IS ON THE LOOSE!!!



Dec. 14, 2019 - I visit the Westport Police to make a Complaint and give them the following document asking for an internal investigation. Sergeant Matthew Gouveia (yet another uppity little Westport cop all but 5 foot 6 inches short) fields the Complaint and refuses to take the discussion to a room to record the meeting. So I explain in the waiting room what I have and submit the following. He then gets defensive and asserts he is "aware" of the case and the woman will not be arrested because it was already investigated. He says my document has my writing on it and is not credible yet I point to the black and white documents to which they have and ask him to consult them himself via an internal investigation. These dirty cops refuse to answer to simple black and white facts. I consulted my lawyer before I attempted this and he said they would not do anything because they are the enemy. I am like ... are all of them my enemy? Is there a conspiracy I should know about? Do they not want to clean up misconducts here? Do they not want to arrest a woman who lies to hurt men? How sick and psychotic is this police department and who is behind this fall in line cover up behavior and refuse to give me equal protection?

I DID THIS TO FIND OUT JUST HOW CORRUPT THEY ARE AND IT IS BONE CHILLING.



*** Click Here ***

for the
POLICE VISIT

TO ASK THEM TO DO THEIR JOBS AND "PROTECT AND SERVE" ALL.
THIS IS WHAT WAS GIVEN TO THEM DEC. 14, 2019.

Thirteenth Court Date Jan. 28, 2020 - YES 13 visits in 1 year for this Email case.

"Anna advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."

HOW PATHETICALLY CORRUPT AND THEY REALLY THINK THEY CAN GET AWAY WITH PROTECTING CORRUPT COPS AND A PERJURER, AND THEY ACTUALLY THINK I DO NOT KNOW WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON BEHIND THE SCENES.

10 visits in 23 months aka 2 years for no breakage of any law whatsoever with no commitment to trial ...

I being Pro Se like my lawyer and like other lawyers could not even meet with a D.A. because of over-crowding due to incompetent management by lead D. A. Suzanne Vieux - a woman. Lawyers and Pro Se Defendants wait in a courtroom to speak to a D.A. before appearing in front of a Judge. This lasts from 9 am til 10 am. Then all move to another courtroom to appear in front of a Judge which becomes merely noting an appearance with no presentation of case or discussion of facts - it is a dance of appearance before a potential trial (2 years and counting ...) a trial that will never happen as they play with your life punishing you with inconvenience because they cannot add up facts and do the right thing within now 2 years time. It is like you are dealing with spoiled children crying or like AC a scorned drunken woman crying that they cannot get what they want (while being devoid of facts let alone efforts to get the facts) - merely instinctually believing the police (who themselves lack proper educational skills). So disgusting is this Norwalk Ct. D.A. department.

NOW I am on some kind of pretentious trial list and will appear every month until there is a commitment to select a jury or case thrown out.

FACT: the expected timeline for my struggles.

Second Degree Email Harassment Case - appear now every month until thrown out which could last up to another 6-12 months.

Case against News 12/Altice USA - 6 more months on appeal, then another potential appeal or settlement.
Case against Westport News/Hearst Communications - one year (like News 12) + 6-12 months appeal or settlement.
Case against Perjurer Anna Page Campbell - 1 year + 6-12 month appeal or settlement.
Case against Police - starting in 2021 - 1 year + 6-12 month appeal or settlement.

So all in all -
with the police matter
(who are guilty of a false un-provable narrative with outright lies and numerous acts of corruption)
all will be at the soonest resolved in 2023

meaning
5 years to resolve a complete destruction of my name and life
after

committing no crime whatsoever resulting in no harms.
Now who was really harmed.? Who was really damaged.?
America is the sickest country in the world replete with crazy finger pointing situations like this
causing utter havoc and indelible destruction of someone's life.


WOW!

AND THEN THIS -

FEB. 2020 DESPERATE ATTEMPT TO GET AT MY EMAILS
19 MONTHS AFTER THE ALLEGED SEPT. 18, 2018 HARASSING EMAIL
WHEN


THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN PROBABLE CAUSE DISCOVERY FOR AN ARREST WARRANT.
UNDENIABLE SIGNS OF CORRUPTION.

FEB. 24, 2020 - I GET THIS FEB. 24 2020 EMAIL FROM GOOGLE SAYING THERE IS A SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT
(signed by a Judge Wenzel to which I will ask him about) FOR MY EMAILS WITH THIS CRAZY CAT WOMAN ANNA PAGE
CAMPBELL. WHY NOW? WHY NOT SEARCH AND SEIZE HER EMAILS IF SHE NEVER HANDED OVER PROPER DISCOVERY
EMAILS 19 MONTHS AGO ON SEPT 25, 2018? ANSWER - DESPERATE COPS KNOWING THEY HAVE NOTHING AND ARE
GOING DOWN. I TRULY HAVE EXPERIENCED SOME OF THE WORST UGLY AMERICANS POSSIBLE. As you will see I had a
chance to quash this but chose to let them have the Emails with this bitch Anna Page Campbell or I have and have had nothing to hide and
all the while in this insane biased system tried to get them in the know via all the previous Motions like a proper Franks Hearing that was
stonewalled by the corrupt Norwalk court.



*** Click Here ***

for the
SEARCH AND SEIZURE WARRANT



I HAVE NO DESIRE TO QUASH THIS - READ THE EMAILS YOU CORRUPT PUNKS.
I HAVE NOTHING TO HIDE
FOR I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO GET YOU DIOTS IN THE KNOW OF THE MULTIPLE FORMS OF PERJURY ANNA PAIGE
CAMPBELL HAS COMMITTED,
SHE USED YOU CONNECTI- CUCK BOYS.
ARREST HER!!!!

Fourteenth Court Date Feb. 25, 2020 - yes.

SO I SETTLE THE FIRST FALSE ARREST IN A NON-CRIMINAL WAY WITH A PLAN THAT
EMPOWERS ME TO SUE ALL I NEED TO SUE.

SEE LINK FIRST FALSE ARREST

THE EMAIL CASE IS NOT ADDRESSED BECAUSE THESE CORRUPT SO CALLED SERVANTS OF
THE LAW ARE TOO BUSY TRYING TO OBTAIN MY EMAILS 19 MONTHS AFTER THEY SHOULD
HAVE HAD PROBABLE CAUSE FOR THE CROOKED EMAIL HARASSMENT ARREST.

I have the police on multiple forms of corruption and they know it now.



2020-2021 COVID DELAYS COUPLED WITH DELAYS AS USUAL

APRIL 2020 - I PUT TOGETHER THE SLAM DUNK EVIDENCE OF
WESTPORT POLICE OFFCER MARK GRASSO
KNOWING OF ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL'S HIDEOUS BIG LIES/FALSE STATEMENT/PERJURY
YET STILL KNOWINGLY DEPLOYING THIS FALSE STATEMENT
WITHIN HIS CROOKED ARREST WARRANT
(POSSIBLY WITH COACHING FROM HIGHER UP).
I WAS ABLE TO FIGURE THIS OUT BY REVIEWING THE REDACTED SWORN WRITTEN

STATEMENT GIVEN TO ME ON APRIL 1, RE-READING THE POLICE REPORT ALSO GIVEN
TO ME APRIL 17, 2019,
AND COMPARING THEM TO THE OCT. 2018 CROOKED ARREST WARRANT
CONCOCTED BY OFFICER MARK GRASSO AND APPROVED BY OFFICER SERENITY
DOBSON.

QUESTION -

WHO REDACTED ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL'S SWORN WRITTEN STATEMENT HANDED TO
ME APRIL 17, 2019

(AS PART OF "DISCOVERY")

THAT CONCEALED A NAME CRUCIAL TO MY FRANKS HEARING?

WAS IT THE POLICE OR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY?

IF LEAD D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX REDACTED THIS THEN SHE IS GUILTY OF NOT ONE BUT
TWO -

2 ACTS OF CONCEALING EVIDENCE!!!

*** Click Here ***

YES

UNDENIABLE EVIDENCE

OFFICER GRASSO KNEW OF ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL'S SCHEME AND FALSE STATEMENTS
AND USED HER (AS SHE USED HIM)

SO TO KEEP ME IN THE SYSTEM

OUT OF REVENGE FOR MY WEBSITE CALLING OUT THE FIRST FALSE ARREST
THAT STARTED THIS MADNESS.

**Fifteenth Scheduled Court Date July. 7, 2020 - THE FIRST COVID-19 SET COURT DATE (5 MONTHS OF BEING
CLOSED) IS CANCELLED THEREBY MAKING THE NEXT COURT DATE SEPT. 8 2020 - 7 MONTH DELAY (plenty of time to read
the seized Emails and see more truth that Anna Paige Campbell and Officer Grasso hid.**

July 30, 2020 - Connecticut Passes a New Law on Police Accountability


**August 17, 2020 - I decide to call Chief of Police Foti Koskinas so to see if he read the Feb. 2020 seized Emails and ask for some proper
action based on the undeniable evidence Complainant Anna Paige Campbell committed False Statements and Perjury. Chief Foti Koskinas
got back to me a day later with a civil discussion and proceeded to inform Officer Grasso of my desire for the seized authentic Email
evidence to be acted upon. Officer Grasso Emailed me on Aug. 19, 2020. I send him 10 examples of how Complainant Anna Paige Campbell
committed serious False Statments and Perjury- examples that he should have now figured out since the seized Emails via Google in Feb.
of 2020. I send FOIA Officer Anthony Prezioso the copies of the Evidence I am sharing with Officer Grasso now to aid his 2 year at least
idiotic and at worst crooked investigation.**

... what is going to happen ...

**August 24, 2020 - Over 5 days I present material in the form of Emails that Officer Grasso should have digested since the Feb. 2020
seizure of my Emails. I show 10 ways Anna Paige Campbell committed False Statements and Perjury and should be arrested NOW. I
concentrate on the date of the conflict - Sept. 15, 2018 to which was never submitted with Discovery. I also submit Phone Text Messages
between my mother and Anna Paige Campbell showing more examples of elderly people getting harassed. I also submit the clean test result
of my Hep. C test on Sept 19, 2018 in conjunction with Emails showing Anna Page Campbell saying on Sept. 15, 2018 she had Hep C then on
Sept. 19, 2018 saying she does not have Hep C. I inform Officer Grasso in my last Email sharing evidence of the 10 areas of False
Statements and Perjury (to which this corrupt nitwit should have known and most certainly did know at the time of the October 2018
submission of his crooked insane warrant) that all material I have recently sent to him has also been forwarded to his superior Officer
Anthony Prezioso. In my last Email to Officer Anthony Prezioso I ask that all material sent to him be shared with Chief of Westport Police
Foti Koskinas. I then get an Email from Officer Grasso on Aug. 24, 2020 claiming that all I have sent to him "is already in the case file".**

**IDIOT. THIS POLICE DEPARTMENT IS KNOWINGLY ALLOWING A WOMAN WHO GAVE FALSE STATEMENTS AND
PERJURY TO BE AT LARGE FOR 2 YEARS NOW!!! This corrupt fool is banking on the prosecutor to delay more and at worst an
eventual judge in a future lawsuit against the police blaming the corrupt warrant on D.A. Justina Lynn Moore. But it is no way near that
simple.**

Here is the Evidence I shared with the Westport Police Department.



*** Click Here ***

for the

**EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE AND EVIDENCE SUBMITTED TO WESTPORT POLICE,
NOW THAT THEY HAVE HAD ALL THE EMAILS FOR 6 MONTHS
AND SHOULD HAVE FIGURED OUT THE BASIC WAYS
ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL COMMITTED MULTIPLE ACTS OF FALSE STATEMENTS AND
PERJURY.
PROOF THAT I ONCE AGAIN TRIED TO HELP THIS CORRUPT POLICE DEPARTMENT.**

Sixteenth Scheduled Court Date Sept. 8, 2020 - The second Covid-19 court date (7 MONTHS OF BEING CLOSED) IS CANCELLED. HOW ARE COURTS NOT ESSENTIAL SERVICES AND HOW IS THERE NOT A SMART MANAGER ABLE TO THINK OUTSIDE THE BOX AND PROCESS CERTAIN TYPES OF CASES. Supermarket managers show more brains than the Connecticut Court System. There are plenty of people looking for a job and billions of dollars injected into the economy via Covid-19 Stimulus YET NO MONEY GOES TO TRAINING MORE COURT CLERKS LET ALONE GOOD PROSECUTORS AND JUDGES AND TO MAKE THE LEGAL SYSTEM FLOW WITHOUT CAUSING DELAYS that can affect other types of cases - like my civil defamation cases against the media. I deserve a proper Final Disposition after 2 years of being in the system! THE WAIT FOR A TRIAL IN THIS CORRUPT NORWALK CONNECTICUT COURT IS 3+ YEARS - AND THAT IS BEFORE COVID-19, while a defamation case must be filed no more than 2 years after alleged defamation with a Motion to Dismiss being heard 6 months into case. THIS NORWALK CONNECTICUT COURT IS INCOMPETENT AND CAUSES MORE HARMS BY NOT SETTling CASES IN A TIMELY WAY AND I WILL HOLD THEM ACCOUNTABLE. NOW WITH THE COVID 19 MISMANAGEMENT, PEOPLE COULD BE WAITING 4 YEARS FOR A TRIAL. THE NORWALK D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX - IF SHE HOLDS ON TO THIS CASE IS GUILTY OF MALICIOUS PROSECUTION FOR SHE IS ABUSING PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION BY INTENTIONALLY DELAYING A CASE SHE NEVER INTENDS TO BRING TO TRIAL THEREBY TAINING MY CIVIL CASES AND INTENTIONALLY INFLECTING EMOTIONAL DISTRESS - SOMETHING SOMEONE CAN BE SUED FOR.

Seventeenth Court Scheduled Date Nov. 8, 2020 - The third Covid-19 court date ONCE AGAIN pushed ahead 2 months to Jan. 5, 2021 via another letter in the mail. AND I FIND OUT IN OCTOBER THAT THE PROSECUTOR NOW HAS THE POWER TO DISPOSE OF CASES NEVER GOING TO TRIAL NOW.



Eighteenth Court Scheduled Date Jan. 5, 2021 - The fourth Covid-19 court date now not seeing a court for 1 year. Yet why is this already proven false arrest via multiple acts of False Statements and a doctored inauthentic email not easily discarded? EVERY DELAY IS EVEN MORE EVIDENCE FOR A MALICIOUS PROSECUTION CASE.

WHERE YOU GOING GRASSO


OFFICER MARK GRASSO RESPONSIBLE FOR FALSE ARREST AND NUMEROUS FORMS OF CORRUPTION IN HIS ONE BIG CASE (ME) WHILE AT WESTPORT POLICE LEAVES WESTPORT CT. POLICE FOR SOME LITTLE TOWN IN NEW HAMPSHIRE WITH DECLINING POPULATION? A DOUBLE DIPPER (PENSION-WISE) WORKING FOR CORRUPT WESTPORT SALARIES AND NOW IN THE BOONDOCKS WITH A MAJOR PAY DIFFERENCE (FROM \$135,000 TO \$82,000) AND NOT ONE NEWS STORY OF HIS NEW POSITION?



WHO WANTS A PAY DECREASE OF OVER 50,000?



NINETEENTH Scheduled Court Date Feb. 16, 2021 for a single innocuous Email - The ffith Covid-19 court date via another letter in the mail. How are courts not essential services?
WE ARE WITNESSING REAL HARDSHIPS VIA COVID-19 VIRUS TIMES AND LIKES OF WICKED SNOWFLAKES ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL AND OFFICERS MARK GRASSO AND OFFICER SERENTI DOBSON AND CHIEF OF POLICE FOTI KOSKINAS LET ALONE LEAD PROSECUTOR SUZANNE VIEUX ARE FREE MESSING WITH PEOPLE'S LIVES AND GETTING AWAY WITH UTTER CORRUPTION AROUND ONE INNOCUOUS EMAIL. SHAMELSS COWARDS.



SHADY


January 25, 2021 Correspondence via Email with D.A. Suzanne Vieux - As you will read in the following Emails, I try after 1 year of never seeing a courtroom and with the hopes of seeing a courtroom in April (15 months of waiting) to rid the system of clutter by reaching out to the Norwalk Ct. District Attorney. Strangely, the Norwalk courthouse has been completely shut down for a year.

Court business is handled out of Stamford Ct. D.A. Suzanne Vieux tells me the only people empowered to bring a case forward is the system generating the docket out of Hartford Ct. I repeatedly ask her if she finally read the seized Emails of Feb. 2020 to verify the perjury committed by either the Complainant Anna Paige Campbell or Arresting Officer Mark Grasso - that doctored Email submitted as "Discovery" to which after a Motion to Dismiss a crooked Judge Dennis said the matter was to be sorted out at a trial that will never happen. This is where the coverup began. And now we will continue to see the lengths of those involved will go - and I mean length of time to play games with someone's life in an effort to protect who? Why has this D.A Vieux never investigated or advocated an arrest for the doctored Email? HERE YOU WILL READ HOW D.A. Vieux never answers the question as to if she was behind the seizing of my Emails (15 months after the need for Probable Cause) and she never answers my repeated question as to if the police have given her the Feb. 2020 seized Emails over the the past year. It is really chilling how public officials will hide facts for as I have already documented this same D.A. committed 2 Brady Rule violations (conceal evidence) when attempting to stonewall my May 2019 Franks Hearing Request - to get the crooked cop Mark Grasso to appear at court to answer to his utter fictional arrest warrant, Brady Rule violations I would like to share with a proper Judge.

*** [Click Here](#) ***

for the

Email correspondence between myself Pro Se (being abused) and D.A. Suzanne Vieux.



January 30, 2021 Email to Officer Grasso asking him
"When did you submit the Feb. 2020 seized Emails to the Prosecutor?"
- NO RESPONSE. NOW THE ATTEMPTED COVER UP.

*** [Click Here](#) ***

for the simple question to Officer Grasso -
"When did you give the prosecutor the Feb. 2020 seized Emails?" or
" Did you give the prosecutor the seized Emails?"
NO RESPONSE > CRICKETS > GHOSTED

OBVIOUSLY ATTEMPTING TO COVER UP



English: Conceal – [M.E. concealen, fr. M.Fr. concealer, fr. L. concealare, fr. com + celare to hide – more at HELL]
Hell – [fr. O.E. hel helle nether world, abode of the dead, infernal regions, fr. P.Gmc. khaljo “the underworld”, lit. “concealed place”, fr. PIE kel – to cover, conceal]
Hide - [O.E. hydan “to hide, conceal; preserve; hide oneself; bury a corpse,” fr. W.Gmc. *hudjan (cf. M.Du., M.L.G. huden), fr. PIE *keudh- (cf. Gk. keuthein “to hide, conceal”), fr. root *(s)keu- “to cover, conceal”]
Arabic: خمر Khamara – to cover, hide, conceal;
leaven, ferment, brew;
bear a grudge, harbor a resentment, conspire, plot, scheme

TWENTIETH Scheduled Court Date APRIL 6, 2021 for a single innocuous Email WHILE PROSECUTOR KNOWS COMPLAINANT GAVE FALSE STATEMENTS/COMMITTED PERJURY AND IS AT LARGE NOW FOR 2 YEARS AND 9 MONTHS. -

The fifth Covid-19 court date via another letter in the mail. How are courts not essential services?
INCOMPETENCE, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, CORRUPT.
HOW DO THEY GET AWAY WITH DELAYS DENYING DUE PROCESS?


MARCH 5, 2021 - I FILE A MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AFTER LEARNING THAT APRIL 6 COURT DATE IS CANCELLED AND NOW THE COURT DATE IS MAY 17 . CONTINUE NOW NOW NOW AFTER WAITING 16 MONTHS WITH CIVIL CASES AWAITING A FINAL DISPOSITION- READ HERE - I go to the court to file my Motion for Continuance. Normally when you file a Motion it goes on a Motion Calendar and copies of the Motion are sent to the Prosecutor and also Judge to read before appearance. BUT now because of Covid 19 these routine Motions are only immediately seen by the Prosecutor thereby denying once again NORMAL Due Process. If there is corruption going on - as is my case - why would a Prosecutor guilty of corruption put herself in in a vulnerable way. People in this fake pandemic are not only being denied basic Due Process of Law to see a court and Judge but the system has some how even shielded worthy Motions to be immediately reviewed by a Judge. AND less prosecutor Suzanne Vieux keeps putting other "new" prosecutors to handle my case who have no idea as to the details so avoid being on record in front of a judge.

*** Click Here ***


**FOR MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE FOR COURT DATE NOW
NO MORE DELAYS!!!**

March 17, 2021 the TWENTY FIRST Court Date to Modify Protection Order and attempt to talk about corruption- Judge orders the prosecutor to talk to me seeing that the case is 2 1/2 years old. Lead prosecutor Suzanne Vieux keeps putting other "new" prosecutors to handle my case in front of me who have no idea as to the details so avoid being on record in front of a judge. Nothing is said but "you have a future court date" and thus Judge listens to them feigning. These feminine female prosecutors will feign and then when you call them out showing they have not read anything or know anything they then cut the conversation off and ghost you. These women should not be prosecutors. I ask this new prosecutor if she knows about the case and she answers yes and then I ask her if she has the seized emails and she cannot answer the question thereby showing she knows nothing and is feigning. This is the problem with allowing women to be in positions of power - they have smaller brains and rely on feelings and when challenged react in catty ways. D.A. Suzanne Vieux is instructing and orchestrating the CORRUPTION.





**OBVIOUSLY USING COVID AS AN EXCUSE
FOR THEIR CORRUPT DELAYS AND
INACTION.
D.A. SUZANNE VIEUX IS RESPONSIBLE FOR
THIS.**




**TWENTY SECOND Scheduled Court Date MAY 27, 2021 for a single innocuous Email
WHILE PROSECUTOR KNOWS COMPLAINANT GAVE FALSE STATEMENTS/COMMITTED PERJURY
AND IS AT LARGE NOW FOR 2 YEARS AND 10 MONTHS. -**

**The SIXTH Covid-19 court date via another letter in the mail. How are courts not essential services?
INCOMPETENCE, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, CORRUPT.**

HOW DO THEY GET AWAY WITH DELAYS DENYING DUE PROCESS?

.....

**JUST UTTER SHAMELESS CORRUPTION AND A FAILED COWARDLY PERSECUTION
THE NEW AMERICA
"DEEP" EVIDENCE GATHERED TO BE SHARED SOON.**



Wikipedia Definition of a KANGAROO COURT.

A kangaroo court is a court that ignores principles of justice and is characterized by **incompetence and dishonesty**. A kangaroo court ignores recognized standards of law or justice, and often carries little or no official standing in the territory within which it resides. The term may also apply to a court held by a legitimate judicial authority who intentionally disregards the court's legal or ethical obligations. The defendants in such courts are often denied access to legal representation and in some cases, proper defense and the right of appeal. **Prejudicial bias of the decision-maker or from political decree** are among the most publicized causes of kangaroo courts. The term comes from the notion of justice proceeding "by leaps", like a kangaroo – in other words, "jumping over" (intentionally ignoring) evidence that would be in favor of the defendant.

**Female writer of the slander and libel Westport News article - Sophie Cecilia Vaughan.
Gay editor of the Westport News at the time of Sophie Cecilia Vaughan's first slander and libel Jerrod Ferrari.**

**Female editor at the time of Sophie Cecilia Vaughan's second slander and libel Leana Teixeira.
2 Female Judges - Judge Maureen Dennis and Judge Stephanie McLaughlin.**

and 2 Female District Attorneys - Justina Lynn Moore and Suzanne Vieux.


**CORRUPT BY ALLOWING COMPLAINANT ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL (a Female)
AND OFFICER MARK GRASSO (a Corrupt Cuck)**

**AND SUPERVISING OFFICER SERENITI DOBSON (a Female) TO GO UNPUNISHED.
TRIAL!!!! TRIAL!!!! TRIAL!!!!**

REAL NEWS!!! REAL NEWS!!!! REAL NEWS!!!!

ALL EVIDENCE POINTS TO PERJURY AND CORRUPTION.

These cowardly women stonewall the truth yet refuse to allow for a trial to show the truth.



**WHY IS IT WHEN A WOMAN IS THE MOST QUESTIONABLE/GUILTY IN REGARDS TO
HARASSING ALLEGATIONS ARE THESE "JOURNALISTS" AND DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
UNWILLING TO MAKE THE WOMAN'S LIFE A LIVING HELL JUST AS THEY ATTEMPTED TO
DO WITH A MAN?**

**A recent example of D.A. Justina Lynn Moore and D.A. Suzanne Vieux's failed persecution of an innocent man over an Email -
<https://www.newstimes.com/policereports/article/Appeals-court-reverses-conviction-6113280.php>**




***** Click Here *****

**FOR ALL THE EMAILS THAT TELL THE COMPLETE STORY
OF THE SECOND FALSE ARREST BASED ON ONE LONE EMAIL.**

ALL 200+ EMAILS

NEVER SUBMITTED BY COMPLAINANT/POLICE BUT ALL IN MY POSSESSION.



COMPLAINANT ANNA PAIGE CAMPBELL IS GETTING SUED AND GOING TO JAIL FOR FELONY PERJURY.

All other details/the complete history/chain of events of this amazing story are closed for
legal proceedings.

All will be available to the public soon.

Doxxing
accusations without any evidence nor witnesses,
one-sided unvetted "incidents"/complaints that never resulted in arrests,
an arrest that led to no charges,
charges that never resulted in convictions, etc...
THE WESTPORT NEWS IS BEING SUED BIG TIME.

How low will these cowards go?
Keep hiding you little weasels. Truth wins out in the end.



Articles by Sophie Vaughan | Westport News Journalist | Muck Rack

<https://muckrack.com/sophie-vaughan/articles> ▼

westport-news.com — By Sophie Vaughan Updated 4:12 pm EST, Tuesday, February Westport
resident James Lawrence was charged with second degree ...

Very Foolish 22 year old Feminist Westport News Reporter Sophie C. Vaughan aka Sophie Cecilia Vaughan
(a name that literally translates as 'wisdom blind little' or 'little blind wisdom')

GETTING SUED BIG TIME.

**How are idiots like this two-bit brat allowed to do 2 hit jobs before Due Process of
Law?**

SOME ONGOING DAMAGES
Ramifications of the Police Misconduct and Corruption
and Slander/Defamation/Libel by
Wendy Higgins Chambers and the Media -
News 12 and then the Westport News

- 1: having my social media profile/name destroyed by the false arrest and extreme arrest warrant that results in false and/or extreme out of context news from News 12 and the Westport News.
- 2: having local merchants and stores that I regularly frequent see/read this false and/or extreme out of context news.
- 3: having my gym/YMCA staff see/read this false and/or extreme out of context news and terminate my membership.
- 4: having my girlfriend see/read this extreme out of context news.
- 5: having my mother and father read this false and/or extreme out of context news while they are in Florida for the winter.
- 6: having the friends of my mother and father read this false and/or extreme out of context news as well as the merchants they frequent here in Westport (my family own a house and stay in Westport 6 months of the year).
- 7: having my employer see/read this false and/or extreme out of context news information.
- 8: having potential employers in the future seeing/reading this false and/or extreme out of context news information.
- 9: having my potential dates see/red this false and/or extreme out of context news information.
- 10: having my landlord see/read this false and/or extreme out of context news.
- 11: having the students of my girlfriend (she is a teacher in Norwalk) see/read this false and/or extreme out of context news.
- 12: having the family of my girlfriend/future girlfriends see/read this false and/or extreme out of context news information.
- 13: physical abuse and physical changes from the mental anguish.
- 14: mental abuse and trauma and cost to my health.
- 15: this out of context hype on the Facebook blog of Fairfield County Moms.
- 16: having to change my name!
- 17: my reputation as a writer, having to change my pen name.
- 18: my effected plans to run for office.
- 19: having to change my email name and account.
- 20: having to change my Facebook name and account.
- 21: having to change my phone number.
- 22: having to change my LinkedIn name and account.
- 23: having to change my residence/my home.
- 24: most sane and only option being to move out of the country because of my name destroyed.
- 25: having a hard time renting my apartments/losing a tenant.
- 26: time and costs seeking and deploying legal representation.
- 27: endangering my life with other people in the future who read this article and take it upon themselves out of fear to call the police and report me for concealing my name.
- 28: having to spend more money and frustrating time to make all my domains (websites I own) private.
- 29: having to chase down and find web owners who do not update their information about me.
- 30: constantly perusing the web to find any website that is compromising my right to privacy.
- 31: constantly searching the web for another 'journalist' who falsely interprets the defamation/libel and includes it within a story of their own.
- 32: having to conceal my name in Europe so I cannot be Googled via images.
- 33: having to contact and work with various websites to update information (my new name) about me.
- 34: inability to sell myself (my name) for various completed projects.
- 35: having that slanderous Westport News article make me vulnerable to other cracked women to accuse me of something.
- 36: all the injustice that has happened to me literally taking the music out of my soul resulting in the fact that I have not drummed/played music in over 1 year.
- 37: so many financial costs (List of financial loses to be revealed)
- 38:

READILY PROFILED
AND NEVER COMMITTED ANY OR CONVICTED OF ANY CRIME!!!

And over what???

"Anna advice - do not cause my beloved 77 year old parents any stress whatsoever. Take this advice."
a one time Email looking out for the welfare of my 77 year old parents!!!!
resulting in an arrest 5 months later when there were no other communications with this tenant.
Once again - not a crime, not a criminal.

Crazy overly-feminist snowflake NASTY WOMEN America persecuting an innocent man.

Serious corruption going on at the Westport Police who use this Email to arrest me!!!

FACT : They have been reading this site.

I CALL ON ANY WESPORT POLICE OFFICER READING THIS TO LOOK AT THE ACTUAL CASE FILE.

THIS IS GOING ON IN YOUR DEPARTMENT.

AND WAKE UP WESTPORT!!!

THIS IS SOMETHING FOR YOU TO TAKE SERIOUS.

IT IS A BLESSING IF YOU DO THE RIGHT THING.

I HAVE GONE A LIFETIME FREE OF TROUBLE AND YOUR OBVIOUSLY CORRUPT POLICE FORCE ARE IN NEED OF A CIVILIAN REVIEW BOARD LET ALONE A MUCH NEEDED SUCCESSFUL LAWSUIT AGAINST THEM.

I MYSELF DO NOT LIVE THERE NOR WILL I EVER LIVE THERE BUT MY FAMILY WHO LIVED THERE FOR 54 YEARS HAVE SOLD ONE OF THEIR WESTPORT PROPERTIES AND ARE SELLING THEIR VERY OWN HOME IN WESTPORT NOW.

THERE MUST BE PRESSURE ON THE NORWALK COURT TO PURSUE A TRIAL FOR THIS OBVIOUSLY DESPICABLE AND CORRUPT SECOND FALSE ARREST FOR ALLEGED EMAIL HARASSMENT NOT ONLY BECAUSE OF PERJURY BY COMPLAINANT AC, BUT THE FACT THAT POLICE ARE COMPLICIT IN KNOWING OF THIS PERJURY LET ALONE ALL THE PROBABLE CAUSES OF PAST POLICE MISCONDUCT. THE COURT WILL ATTEMPT TO DELAY AND PROTECT THE POLICE. THIS IS NOT GOOD FOR YOUR COMMUNITY. SO - ANYTHING WESTPORT CITIZENS CAN DO TO PUT PRESSURE ON THE NORWALK COURT TO ALLOW A TRIAL SO LONG AS DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUZANNE VIEUX DELAYS WITH THEIR NOLLE PROSEQUI (unwilling to pursue) OFFER WITHOUT COMPLETELY THROWING OUT THE CASE WOULD BE IN THE INTERESTS OF WESTPORT.

A TRIAL TO EXPOSE THESE CONNING COWARDS WOULD BE FAIR.

LOOK AT ALL THESE NUMEROUS DOCUMENTS AND EVIDENCE

VS.

A SINGLE ONE LINED EMAIL!!!!

PAGES AND PAGES AND PAGES AND PAGES

VS.

A SINGLE ONE LINE EMAIL.

THE WESTPORT POLICE HAVE BEEN AND ARE PERSECUTING ME.

A MOST OBVIOUS CASE OF POLICE CORRUPTION.

AND I KNOW WHY AND HOW THIS WHOLE CORRUPTION STARTED IN 2017.

YES - I KNOW.

SOON THE WORLD WILL KNOW AS WELL.

Nobody will get away with the utter destruction of my name. Nobody.

We are all naked before God.

ANY INFO THAT CAN HELP THIS CASE

CONTACT :

ExposeTheirCorruptionNow@gmail.com

I will protect your identity.

IS THE USA BECOMING A POLICE STATE?

- NO-KNOCK RAIDS
- CHECKPOINTS
- BAG SEARCHES
- GUN CONTROL
- DOMESTIC SPYING
- CHILD SNATCHING
- POLICE BRUTALITY
- INDOCTRINATION
- PROPERTY SEIZURE
- COP MILITARIZATION
- VICTIMLESS CRIMES
- OVERFLOWING PRISONS



WWW.POLICESTATEUSA.COM

ONCE AGAIN

The following is part of the Police Report the day of the 2019 false arrest
for alleged Email Harassment from a single Email on Sept. 18, 2018.

*"Lawrence stated several times that his "Life is endanger" because of his prior arrest.
He also claimed that there was a cos piracy against him by the Westport Police Department."*

Notice how the investigating and arresting Officer Mark Grasso could not spell the word conspiracy.
He wrote "cos piracy".

SIGNS OF MISCONDUCT AND CORRUPTION.

JUST AN UTTER ATTACK ON MY LIFE.

WHO IS REALLY BEHIND THIS?

WHY?

**HOW AND WHY DID THESE 3 FALSE ARREST WARRANTS
HAPPEN?**

WITHOUT A SHRED OF PROOF!!!

**We live in very corrupt times where one's 1st Amendment rights are being persecuted
because some sinister and increasingly vulnerable group/s cannot defend themselves (their poisonous
influences)**

within the court of public opinion.

MORE TO COME ON THESE COWARDS.

THESE

insects aka in sects

WILL NOT GET AWAY WITH THEIR SLIMY TACTICS OF DOXXING INNOCENT PEOPLE!!!

ATTEMPTED THOUGHT CONTROL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE

WITHOUT THE BALLS TO REVEAL THEMSELVES

AND DEBATE IN A FAIR MANNER.

YOU CAN NEVER CANCEL THE TRUTH.

English: Conceal – [M.E. concelen, fr. M.Fr. conceler, fr. L. concealare, fr. com + celare to hide – more at HELL]
Hell – [fr. O.E. hel helle nether world, abode of the dead, infernal regions, fr. P.Gmc. khaljo "the underworld", lit. "concealed place", fr. PIE kel – to cover, conceal]
Hide – [O.E. hydan "to hide, conceal; preserve; hide oneself; bury a corpse," fr. W.Gmc. *hudjan (cf. M.Du., M.L.G. huden), fr. PIE *keudh- (cf. Gk. keuthein "to hide, conceal"), fr. root *(s)keu- "to cover, conceal"]

ALL IS TRANSPARENT FOR WHEN TRUTH IS ON YOUR SIDE
YOU HAVE NOTHING TO HIDE.

COMING SECOND LAWSUIT AGAINST THE WESTPORT POLICE

JAMES LAWRENCE V. WESTPORT POLICE

Second Civil Case #2

THE BIG DEUCE

Coming Link

11/22/2021 12:43

* CRMVS CASE/DEFENDANT LOOK-UP *

CJCASTAGNA

CR39

Host: 10.5.170.1

* CASE INFORMATION *

TNA8419:

Dkt No: S01S-CR21-0243632-S Defendant: VARGAS-LOPEZ NELSON DANILO

YO:

* A Protective Order has been Issued on this case *
Case continued to 12/14/2021 09:00AM, room F
Disposition, Bulk Excluded

Family Violence Case

Disposition Message:

Amount Owed:

\$0

PF1-M
PF1-9

PF1-R

PF1-C

PF1-3

PF1-4

PF1-5

PF1-6

PF1-7

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been delivered by electronic mail and/or in hand to all counsel of record:

Darnell Crosland, Esq.



**LAURENCE TAMACCIO, DASA
COMMISSIONER OF SUPERIOR COURT**